# TITLE V BLOCK GRANT APPLICATION FORMS (1-21) STATE: MA

APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

- FORM 1 SF424
- FORM 2 MCH BUDGET DETAILS
- FORM 3 STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE
- FORM 4 BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED AND SOURCES OF FEDERAL FUNDS
- FORM 5 STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES
- Form 6 Number and Percentage of Newborn and Others Screened, Case Confirmed, and Treated
- FORM 7 NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (UNDUPLICATED) UNDER TITLE V
- FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX
- FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA
- FORM 10 TITLE V MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2004
- FORM 11 NATIONAL AND STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURES
- FORM 12 NATIONAL AND STATE OUTCOME MEASURES
- FORM 13 CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH CARE NEEDS
- FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS
- FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE (TA) REQUEST AND TRACKING
- FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE/OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEETS
- FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEM CAPACITY INDICATORS (01 THROUGH 04,07,08) MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 18
  - O MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON
  - O MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
  - O SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL (HSCI 06)
- FORM 19
  - O GENERAL MCH DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09A)
  - O ADOLESCENT TOBACCO USE DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09B)
  - O OVERWEIGHT AND OBESITY DATA CAPACITY (HSCI 09C)
- FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS 01-05 MULTI-YEAR DATA
- FORM 21
  - O POPULATION DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 06)
  - O LIVE BIRTH DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 07)
  - O INFANT AND CHILDREN MORTALITY DATA (HSI 08)
  - O MISCELLANEOUS DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 09)
  - O GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREA DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 10)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL DEMOGRAPHIC DATA (HSI 11)
  - O POVERTY LEVEL FOR CHILDREN DEMOGRAPHICS DATA (HSI 12)
- New State Performance and Outcome Measures for New Needs Assessment Period

APPLICATION I	FOR FEDERAL AS		2. DATE SU 7/15/2005	JBMITTE	D	- 11	APPLICANT IDENTIFIER DUNS 878298900
1. TYPE OF SUBMISS	SION:	3	3. DATE R	ECEIVED	BY STATE		STATE APPLICATION IDENTIFIER
Application	Pre-applica						
Construction	Const	ruction 4	DATE R	ECEIVED	BY FEDERAL		FEDERAL IDENTIFIER B04MC 00298
Non-Construction	Non-C	Construction					
5. APPLICANT INFOR	RMATION						
Legal Name: Commonwealth of M	lassachusetts		Organiza	tional Un	it:	ommunity Heal	lth
Address (give city, cou	unty, state and zip code		Name an	d telepho on (give a ally Foge	ne number of the rea code)		contacted on matters involving this
6. EMPLOYER IDENT	TIFICATION NUMBER	(EIN):	H		*	appropriate lette	,
046	0 0 2 2	8 4	G. Spec	ty ciple ship state nuniciple ial Distric	I. State Contro J. Private Univ K. Indian Tribe L. Individual M. Profit Orga t N. Other (Spe	versity e nization cify)	t If Higher Learning
8. TYPE OF APPLICA		l			RAL AGENCY: and Services		n, Maternal and Child Health Bureau
A. Increase Award B. Decre Decrease Duration Other (spe	ropriate letter(s) in box( pase Award C. Increase Durati ecify):	ion					
10. CATALOG OF FE NUMBER:	DERAL DOMESTIC AS	SSISTANCE	11			PLICANT'S PRO S Block Grant	DJECT:
	9 4 Child Health Services						
13. PROPOSED PRO	JECT:		14. CON	GRESSI	NAL DISTRICT	rs of:	
Start Date: 10/01/2005	Ending Date: <b>09/30/2006</b>		a. Applica	ant		b. Project All Mass. Dist	ricts
15. ESTIMATED FUN	DING:						'E ORDER 12372 PROCESS?
a. Federal \$	12,085,938.00	a. YES, THIS PR ORDER 12372 DATE:				AS MADE AVAI	LABLE TO THE STATE EXECUTIVE
b. Applicant \$	1,198,001.00		C D A B A I O B	· > T		10070	
c. State \$	56,278,528.00	_			ERED BY E.O.		- FOR REVIEW
d. Local \$	0.00	III UK PI	RUGRAIN	HAS NO	I BEEN SELEC	CTED BY STATE	FOR REVIEW
e. Other	0.00						
f. Program Income	0.00						
g. TOTAL \$	69,562,467.00	17. IS THE APPLI Yes. If "Yes",				DERAL DEBT	
DOCUMENT HAS BE		ED BY THE GOVE	RNING BO				RE TRUE AND CORRECT. THE PLICANT WILL COMPLY BY THE
a. Typed Name of Aut Carol Weisberg	thorized Representative	ŧ		b. Title Chief Fi	nancial Officer	,	c. Telephone Number 617-624-5260
d. Signature of Author	ized Representative						e. Date Signed

Previous Editions Not Usable

Standard Form 424 (REV. 4-88) Prescribed by OMB A-102

F	ORM 2			
MCH BUDGET D				
	d) and 505(a)(3)(4)] ATE: MA	1		
	AIE. WIA			
FEDERAL ALLOCATION (Item 15a of the Application Face Sheet [SF 424]) Of the Federal Allocation (1 above), the amount earmarked for:			\$	12,085,938
A.Preventive and primary care for children:				
\$3,653,542 (30.23_%)				
B.Children with special health care needs: \$ 3,721,563 ( 30.79%)				
(If either A or B is less than 30%, a waiver request must accompany the C.Title V admininstrative costs:	application)[Sec. 50	05(a)(3)]		
\$ 894,232 ( 7.4%) (The above figure cannot be more than 10%)[Sec. 504(d)]				
2. UNOBLIGATED BALANCE (Item 15b of SF 424)			\$	1,198,001
3. STATE MCH FUNDS (Item 15c of the SF 424)			\$	56,278,528
4. LOCAL MCH FUNDS (Item 15d of SF 424)			\$	0
5. OTHER FUNDS (Item 15e of SF 424)			\$	0
6. PROGRAM INCOME (Item 15f of SF 424)			\$	0
7. TOTAL STATE MATCH (Lines 3 through 6) (Below is your State's FY 1989 Maintainence of Effort Amount) \$ 23,499,343			\$	56,278,528
8. FEDERAL-STATE TITLE V BLOCK GRANT	PARTNERS	HIP (SUBTOTAL	)	69,562,467
(Total lines 1 through 6. Same as line 15g of SF 424)			· •	,, -
<b>9. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS</b> (Funds under the control of the person responsible for the administration of	the Title V program	n)		
a. SPRANS:	\$	915,000		
b. SSDI:	\$	100,000		
c. CISS:	\$	170,447		
d. Abstinence Education:	\$	727,472		
e. Healthy Start:	\$	0		
f. EMSC:	\$	100,000		
g. WIC:	\$	104,983,370		
h. AIDS:	\$	888,693		
i. CDC:	\$	3,257,510		
j. Education:	\$	9,078,513		
k. Other:	·	•		
Dept of Justice	\$	899,948		
HRSA - Bioterrorism	\$	234,362		
Substance Abuse	\$	15,000		
10. OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (SUBTOTAL of all Funds unde	r item 9)		\$	121,370,315
11. STATE MCH BUDGET TOTAL (Partnership subtotal + Other Federal MCH Funds subtotal)			\$	190,932,782

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main Field Name: FedAlloc Row Name: Federal Allocation

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Based on projected FY06 award amount from MCHB memorandum.

Section Number: Main Field Name: FedAlloc\_Admin

Row Name: Federal Allocation - Title V Administrative costs

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Department of Public Health uses the same definitions and procedures for determining "administrative costs" for the MCH Block Grant as it originally applied to the Alcohol and Drug Abuse and Mental Health Services (ADAMHA) Block Grant. Using this definition, no more than 10% of the Commonwealth's federal MCH funds (including both the FY05 estimated allotment and estimated carry-over FY04 federal funds) are budgeted for administrative costs for FY05. This definition has not changed from previous years. This definition of administrative costs includes funds expended for personnel working within the Department's Central Administration (for such functions as contracting and payments for purchase of service, payroll, travel reimbursement; support of legal services, administrative support, and personnel functions) and personnel within the BFCH working entirely on fiscal management and operations.

The amount shown here represents the percentage of the FY06 award budgeted for administrative costs. The amount shown on Form 4, Line I.f., for FY06 Budgeted includes both FY06 funds and FY05 carry-over funds.

Section Number: Main Field Name: StateMCHFunds Row Name: State MCH Funds

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The following state accounts or portions of state accounts make up the "Total State Funds" amount of \$56,278,528, based on the expected state FY06 budget as known at the time of submission. (The entire veto and veto override process is not yet complete.)

Family Health Services account (\$7,115,196; compared to \$9,481,028 in FY03 and \$6,668,000 in FY04) Early Intervention accounts, including retained revenue (\$32,540,074, a slight expansion over FY05)

Universal Newborn Hearing Screening (\$83,060; no change)

Teen Pregnancy Prevention Challenge Fund, portion (\$250,000) (NOTE: The remaining \$740,000 of FY06 funding (down from \$1,849,633 in FY03) in this account is still managed by the Title V agency but is used as match for federal TANF funding that supports the FOR Families ISA (\$490,000) and as match for the federal Abstinence Education grant (\$250,000); prior to FY03, the entire Challenge Fund had been considered part of our Federal-State Partnership.)

School Health (including core school health support, Essential School Health grants, and school-based health centers) (\$14,019,309 vs. \$25,273,620 in FY03)

Medicaid ISA for El Partnership home visiting programs (\$500,000) Portions of state Dental Health account (\$47,982)

Portions of other state shared administrative accounts (\$1,722,907). Beginning in FY04, all personnel costs were transferred into this account from MCH partnership state accounts: Family Health, Early Intervention, Dental Health, Teen Pregnancy Prevention, and School Health.

Healthy Start and the Children's Medical Security Program have been transferred fully to Medicaid and are no longer part of the Federal-State Title V Partnership Budget.

Based on a total FY06 federal MCH budget of \$13,283,939, this breaks out as a budgeted FY06 State Match (\$3 state for every \$4 federal) of \$9,962,954 and State Over Match of \$46,315,574

Based on a total new FY06 federal MCH award of \$12,085,938, this breaks out as a budgeted FY05 State Match (\$3 state for every \$4 new federal) of \$9,064,454 and State Over Match of \$47,214,074.

Section Number: Main Field Name: SPRANS

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - SPRANS

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The total SPRANS category includes the following:

ASAP2: Improving Screening for Alcohol Use during Pregnancy Demonstration Project 2 (\$150,000)

Infant Hearing Linkage Project (\$150,000) Childhood Oral Healthcare Access (\$65,000)

Perinatal Depression (\$250,000)

Integrated Systems for CSHCN (NEW) (\$300,000)

These amounts are estimates of FY06 budgets and projects.

Section Number: Main Field Name: SSDI

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - SSDI

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

MCHB Primary Care Systems Development grant (\$100,000).

Section Number: Main Field Name: CISS

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - CISS

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The total CISS category includes the following:

Max Care: Maximizing Children's Health and Safety in Day Care (\$70,447); ends during FY06

Massachusetts Early Childhood Comprehensive Systems (\$100,000).

Section Number: Main Field Name: AbsEducation

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Abstinence Education

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount shown of \$727,472 is the current FY06 estimate.

Section Number: Main Field Name: EMSC

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - EMSC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The total EMSC category includes the following:

EMSC Partnership (\$100,000)

Section Number: Main

Field Name: WIC

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The amount shown includes both federal and state WIC funding as following:

Federal WIC Funds (\$68,047,769)

State Nutrition Funds (for WIC, Office of Nutrition, and Growth and Nutrition) (\$12,859,601).

State WIC Infant Formula Rebate Retained Revenue - (\$24,076,000)

State WIC/Nutrition funds have been included because they and the federal funds are fully blended at the state level. The state WIC funds, while not appropriate to include as part of our MCH Partnership funding, are administered by the Bureau and represent a major component of the Commonwealth's overall MCH commitment.

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: AIDS Row Name: Other Federal Funds - AIDS

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Ryan White Title IV Pediatric AIDS Demonstration (MassCARE) (\$888,693)

Section Number: Main Field Name: CDC

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - CDC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total estimated CDC funding related to maternal and child health includes the following:

Childhood Hearing Data System (\$150,000) CARE Communities (\$455,524); ends 9/29/05 Obesity Prevention and Intervention (\$1,000,000) Residential Fire Injury Prevention (\$143,139) Mass. Youth Violence Prevention (\$99,845)

Addressing Asthma from a Public Health Perspective (\$200,000)

Portions of Federal Immunization funding (\$137,432) Birth Defects Research and Prevention (\$1,071,570).

12. Section Number: Main Field Name: Education

Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Education

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Federal education funding includes the following:

Federal P.L. 102-119 Part C of IDEA funds (\$9,000,000, including carry-forward funds)

Federal funds for Collaborative School Health, through ISA from state Department of Education (\$78,513).

13. Section Number: Main

Field Name: OtherFedFundsOtherFund Row Name: Other Federal Funds - Other Funds

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Other Federal lines includes the following:

Federal Substance Abuse funds (for Poison Control System) (\$15,000)

HRSA Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness funds (for Poison Control System) (\$234,362) Department of Justice Rural Domestic Violence and Child Victimization grant (\$899,948).

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2	2006
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$12,046,095	\$10,163,098	\$12,140,389	\$0	\$12,085,938	\$0
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$1,804,957	\$	\$ 931,676	\$0	\$1,198,001	\$0
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 69,075,127	\$ 69,129,506	\$ 55,377,970	\$0	\$56,278,528	\$0
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 82,926,179	\$81,412,317	\$ 68,450,035	\$0	\$ 69,562,467	\$0
		(THE FEI	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTN	IERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ <u>113,979,842</u>	\$ <u>115,133,412</u>	\$ <u>116,104,568</u>	\$0	\$ <u>121,370,315</u>	\$0
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 196,906,021	\$ 196,545,729	\$ 184,554,603	\$0	\$ 190,932,782	\$0
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

#### STATE MCH FUNDING PROFILE

[Secs. 505(a) and 506((a)(I-3)]

	FY	2001	FY :	2002	FY 2	2003
	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED
1. Federal Allocation (Line1, Form 2)	\$11,884,500	\$8,522,571	\$11,867,040	\$9,708,947	\$12,072,751	\$9,933,047
2. Unobligated Balance (Line2, Form 2)	\$1,144,255	\$\$	\$1,347,619	\$\$	\$ 1,493,240	\$\$
3. State Funds (Line3, Form 2)	\$ 96,868,475	\$ 98,057,296	\$ 88,766,606	\$ 95,970,263	\$90,889,935	\$ 78,191,530
4. Local MCH Funds (Line4, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
5. Other Funds (Line5, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6. Program Income (Line6, Form 2)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
7. Subtotal (Line8, Form 2)	\$ 109,897,230	\$ 109,570,915	\$ 101,981,265	\$ 108,476,084	\$ 104,455,926	\$ 90,921,451
		(THE FE	DERAL-STATE TITLE E	BLOCK GRANT PARTI	NERSHIP)	
8. Other Federal Funds (Line10, Form 2)	\$ 110,961,296	\$ 106,468,131	\$110,633,113	\$ 109,099,040	\$114,662,274	\$ 109,078,957
9. Total (Line11, Form 2)	\$ 220,858,526	\$ 216,039,046	\$\$12,614,378	\$ 217,575,124	\$219,118,200	\$ 200,000,408
			(STATE MCH B	UDGET TOTAL)		

State v. Federal Fiscal Year

Due to the state budget cycle, which structures all of our purchase of service expenditures and readily accessible budget and expenditure accounting information, all amounts shown are for the relevant State Fiscal Year, which runs from July 1 to June 30. (FY04 = July 1, 2003 - June 30, 2004 and FY06 = July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2006). Final expenditures matched to budgeted encumbrances can be obtained only at the end of the accounts payable period for a state fiscal year. This reporting is consistent with budgets presented in previous applications and annual reports.

Contracted Service Amounts

Dollar amounts for purchased services, by program type and vender, are available upon request.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The allocation amount shown in the Expended Column of \$9,933,047 represents the difference between the total federal funds expended (\$12,729,921) and the amount of carry-forward funds (exclusive of the final FY02 quarterly allotment) available; "older" federal funds are expended before new allocations.

In FY03 federal expenditures (from new and unobligated balance funds combined) were approximately 94% of the FY03 projected budget (a total of \$12,729,921 expended compared with \$13,565,991 budgeted). The differences were due primarily to normal staff turnover, vendors not completely billing out annual contracts, and transfers of some general support costs to other funding sources (state and federal).

2. Section Number: Main

Field Name: FedAllocExpended Row Name: Federal Allocation Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The allocation amount shown in the Expended Column of \$10,163,098 represents the difference between the total federal funds expended (\$12,282,811) and the amount of carry-forward funds (exclusive of the final FY03 quarterly allotment) available; "older" federal funds are expended before new allocations.

In FY04 federal expenditures (from new and unobligated balance funds combined) were approximately 89% of the FY04 projected budget (a total of \$12,282,811 expended compared with \$13,851,052 budgeted). The differences were due primarily to normal staff turnover, vendors not completely billing out annual contracts, and continued transfers of some general support costs to other funding sources (state and federal).

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003

Field Note:

The FY03 Unobligated Balance expended is higher than the amount originally budgeted. We generally do not fully budget the sum of the new allocations and the carryover from the previous year; therefore the sum of lines 1 and 2 in any Budgeted Column is not the same as "Total Funds Available." The precise amount of carryover cannot be calculated at the time the new budget is prepared, as accounts payable extends for at least 2 months after the close of the state fiscal year.

Another systematic difference between the Federal Budgeted and Expended Columns is that when showing the budget, the new federal award is shown in full (per instructions) and only the amount of unobligated carry-forward funds necessary to meet our program needs is budgeted. However, expenditures are always paid first with the "oldest" federal funds, not the new award. Therefore for expenditures, only the amount of the new grant needed to make all budgeted payments is actually expended. The final federal balance forward for FY03 was \$2,796,874, whereas only \$1,493,240 had been budgeted originally.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: UnobligatedBalanceExpended

Row Name: Unobligated Balance Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The FY04 Unobligated Balance expended is higher than the amount originally budgeted. We generally do not fully budget the sum of the new allocations and the carryover from the previous year; therefore the sum of lines 1 and 2 in any Budgeted Column is not the same as "Total Funds Available." The precise amount of carryover cannot be calculated at the time the new budget is prepared, as accounts payable extends for at least 2 months after the close of the state fiscal year.

Another systematic difference between the Federal Budgeted and Expended Columns is that when showing the budget, the new federal award is shown in full (per instructions) and only the amount of unobligated carry-forward funds necessary to meet our program needs is budgeted. However, expenditures are always paid first with the "oldest" federal funds, not the new award. Therefore for expenditures, only the amount of the new grant needed to make all budgeted payments is actually expended. The final federal balance forward for FY04 was \$2,119,713, whereas only \$1,804,957 had been budgeted originally.

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

The following state accounts or portions of state accounts make up the total "State Funds Expended" amount of \$78,191,530 (expended amounts are shown in parentheses):

Family Health Services account (\$9,989,477). [Note: This is not the total expenditure for the account, as portions earmarked for non-MCH services are not counted as state MCH match.]

Healthy Start account (\$6,779,774)

State funds portion of Medicaid ISA for Medicaid outreach for pregnant / postpartum women (Healthy Start) (\$49,982)

Medicaid ISA for MCH Home Visiting Programs (\$500,000)

Teen Pregnancy Prevention Challenge Fund (\$407,579)

Early Intervention account, including supplemental budget (\$28,562,518)

Early Intervention retained revenue account (\$2,538,952) Children's Medical Security Program account (\$14,089,251)

Portion of Mass. Tobacco Control Program account (for school health) (\$2,806,738)

School-Based Health Centers (\$2,408,389)

School Health Services (\$9,309,504)

Dental Health account (partial) (\$100,923)

Newborn Hearing Screening – included in Family Health account for FY03

Portions of other state shared administrative accounts (for some payroll expenses and office operations) (\$648,443).

Based on FY03 total federal MCH expenditures of \$12,729,921, this breaks out as FY03 State Match (\$3 state for every \$4 federal) expenditures of \$9,547,441 and State Over Match expenditures of \$68,644,089.

The state budget for FY03 (which began July 1, 2002) was reduced by the executive branch twice during the year after our final Partnership Budget was filed, due to a continuing worsening of the state's fiscal situation. Because most accounts had been substantially reduced in the initial FY03 budget, only two state accounts that were part

of the Partnership Budget were affected – those supporting school health services and school-based health centers. However, they were dramatically reduced by 42%, from an original total of \$25,273,620 to a final level of \$14,577,331. The net impact of the cuts on programmatic services are discussed in relationship to Forms 4 and 5.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: StateMCHFundsExpended

Row Name: State Funds Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

The following state accounts or portions of state accounts make up the total "State Funds Expended" amount of \$69,129,506 (expended amounts are shown in parentheses):

Family Health Services account (\$4,693,885), including a supplemental budget of over \$1.8M after our FY04 application was revised. [Note: This is not the total expenditure for the account, as portions earmarked for non-MCH services are not counted as state MCH match.]

Healthy Start accounts (\$6,334,946)

Medicaid ISA for MCH Home Visiting Programs (\$497,180)

Teen Pregnancy Prevention Challenge Fund (\$474,029)

Early Intervention account (\$28,865,406)

Early Intervention retained revenue account (\$2,555,411) Children's Medical Security Program account (\$11,629,030) School-Based Health Centers (\$2,929,137)

School-Based Health Centers (\$2,929,13 School Health Services (\$9,005,430) Dental Health account (partial) (\$48,955) Newborn Hearing Screening (\$60,692)

Portions of other state shared administrative accounts (for all state payroll expenses and for office operations) (\$2,035,405).

Based on FY04 total federal MCH expenditures of \$12,282,811, this breaks out as FY04 State Match (\$3 state for every \$4 federal) expenditures of \$9,212,108 and State

Over Match expenditures of \$59,917,398.

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2004		FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 9,219,553	\$ 8,828,182	\$3,483,251	\$0	\$3,571,556	\$0	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	\$1,975,900	\$1,384,958	\$0	\$1,303,616	\$0	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 28,045,862	\$ 27,833,229	\$ 18,110,315	\$0	\$ 18,172,827	\$0	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 39,635,951	\$ 37,798,752	\$ 41,572,050	\$0	\$ 39,304,490	\$0	
e. Others	\$1,380,334	\$3,762,757	\$2,532,963	\$0	\$5,839,136	\$0	
f. Administration	\$2,083,287	\$1,213,497	\$1,366,498	\$0	\$1,370,842	\$0	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 82,926,179	\$81,412,317	\$ 68,450,035	\$0	\$ 69,562,467	\$ <u> </u>	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$920,106		\$ <u>1,148,385</u>		\$ 915,000		
b. SSDI	\$100,000		\$100,000		\$ 100,000		
c. CISS	\$150,000		\$157,832		\$ 170,447		
d. Abstinence Education	\$		\$ 885,814		\$ 727,472		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$		\$ 125,000		\$ 100,000		
g. WIC	\$ 98,459,010		\$ 99,732,710		\$ 104,983,370		
h. AIDS	\$ 888,348		\$ 888,348		\$ 888,693		
i. CDC	\$3,016,755		\$3,286,012		\$ <u>3,257,510</u>		
j. Education	\$8,979,155		\$9,301,049		\$ 9,078,513		
k.Other		ı		1			
Dept of Justice	\$0		\$464,418		\$ 899,948		
HRSA - Bioterrorism	\$0		\$0		\$ 234,362		
Substance Abuse	\$0		\$0		\$ 15,000		
SAMHSA Block Grant	\$0		\$15,000		\$0		
Department of Justic	\$ 449,850		\$0		\$0		
Other federal	\$15,000		\$0		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$113,979,842		\$116,104,568		\$ <u>121,370,315</u>		

#### BUDGET DETAILS BY TYPES OF INDIVIDUALS SERVED (I) AND SOURCES OF OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS (II)

[Secs 506(2)(2)(iv)]

	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
a. Pregnant Women	\$ 10,738,885	\$ 12,323,823	\$ 12,466,849	\$ 11,595,964	\$ 10,949,535	\$9,950,610	
b. Infants < 1 year old	\$	\$	\$2,817,561	\$1,959,110	\$ 2,257,582	\$1,774,912	
c. Children 1 to 22 years old	\$ 48,650,333	\$ 46,493,637	\$ 43,090,739	\$ 45,997,995	\$ 42,162,056	\$34,291,840	
d. Children with Special Healthcare Needs	\$ 38,441,365	\$ 40,334,298	\$34,162,295	\$ 40,449,006	\$39,990,057	\$ 37,629,089	
e. Others	\$6,399,348	\$ 6,328,143	\$ 6,384,873	\$ 6,314,052	\$5,595,769	\$5,469,066	
f. Administration	\$3,095,581	\$1,958,432	\$3,058,948	\$ 2,159,956	\$3,500,927	\$1,805,934	
g. SUBTOTAL	\$ 109,897,230	\$ 109,570,915	\$ <u>101,981,265</u>	\$ 108,476,083	\$ 104,455,926	\$90,921,451	
II. Other Federal Funds (under the c	ontrol of the person re	esponsible for admini	stration of the Title V	program).			
a. SPRANS	\$805,243		\$1,190,110		\$1,066,478		
b. SSDI	\$150,369		\$100,000		\$ 123,043		
c. CISS	\$169,811		\$ 184,650		\$141,080		
d. Abstinence Education	\$872,141		\$ 952,310		\$ 861,557		
e. Healthy Start	\$0		\$0		\$0		
f. EMSC	\$146,053		\$ 245,950		\$ 202,240		
g. WIC	\$96,320,751		\$ 96,642,518		\$ 99,345,568		
h. AIDS	\$909,284		\$888,693		\$888,693		
i. CDC	\$1,872,546		\$1,036,032		\$1,773,900		
j. Education	\$8,942,166		\$8,942,000		\$9,779,155		
k.Other							
Department of Justice	\$397,104		\$		\$ 465,560		
Other Federal	\$0		\$ <u> </u>		\$ 15,000		
Medicaid	\$ 360,828		\$ 35,850		\$0		
Other federal	\$15,000		\$15,000		\$0		
III. SUBTOTAL	\$110,961,296		\$ <u>110,633,113</u>		\$ <u>114,662,274</u>		

It may appear from Form 4 that Massachusetts distributes our funding among MCH Population groups in a variable manner from year to year and that certain groups differ significantly in FY06 from their FY05 or previous shares. This picture is misleading because Form 4 presents the entire MCH Federal-State Partnership budget, which in our case is over 80% state funds (83% in FY04 and 87% in FY03). A more accurate picture of our commitment to the MCH Populations may be seen in the tables attached to Part 5, Section B of the Narrative portion of our Application, which presents data separately for federal and state funds over several years. A comparison of Form 4 and this table illustrates that virtually all of the year to year variation in the relative distribution of funds across population groups is due to variations in state funding. The overall reductions in funding in categories from FY03 through FY06 are due to the loss of state funds, down from \$90,889,935 in FY03 to \$69,075,127 in FY04 and to \$56,278,528 in FY06. After FY04, the drop (which has stabilized now) was due to the transfer of two major state insurance programs – Healthy Start and Children's Medical Security Program – out of the Title V agency. Based on the categorical nature of our state funding stream (and the fact that cuts and increases are often not proportional across accounts), the impact of state funding changes is not felt equally across all of MCH population groups.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenBudgeted Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

2. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: PregWomenExpended Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

3. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Budgeted Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

4. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Final FY03 Expended totals are more than 10% lower than the FY03 Budgeted totals. This is principally due to the reduction in total "shared" expenditures by about \$1.3M from their initial budgeted level, due to staff vacancies, early retirements (as part of the forced emergency cuts during FY03), and some cost-shifting to non-Partnership accounts. These Shared costs (either budgeted or expended) are allocated across the MCH population categories in proportion to each category's share of direct costs. Thus the savings in Shared costs helps account for the lower expenditures in all categories, but because of the relatively small total for Infants, the effect is magnified enough to trigger the TVIS filter of a 10% difference. Direct expenditures for Infants (from both federal and state funds) only differed from the budgeted totals by approximately 7%.

5. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_0\_1Expended Row Name: Infants <1 year old Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Final FY04 Expended totals are more than 10% lower than the FY04 Budgeted totals. This is principally due to the reduction in total "shared" expenditures by about \$1.2M from their initial budgeted level, due to staff vacancies and some cost-shifting to non-Partnership accounts. These Shared costs (either budgeted or expended) are allocated across the MCH population categories in proportion to each category's share of direct costs. Thus the savings in Shared costs helps account for the lower expenditures in all categories, but because of the relatively small total for Infants, the effect is magnified enough to trigger the TVIS filter of a 10% difference. Adding to this impact, expenditures for the start-up year of the Early Intervention Partnership program ran almost \$300,000 less than initially budgeted.

6. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Budgeted Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

7. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: Children\_1\_22Expended Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years old

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Final FY03 Expended totals are different from FY03 Budgeted totals. Emergency cuts were made to the state FY03 budget after our application was filed. The school health-related accounts were reduced by approximately 42% mid-year. These funds were primarily targeted to children in this population category and thus total spending fell. (See Notes to Form 3 also.)

8. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: CSHCNBudgeted Row Name: CSHCN Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

9. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AllOthersExpended Row Name: All Others Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Final FY04 Expended totals are substantially higher than the FY04 Budgeted totals, due to an error in the allocation of Family Planning state dollars in the FY04 budget document. They were mistakenly assigned to Category D (children with special health needs) rather than to Category E (Others) and to Category C (Children ages 1 – 22). This error has been corrected in the final Expended calculations. In addition, state funding for Rape Crisis Centers and Family Planning was increased after the final revision to our FY04 application through a state supplemental budget, further raising the FY04 expenditure level. Because all of the funds involved in these changes were state, none of the federal required percentages for either children and adolescents or for children with special needs were affected.

10. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminBudgeted Row Name: Administration Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

11. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Final FY03 Expended totals are significantly lower than the FY03 Budgeted totals. This difference reflects two different situations in FY03. Substantial (42%) cuts in school health-related accounts resulted in sharp cuts to their contributions to administrative costs (including staff support) for the Bureau and the Department. In addition, the Bureau continued to be quite successful in both reducing overall administration costs and in shifting a number of them to other state and federal accounts that are not part of the Partnership budget. This trend in lower administration costs within the Partnership budget can also be seen in the proposed FY05 budget – which is again lower.

12. Section Number: I. Federal-State MCH Block Grant Partnership

Field Name: AdminExpended Row Name: Administration Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Final FY04 Expended totals are significantly lower than the FY04 Budgeted totals. This difference reflects two different situations in FY04. First, with some changes in how state personnel costs are charged, a number of non-MCH-related state administrative expenses were removed entirely from the Partnership budget calculations – for clarity and consistency. [They are no longer a portion of the accounts that we consider part of the partnership and can more easily be separated.] In addition, the Bureau continued to be successful in both reducing overall administration costs and in shifting a number of them to other state and federal accounts that are not part of the Partnership budget. This trend in lower administration costs within the Partnership budget can also be seen in the proposed FY06 budget – which remains at its lower FY05 level.

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

TYPE OF SERVICE	FY 2	2004	FY 2	2005	FY 2006		
TIPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 18,982,228	\$\$	\$ 19,230,392	\$0	\$\$	\$0	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$39,487,961	\$35,701,843	\$23,847,802	\$0	\$19,193,037	\$0	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 9,091,330	\$9,800,669	\$10,683,506	\$0	\$11,075,412	\$0	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$15,364,660	\$14,916,158	\$14,688,335	\$0	\$15,533,254	\$0	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$82,926,179	\$81,412,317	\$68,450,035	\$0	\$69,562,467	\$0	

#### STATE TITLE V PROGRAM BUDGET AND EXPENDITURES BY TYPES OF SERVICES

[Secs. 505(a)(2)(A-B) and 506(a)(1)(A-D)]

Type of Sepvice	FY 2	2001	FY 2	2002	FY 2003		
TYPE OF SERVICE	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	BUDGETED	EXPENDED	
I. Direct Health Care Services (Basic Health Services and Health Services for CSHCN.)	\$ 23,817,366	\$\$	\$ 22,363,875	\$ 26,694,233	\$\$	\$\$	
II. Enabling Services (Transportation, Translation, Outreach, Respite Care, Health Education, Family Support Services, Purchase of Health Insurance, Case Management, and Coordination with Medicaid, WIC, and Education.)	\$ 47,182,911	\$43,995,156	\$48,617,131	\$ 42,893,456	\$ 44,364,123	\$37,138,048	
III. Population-Based Services (Newborn Screening, Lead Screening, Immunization, Sudden Infant Death Syndrome Counseling, Oral Health, Injury Prevention, Nutrition, and Outreach/Public Education.)	\$ 13,021,561	\$15,120,073	\$ 10,227,652	\$ 15,265,771	\$ 13,838,296	\$12,899,548	
IV. Infrastructure Building Services (Needs Assessment, Evaluation, Planning, Policy Development, Coordination, Quality Assurance, Standards Development, Monitoring, Training, Applied Research, Systems of Care, and Information Systems.)	\$25,875,392	\$23,661,297	\$20,772,607	\$23,622,624	\$21,908,929	\$17,591,385	
V. Federal-State Title V Block Grant Partnership Total (Federal-State Partnership only. Item 15g of SF 42r. For the "Budget" columns this is the same figure that appears in Line 8, Form 2, and in the "Budgeted" columns of Line 7 Form 3. For the "Expended" columns this is the same figure that appears in the "Expended" columns of Line 7, Form 3.)	\$109,897,230	\$109,570,915	\$101,981,265	\$108,476,084	\$104,455,926	\$90,921,451	

It may appear from Form 5 that Massachusetts continues to devote a large proportion of our funding on Direct Health Care Services, rather than shifting toward the Infrastructure Building Services at the "base" of the MCH Pyramid as recommended by MCHB. This picture is misleading, however, because Form 5 presents the entire MCH Federal-State Partnership budget, which in our case is over 80% state funds (83% in FY04 and 87% in FY03). The state funds are generally targeted toward direct and enabling services and in fact the generous level of state funding over the years has allowed us to increasingly focus our federal MCH dollars on infrastructure building, reducing the level of federal funding for direct and enabling services without reducing services for women, children and families. A more accurate picture of our commitment to the MCH Pyramid may be seen in the tables attached to Part 5, Section B of the Narrative portion of our Application, which present data for federal and state funds separately over several years. A comparison of Form 5 and these tables illustrates that virtually all of the year to year variation in the relative distribution of funds across the pyramid is due to variations in state funding, which we are not able to control or direct to the same degree as federal funds. For example, between 55% and 58% of federal funds have been allocated to Infrastructure each year and only approximately 11% to Direct Services. The overall reductions in funding in categories from FY03 through FY06 are due to the loss of state funds, down from \$90,889,935 in FY03 to \$69,075,127 in FY04 and to \$56,278,528 in FY06. After FY04, the drop (which has stabilized to the loss of state funds, down from \$90,889,935 in FY03 to \$69,075,127 in FY06 and to \$56,278,528 in FY06. The Versen Record on the now) was due to the transfer of two major state insurance programs - Healthy Start and Children's Medical Security Program - out of the Title V agency. Based on the categorical nature of our state funding stream (and the disproportion cuts in some accounts), the impact of the state funding cuts is not felt equally across all of the federal MCH pyramid. In particular, the loss of the insurance programs has affected the overall budget for Enabling Services, of which they were a major component. At the same time, partial restoration of funding levels for Family Planning and School-Based Health Centers has increased the percentage of remaining state funds going for Direct Services.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCBudgeted Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Section Number: Main

Field Name: DirectHCExpended Row Name: Direct Health Care Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004 Field Note:

Final FY04 Expended totals are significantly higher than FY04 Budgeted totals for Direct Services for two reasons. First, there was an error in the allocation of the bulk of Family Planning state dollars in the FY04 budget document to Enabling Services rather than to Direct Services. This error has been corrected in the final Expended calculations and accounts for about \$1M of the difference. In addition, state funding for Family Planning was increased after the final revision to our FY04 application through a state supplemental budget, further raising the FY04 expenditure level.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: EnablingExpended Row Name: Enabling Services Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Final FY03 Expended totals are significantly different from FY03 Budgeted totals for Enabling Services. (See Notes to Form 3 also). Emergency cuts were made to the state FY03 budget after our application was filed. The school health-related accounts were reduced by approximately 42% mid-year. As approximately 25% of Enhanced School Health Services grants to cities and towns and 10% of School-Based Health Centers contracts are for Enabling Services activities, this reduction in state funds was a major contributing factor to the lower expenditure amounts. In addition, not all state CMSP insurance funding originally budgeted was expended during FY03.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedBudgeted Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Budgeted

Year: 2004

Massachusetts appears to spend relatively less on Population-Based Services than the general shape of the "MCH Pyramid" might suggest. This is due to the fact that most of the Commonwealth's extensive population-based services for the MCH populations are located elsewhere in the Department of Public Health or otherwise not under the direction of the state Title V Director. They are thus not included in Form 5. These services and programs include the Massachusetts Immunization Program, the New England Newborn Screening Program, and the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program. In addition, many population-based programs by their nature tend to be less costly than enabling or direct health care programs serving fewer persons.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Massachusetts appears to spend relatively less on Population-Based Services than the general shape of the "MCH Pyramid" might suggest. This is due to the fact that most of the Commonwealth's extensive population-based services for the MCH populations are located elsewhere in the Department of Public Health or otherwise not under the direction of the state Title V Director. They are thus not included in Form 5. These services and programs include the Massachusetts Immunization Program, the New England Newborn Screening Program, and the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program. In addition, many population-based programs by their nature tend to be less costly than enabling or direct health care programs serving fewer persons.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: PopBasedExpended Row Name: Population-Based Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2004

Massachusetts appears to spend relatively less on Population-Based Services than the general shape of the "MCH Pyramid" might suggest. This is due to the fact that most of the Commonwealth's extensive population-based services for the MCH populations are located elsewhere in the Department of Public Health or otherwise not under the direction of the state Title V Director. They are thus not included in Form 5. These services and programs include the Massachusetts Immunization Program, the New England Newborn Screening Program, and the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program. In addition, many population-based programs by their nature tend to be less costly than enabling or direct health care programs serving fewer persons.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: InfrastrBuildExpended Row Name: Infrastructure Building Services

Column Name: Expended

Year: 2003 Field Note:

Final FY03 Expended totals are significantly lower than the FY03 Budgeted totals for Infrastructure Building. Emergency cuts were made to the state FY03 budget after our application was filed. The school health-related accounts were reduced by approximately 42% mid-year. Because a significant proportion of these funds was targeted to improving school health services infrastructure (both through Title V agency staff support and substantial grants to over a hundred cities and towns to improve school

nursing services), a major result of the loss of funds was this drop in Infrastructure Building expenditures.

FORM 6											
NUMBER AND PE	NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NEWBORNS AND OTHERS SCREENED, CASES CONFIRMED, AND TREATED										
	Sect. 506(a)(2)(B)(iii)  STATE: MA										
	STATE: MA										
Total Births by Oc	currence:	79,400			Reporting Y	ear: 2004					
Type of Screening Tests	(A Receiving at lea (1)	st one Screen	(B) No. of Presumptive Positive	(C) No. Confirmed	Needing Tre Received Tr	atment that					
	No.	%	Screens	Cases (2)	No.	%					
Phenylketonuria	79,142	99.7	112	2	2	100					
Congenital Hypothyroidism	79,142	99.7	1,250	52	52	100					
Galactosemia	79,142	99.7	50	2	2	100					
Sickle Cell Disease	79,142	99.7	31	31	31	100					
Other Screening	(Specify)										
Biotinidase Deficiency	79,142	99.7	138		0						
Homocystinuria	79,142	99.7	137	C	0						
Toxoplasmosis	79,142	99.7	40	1	1	100					
Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)	79,142	99.7	172		5	100					
Maple Syrup Urine Disease (MSUD)	79,142	99.7	18	3	3	100					
Medium Chain AcylCo-A Dehydrogenase (MCAD)	79,142	99.7	36	4	4	100					
Optional Cystic Fibrosis	78,652	99.1	244	27	27	100					
Optional Metabolic Panel	78,656	99.1	179	5	5	100					
(1) Use occurrent (2) Report only the (3) Use number of	births as denominate of the births as denominated by the births as denomin	ator. pirths.	· · ·	by name)							

The New England Newborn Screening Program (NENSP) at the University of Massachusetts Medical School provided all these newborn screening services and furnished these data. Every newborn is screened for ten disorders. Every newborn with abnormal results is tracked to a normal result or appropriate clinical care. Data are for the calendar year 2004. For this year, the numbers reported were babies from whom at least one specimen card was received by the NENSP indicating (in the birth facility field on the card) that the baby was born in Massachusetts. If the birth facility was not given, then the baby was counted only if the specimen card was a Massachusetts card. For example, if the only specimens received on a baby born in MA were RI cards, and the correct MA birth hospital was not indicated on the card, then the baby would not be counted in these numbers.

In addition to the 10 mandatory tests, optional screening is offered for Cystic Fibrosis and for an Extended Panel of 19 disorders: Tyrosinemia I, Tyrosinemia II, HMG Lyase Deficiency, Argininosuccinic Aciduria, Isovaleric Acidemia, HHH Syndrome, Glutaric Acidemia I, Glutaric Acidemia II, Citrullinemia, Argininemia, CPT Deficiency, Propionic Acidemia, Methylmalonic Aciduria (MMA), ß-Methyl Crotonyl Carboxylase (MCC), LCHAD [long-chain hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency], VLCAD [very-long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency], SCAD [short-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency], LCAD [long-chain acyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency], and ß-Ketothiolase Deficiency [2-methylacetoacetyl-CoA thiolase deficiency]. In 2004, 99% of parents participated in the voluntary testing.

Only confirmed cases from resident births are reported here.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_OneScreenNo

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Receiving at least one screen

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Screening is reported for "Hemoglobin Disorders," not just "Sickle Cell Disease."

Section Number: Main

Field Name: Congenital\_Presumptive

Row Name: Congenital

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006

The number of presumptive positives for congenital hypothyroidism may appear quite high in comparison with data from other states. The following explanation should help

The NENSP uses a two-tiered testing algorithm for the hypothyroid screen, using both a T4 and a TSH test. The 1,250 presumptive positive screens in Column B represent cases where T4 OR TSH values were out of range for at least one sample. Many of these are in low birth weight NICU babies, a population known to yield elevated results on these tests, and most of whom are not really presumed to have hypothyroidism. Some programs ignore babies who only have "T4 only" out-of-range, and for the purpose of these reports only count babies with elevated TSH as "presumptive" positive. (We have done this in the past ourselves.) In fact some programs do not even follow up on babies who have an out-of-range T4 if there is not also an out-of-range TSH. This practice does run a risk of missing certain babies with hypothyroidism. NENSP follows up by getting additional specimens from any baby who yields an out of range test for "T4 plus TSH", T4 alone, or TSH alone. If it were not for the hypothyroid screen, these babies would not have follow-up samples required; thus to this extent, they are "presumptive" positive until further testing shows otherwise.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Presumptive

Row Name: SickleCellDisease

Column Name: Presumptive positive screens

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The number of presumptive positive screens is the same as the number of confirmed positives for sickling disorders. This may appear to be an error, but the numbers are correct. Unlike most other tests, a presumptive positive sickle cell test generally confirms.

Section Number: Main

Field Name: SickleCellDisease\_Confirmed

Row Name: SickleCellDisease Column Name: Confirmed Cases

Year: 2006

The number of presumptive positive screens is the same as the number of confirmed positives for sickling disorders. This may appear to be an error, but the numbers are correct. Unlike most other tests, a presumptive positive sickle cell test generally confirms.

Section Number: Other Screening Types

Field Name: Other Row Name: All Rows Column Name: All Columns

Year: 2006 Field Note:

In addition to the 10 mandatory tests, optional screening is offered for Cystic Fibrosis and for an Extended Panel of 19 disorders. (See Form-Level note for a listing.) In 2004, 99% of parents participated in the voluntary testing.

The results for 2004 for the optional metabolic panel disorders testing are shown in the last line of the Form. The "# Presumed Positive" is reported combined for these disorders, because some blood analytes may be associated with more than one of these disorders, making the usual statistics misleading. The 5 confirmed and treated disorders in 2004 were as follows: MMA (1 + 1 cobalamin A), SCAD (1), VLCAD (2); also detected 3 carnitine transport defects.

### Number of Individuals Served (Unduplicated) under Title V (BY Class of Individuals and Percent of Health Coverage)

[Sec. 506(a)(2)(A)(i-ii)]

STATE: MA

Reporting Year: 2004

	TITLE V PRIMARY SOURCES OF COVERAGE					
Types of Individuals Served	(A) Total Served	(B) Title XIX %	(C) Title XXI %	(D) Private/Other %	(E) None %	(F) Unknown %
Pregnant Women	16,325	22.9	0.0	68.2	3.0	5.9
Infants < 1 year old	14,317	50.1	0.0	20.9	10.8	18.2
Children 1 to 22 years old	257,258	32.0	0.0	37.8	18.4	11.8
Children with Special Healthcare Needs	36,260	33.9	0.0	59.8	2.4	3.9
Others	39,014	2.1	0.0	3.4	59.5	35.0
TOTAL	363,174					

Please see the Attachment file for Part IV, Section E of the Narrative ("Other Program Activities"). The second part of that attachment is a Table entitled "Massachusetts Program Service Numbers by MCH Categories, FY04." This table summarizes the numbers of persons served, by MCH population groups, for each of our MCH-related programs. It contains more detail by program and also includes a wide array of infrastructure-building and indirect services activities (e.g. training, technical assistance, outreach) that are not included in Form 7. Where the services are included in Form 7, the totals of persons served are identical.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PregWomen\_TS Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes pregnant women served by the following programs: Healthy Start (including women covered by Medicaid through the "unborn child" option) (10,011), Perinatal Primary Care (7,156), and El Partnership Programs (229). Total adjusted for double-count of 1,071. Effective in FY05, the Healthy Start program is no longer at MDPH and will be dropped from the data reported on this Form.

2. Section Number: Main Field Name: PregWomen\_XXI Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Massachusetts SCHIP program has been implemented in large part through expanded MassHealth (Medicaid) eligibility. At the service delivery end (where our data come from on insurance sources for clients served), the distinction between Title XIX and Title XXI cannot be made. All of these clients are included in the Title XIX column.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: PregWomen\_Private Row Name: Pregnant Women Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes substantial numbers of persons in FY04 with state-funded insurance benefits through the Healthy Start program (for pregnant women) and the Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) (for children and adolescents). For example, the total of 68.15% of pregnant women with Private/Other coverage includes the 61.3% who have Healthy Start coverage. Approximately 4% of the infants served and 14% of the children have CMSP coverage, respectively. These numbers will change significantly in FY05 and beyond: total Healthy Start and CMSP service numbers will no longer be reported here, as the programs are no longer administered by the Title V agency.

4. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_TS
Row Name: Infants <1 year of age
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes Infants (not including infants receiving special health needs services) served by the following programs: Pediatric Primary Care (8,851); FIRSTLink initial home visits (2,770); Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) (63); School-Based Health Centers (27), and Poison Control Center calls (2,606). Effective in FY05, CMSP is no longer at MDPH and will be dropped from the data reported on this Form. The total served was lower than in FY03 due to two factors: Healthy Start no longer paid for any infant care as they all were immediately Medicaid-eligible; and EIPP (the successor to FIRSTSteps) did not serve any infants in its start-up year of FY04.

The number of infants served is substantially different from the number of occurrence births shown in Form 6 because Title V Partnership funds in Massachusetts do not pay for universal newborn screening (either blood or hearing screening), the typical services that would be considered to reach every newborn. Although the Bureau now reviews all newborn hearing screening results from the Electronic Birth Certificates, we do not consider this to be a "service paid for by Title V" and thus do not report all newborns here. We do offer follow-up for positive findings and those numbers are included in the "Children with Special Health Care Needs" category.

The total number of infants served shown here is less than the total shown on Form 8 for the following reason. In Form 7, all children with special health care needs, regardless of age, are put into the CSHCN population group, and only other infants served ("not otherwise counted") are included in the "Infants" population group. However, a minimum (unduplicated count) of 13,303 infants with special health needs were served in such programs as Early Intervention, Case Management/Family Support, FIRSTLink/EIPP, Newborn Hearing Positive Findings Follow-up, and Growth and Nutrition. On Form 8, these infants have been added to the totals for infants served

5. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_XXI Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Massachusetts SCHIP program has been implemented in large part through expanded MassHealth (Medicaid) eligibility. At the service delivery end (where our data come from on insurance sources for clients served), the distinction between Title XIX and Title XXI cannot be made. All of these clients are included in the Title XIX column.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_Private Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes substantial numbers of persons in FY04 with state-funded insurance benefits through the Healthy Start program (for pregnant women) and the Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) (for children and adolescents). For example, the total of 68.15% of pregnant women with Private/Other coverage includes the 61.3% who have Healthy Start coverage. Approximately 4% of the infants served and 14% of the children have CMSP coverage, respectively. These numbers will change significantly in FY05 and beyond: total Healthy Start and CMSP service numbers will no longer be reported here, as the programs are no longer administered by the Title V agency.

7. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_0\_1\_Unknown Row Name: Infants <1 year of age Column Name: Unknown %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The higher percentage of clients with "unknown coverage" among infants and children when compared with pregnant women and children with special health needs and with reported overall insurance rates in the state is somewhat misleading and is due to the nature of several large service programs provided by Title V. Two large programs serving children and youth, the statewide Poison Control Center and the Teen Pregnancy Prevention Coalitions, are population-based programs that do not collect insurance data because the services being provided are not covered by insurance. Many of these children and their families probably have some form of insurance, but we do not have that information. On the other hand, most of the services provided to pregnant women and to children with special health care needs (Early Intervention in particular), are delivered in programs that are required to bill Medicaid and other third parties and thus have thorough information on the insurance status of all clients.

8. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_TS
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes children (not counted elsewhere) served by the following programs: Pediatric Primary Care (144,955); CMSP (35,023); FIRSTLink teen moms (1,402); Diagnostic Hearing exams (no SHN found) (1,500), School-Based Health Centers (SBHC) (8,820); Family Planning (under age 20) (12,008); Teen Pregnancy Prevention projects (on-going services) (855); Poison Control Center calls (28,814); and CLPPP (estimated at 20% of total children screened) (46,446). Total adjusted for estimated doublecount from multiple sources of 22,565. Effective in FY05, CMSP is no longer at MDPH and will be dropped from the data reported on this Form.

9. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_XXI
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The Massachusetts SCHIP program has been implemented in large part through expanded MassHealth (Medicaid) eligibility. At the service delivery end (where our data come from on insurance sources for clients served), the distinction between Title XIX and Title XXI cannot be made. All of these clients are included in the Title XIX column.

10. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_Private
Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Private/Other %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes substantial numbers of persons in FY04 with state-funded insurance benefits through the Healthy Start program (for pregnant women) and the Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) (for children and adolescents). For example, the total of 68.15% of pregnant women with Private/Other coverage includes the 61.3% who have Healthy Start coverage. Approximately 4% of the infants served and 14% of the children have CMSP coverage, respectively. These numbers will change significantly in FY05 and beyond: total Healthy Start and CMSP service numbers will no longer be reported here, as the programs are no longer administered by the Title V agency.

The percentage or those served through Pediatric and Adolescent Primary Care estimated to have CMSP coverage is considered to be a low estimate and those estimated to have no coverage to be a high estimate for a number of data collection system reasons (e.g. some health centers may record insurance status upon intake and not update the reporting database as they work to enroll patients in insurance programs for which they are eligible).

11. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Children\_1\_22\_Unknown Row Name: Children 1 to 22 years of age

Column Name: Unknown %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The higher percentage of clients with "unknown coverage" among infants and children, when compared with pregnant women and children with special health needs and with reported overall insurance rates in the state, is somewhat misleading and is due to the nature of several large service programs provided by Title V. Two of the larger programs serving children and youth, the statewide Poison Control Center and Teen Pregnancy Prevention projects, are population-based programs that do not collect insurance data because the services being provided are not covered by insurance. Many of these children and their families probably have some form of insurance, but we do not have that information. On the other hand, most of the services provided to pregnant women and to children with special health care needs (Early Intervention in particular), are delivered in programs that are required to bill Medicaid and other third parties and thus have thorough information on the insurance status of all clients.

12. Section Number: Main Field Name: CSHCN\_TS

Row Name: Children with Special Health Care Needs

Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes children with special health care needs served by the following programs: Early Intervention (including EI specialty and respite services) (30,415); Care Coordination (with and without Family Support; not in EI) (1,711); SBHC (with long-term health problems) (2,342), Growth and Nutrition Clinics; not also in EI (661); FIRSTLink (referrals to EI) (583); Newborn Hearing Positive Findings Follow-up, not also in EI (238), CLPPP (medical case management) (241, estimated); and Special Medical Fund (69). [EI total includes at least 788 children also receiving Growth and Nutrition, Newborn Hearing Follow-up, or Care Coordination/Family Support services.] The number of CSHCN served through Early Intervention, School-Based Health Centers and Care Coordination increased during FY04.

Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers\_TS

Row Name: Others
Column Name: Title V Total Served

Year: 2006 Field Note:

This category includes persons served by the following programs: Family Planning (age 20 or over) (19,953); FIRSTLink (non-teen moms) (1,875); SBHCs (clients over age 22 or unknown age) (721); Teen Pregnancy Prevention projects (on-going, over age 21) (51); Poison Control Center calls (adults and unknown age) (13,589); SIDS counseling (125 individuals, estimated), and Rape Crisis Center clients (all ages) (2,700, estimated).

14. Section Number: Main

Field Name: AllOthers\_XXI Row Name: Others Column Name: Title XXI %

Year: 2006

Field Note:

The Massachusetts SCHIP program has been implemented in large part through expanded MassHealth (Medicaid) eligibility. At the service delivery end (where our data come from on insurance sources for clients served), the distinction between Title XIX and Title XXI cannot be made. All of these clients are included in the Title XIX column.

 Section Number: Main Field Name: AllOthers\_None

Row Name: Others Column Name: None %

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The high percent of clients with "no coverage" for the population group "Others" is explained by who is served. Most of those served are adult women who have received family planning services paid for with state Partnership funds. These funds may only be used for persons who are not on Medicaid and do not have other insurance. [The women covered by Medicaid or private insurance that are served by these programs are not included in these numbers.]

16. Section Number: Main

Field Name: AllOthers\_Unknown Row Name: Others Column Name: Unknown %

Year: 2006 Field Note: The high percentage of clients with "unknown coverage" among other individuals when compared with all other population groups is somewhat misleading and is due to the nature of several large service programs provided by Title V. Two of the larger programs serving other individuals - the statewide Poison Control Center and the Rape Crisis Centers, are population-based programs that do not collect insurance data because the services being provided are not covered by insurance. Many of these individuals probably have some form of insurance, but we do not have that information.

### FORM 8 DELIVERIES AND INFANTS SERVED BY TITLE V AND ENTITLED TO BENEFITS UNDER TITLE XIX (BY RACE AND ETHNICITY) [Sec. 506(A)(2)(C-D)] STATE: MA

Reporting Year: 2004

#### I. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY RACE

	(A) Total All Races	(B) White	(C) Black or African American	(D) American Indian or Native Alaskan	(E) Asian	(F) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	(G) More than one race reported	(H) Other and Unknown
DELIVERIES								
Total Deliveries in State	81,310	60,046	6,004	172	5,294			9,794
Title V Served	16,325	3,170	1,879	3	1,202		4	10,067
Eligible for Title XIX	18,056	9,283	2,713	60	1,201			4,799
INFANTS								
Total Infants in State	82,974	61,978	6,106	180	5,396			9,314
Title V Served	27,620	12,356	3,273	43	1,422		116	10,410
Eligible for Title XIX	19,821	9,257	2,939	61	1,253			6,311

#### II. UNDUPLICATED COUNT BY ETHNICITY

(B) Total Hispanic or Latino	(C) Ethnicity Not Reported	(B.1) Mexican	(B.2) Cuban	( B.3 ) Puerto Rican	( B.4 ) Central and South American	( B.5 ) Other and Unknown
9,867	259					
9,867	259		$\overline{}$			
		501	146	4,357	4,697	166
7,173	2,894	171	2	865	3,573	2,562
4,807	70	173	15	2,312	2,244	63
9,612						9,612
6,410	4,000	1	0	798	14	5,597
5,588	8					5,588
	9,612 6,410	4,807     70       9,612     4,000       6,410     4,000	4,807     70     173       9,612     4,000     1	4,807     70     173     15       9,612     0     0     0       6,410     4,000     1     0	4,807     70     173     15     2,312       9,612	4,807     70     173     15     2,312     2,244       9,612

Sources: MDPH 2003 and 2002 Birth Files for deliveries, estimated number of infants, and deliveries eligible for Medicaid (from Birth File source of prenatal care data); 2003 Fetal Deaths file for deliveries and deliveries eligible for Medicaid.

Bureau of Family and Community Health, FY04 Program databases for Title V Served estimates (see Form 7).

Title V serves a substantially greater proportion of all minority pregnant women and infants than it does of the total population.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_All Row Name: Total Deliveries in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Defined as all occurrence births in 2003, the most recent data available.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race Field Name: DeliveriesTotal\_RaceOther Row Name: Total Deliveries in State

Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note:

"Other & Unknown Race" includes all Hispanics who did not report a race; totals may differ from some published state data which assign them to "White" group.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimates of deliveries and infants served by Title V can only be reported by combined race/ethnicity categories, as this is how most programs report data to BFCH. Although our categories of American Indian and Asian can reasonably be assumed to be accurate under either categorization, the columns labeled "White" and "Black/African American" include only "White, non-Hispanic" and "Black, non-Hispanic" persons respectively. In this section, all Hispanic persons are included in Column F with "Other" and "Unknown." Service program data include any Pacific Islander / Native Hawaiian persons in the "Asian" category. Service program data are currently reported with the category of "more than one race" only for MCH primary care services and for FIRSTLink.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleXIX All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Defined as having Medicaid coverage, as measured by data from Birth Certificate on payment source for prenatal care. Excludes births for which source of payment data was missing. Data are for 2003, the most recent available.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal\_All Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Number of infants is estimated based on 2002 resident births.

The total number of infants in the state reported here is substantially larger than the total of infants served by Title V shown on Form 7 for the following reason. No Title V Partnership funds are used in Massachusetts for newborn bloodspot screening or for newborn hearing screening, the typical services that would be considered to reach every newborn. Although the Bureau now reviews all newborn hearing screening results from the Electronic Birth Certificates, we do not feel comfortable reporting all newborns receiving either newborn screening as having been served with Title V Partnership funds. Infants receiving Newborn Hearing Follow-up based on positive findings are included.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTotal\_RaceOther Row Name: Total Infants in State Column Name: Other and Unknown

Year: 2006 Field Note:

"Other & Unknown Race" includes all Hispanics who did not report a race; totals may differ from some published state data which assign them to "White" group.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_All Row Name: Title V Served Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

The total number of infants served shown here differs from the total shown on Form 7 for the following reason. In Form 7, all children with special health care needs, regardless of age, are put into the CSHCN population group, and only other infants served ("not otherwise counted") are included in the "Infants" population group. However, an unduplicated count of 13,303 infants with special health needs were served in Early Intervention, Care Coordination/Family Support, FIRSTLink, Newborn Hearing Positives Follow-up, SBHCs, and Growth and Nutrition. On Form 8, these infants have been added to the totals for infants served.

Estimates of deliveries and infants served by Title V can only be reported by combined race/ethnicity categories, as this is how most programs report data to BFCH. Although our categories of American Indian and Asian can reasonably be assumed to be accurate under either categorization, the columns labeled "White" and "Black/African American" include only "White, non-Hispanic" and "Black, non-Hispanic" persons respectively. In this section, all Hispanic persons are included in Column F with "Other" and "Unknown." Service program data include any Pacific Islander / Native Hawaiian persons in the "Asian" category. Service program data are currently reported with the category of "more than one race" only for MCH primary care services.

Section Number: I. Unduplicated Count By Race

Field Name: InfantsTitleXIX\_All Row Name: Eligible for Title XIX Column Name: Total All Races

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Estimated based on source of payment for deliveries in 2002, including Healthy Start, as most of these infants are then eligible for Medicaid. Using this data source enables us to report race/ethnicity detail that is comparable to that used for estimating the total number of infants in the state, although it may underestimate the number of infants eligible for Medicaid to some degree.

Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: DeliveriesTitleV\_TotalHispanic

Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

All "Hispanic or Latino" persons were included in Column H ("Other and Unknown") of Section I, as data from programs report on race by Hispanic ethnicity combined. Because of the small numbers of persons of Mexican or Cuban origin in the state, these subcategories are part of other categories in program databases and are not broken out separately in a consistent manner. In addition, differences among service programs in categorizing areas of origin mean that some of the persons in the "Other and Unknown" column are in fact of Central or South American origin.

10. Section Number: II. Unduplicated Count by Ethnicity

Field Name: InfantsTitleV\_TotalHispanic Row Name: Title V Served

Column Name: Total Hispanic or Latino

Year: 2006 Field Note:

All "Hispanic or Latino" persons were included in Column H ("Other and Unknown") of Section I, as data from programs report on race by Hispanic ethnicity combined. Because of the small numbers of persons of Mexican or Cuban origin in the state, these subcategories are part of other categories in program databases and are not broken out separately in a consistent manner. In addition, differences among service programs in categorizing areas of origin mean that some of the persons in the "Other and Unknown" column are in fact of Central or South American origin.

# FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MA

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 311-2229	(800) 311-2229	(800) 531-2229	(800) 531-2229	(800) 531-2229
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	MCH Resource Line	Family Resource Line	MCH Access Family Resource Helpline	MCH Access Family Resource Helpline	MCH Access Family Resource Helpline
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Eileen Carranza	Karin Downs	Donna E. Johnson	Lisa Levine	Lisa Levine
Contact Person's Telephone Number	(617) 624-5971	(617) 624-5967	(617) 624-5224	(617) 624-6028	(617) 624-6028
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0		0	72,898	72,498

## FORM 9 STATE MCH TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE DATA FORM (OPTIONAL) [Secs. 505(A)(E) AND 509(A)(B)] STATE: MA

	FY 2006	FY 2005	FY 2004	FY 2003	FY 2002
1. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Telephone Number	(800) 905-8437	(800) 905-8437	(800) 905-8437	(800) 905-8437	(800) 905-8437
2. State MCH Toll-Free "Hotline" Name	Family TIES (Together in Enhancing Support)	Family TIES (Together in Enhancing Support)	Family TIES (Together in Enhancing Support)	Family TIES (Together in Enhancing Support)	Family TIES (Together in Enhancing Support)
3. Name of Contact Person for State MCH "Hotline"	Sara Miranda	Sara Miranda	Sara Miranda	Polly Sherman	Polly Sherman
Contact Person's     Telephone Number	(617) 236-7210	(617) 236-7210	(617) 727-1111	(617) 727-1440	(617) 727-1440
5. Number of calls received on the State MCH "Hotline" this reporting period	0	0	2,380	472	414

In addition to the two lines reported on Form 9, the Bureau now has a third line of special relevance for Children with Special Health Needs and their families. As a result of the changes made during FY04 with the loss of our Health Access line and support staff, a Family/Community Resource Line staffed by Community Resource Specialists was established for families with CSHCN and providers to improve access to information, referral, family supports, and technical assistance. The line became operational in the last quarter of FY04 and handled 413 calls during that time. We will continue to report on the volume to this third line in future years.

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Main Field Name: calls\_2

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

Massachusetts changed our toll-free number during FY04 to the national number, because of the loss of the Health Access staff and Massachusetts-specific 1-800 line that had been used previously. The loss was due to the transfer of the Healthy Start and CMSP funding to the state Medicaid agency and the discontinuing of the programs' information and referral activities that were supported through the 1-800 line.

Other BFCH staff have been trained in providing MCH resource information and cover the new 1-800 line, which now is located within the Division of Perinatal and Early Childhood Health in our main offices. Because of the transition in FY04, calls to the new line were not recorded and we thus have no data to report. We did not receive any calls transferred through the national MCH line.

2. Section Number: Optional

Field Name: calls\_1

Row Name: Number of calls received On the State MCH Hotline This reporting period

Column Name: FY Year: 2004 Field Note:

This number represents the number of calls from parents and providers to the Family TIES and EI Parent Leadership Project toll-free lines. They are managed through the same vendor (Federation for Children with Special Needs) and support staff.

#### **FORM 10** TITLE V MATERNAL & CHILD HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT STATE PROFILE FOR FY 2006 [SEC. 506(A)(1)]

STATE: MA

#### 1. State MCH Administration:

The Massachusetts Title V Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant Program is located within the Bureau of Family and Community Health (BFCH), Center for Community Health (CCH), Massachusetts Department of Public Health. The Title V program is located in the same Bureau as WIC, Early Intervention (Part H., IDEA), school health programs, adult special needs programs, oral health, primary care cooperative agreement, violence prevention programs, major portions of the Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant, and a number of chronic disease prevention and health promotion programs. The Bureau is committed to protecting and improving the health status, functional status and quality of life of Massachusetts residents across the life span, with special focus on at-risk populations, low-income groups, and cultural and linquistic minorities. To this end, the Bureau focuses its efforts and resources on health promotion, systems building, quality improvement, and assurance of access to preventive, primary and specialized health services.

0

2. Federal Allocation (Line 1, Form 2)	\$	12,085,938
3. Unobligated balance (Line 2, Form 2)	\$	1,198,001
4. State Funds (Line 3, Form 2)	\$	56,278,528
	_	

5. Local MCH Funds (Line 4, Form 2) 6. Other Funds (Line 5, Form 2)

**Block Grant Funds** 

0 7. Program Income (Line 6, Form 2) 69,562,467

9. Most significant providers receiving MCH funds:

10. Individuals served by the Title V Program (Col. A, Form 7)

8. Total Federal-State Partnership (Line 8, Form 2)

Community hea	alth centers
Early intervention	n agencies
Local school districts and public healt	th agencies
Massachusetts/Rhode Island Poison Cor	ntrol Center
16,325	
14,317	
257,258	

- 11. Statewide Initiatives and Partnerships:
- a. Direct Medical Care and Enabling Services:

d. CSHCN

e. Others

a. Pregnant Women b. Infants < 1 year old c. Children 1 to 22 years old

Primary and Preventive Care: Title V provides family planning services, MCH primary care (nutrition, social service, and care coordination), home visiting services, schoolbased health centers, and oral health services through community-based providers and agencies. Programs are targetted to those who are uninsured or underinsured and to the provision of non-third party reimbursable services. Programs for homeless families include assessment, referral and care coordination. Performance measures are in place for all service programs. CSHCN: Title V continues to provide care coordination, family support, newborn hearing screening, early intervention services (to 20,000 children ages birth to three), and other specialized services for families and children with special needs. Multifaceted outreach and assistance are offered to families in obtaining benefits and services for which they are eligible. Care coordinators have been located within primary care pediatric practices. Care coordination, advocacy, referral, and insurance enrollment are provided through a restructured system of regional services.

36.260 39,014

#### b. Population-Based Services:

(max 2500 characters)

Universal Newborn Screening: The development of integrated data systems and services linking universal newborn hearing, blood spot, and risk identification screening is under active development and implementation. School Health: Medication administration guidelines have been developed and implemented, along with policies for the management of allergic reactions. Teen Pregnancy: A new evidenced-based model for teen pregancy prevention in high-risk communities was developed during FY04, with programs now in 8 communities at 10% of the former funding level. Other Population-Based Services: Title V supports a Poison Control Center, lead poisoning screening, SIDS and bereavement counseling, rape crisis centers, oral health screenings, basic school health services, child passenger safety, and promotion of childhood immunization. Statewide CHSCN Consultation Programs offer assistance to EI, school systems, and birth-to-three child serving agencies in providing care to children with complex medical and technology needs.

c. Infrastructure Building Services:

Monitoring and Data Collection: Title V maintains and continuously improves data systems to monitor maternal and child health, analyze and report on trends, evaluate program effectiveness, and provide information to the public and private sectors. Priorities for FY06 are to continue efforts to integrate and link key data systems, program information and billing systems, with linkages to the Executive Office of Human Services Virtual Gateway. HIPPA compliance for both the Bureau and its vendors is another priority. Mortality and Morbidity Reviews: Title V has a Maternal Morbidity and Mortality Committee, Fetal-Infant Mortality Review process in selected communities, and actively participates in the state's Child Death Review Team system. Health Promotion for MCH: Multiple campaigns underway include suicide prevention, folic acid awareness, tobacco control, healthy weight and physical activity, substance abuse, violence and injury prevention, and heart disease. Provider Training and Development: Multiple technical assistance and training programs for providers, programs, and agencies are provided, including Early Intervention and School Health Institutes, and a number related to domestic violence. Training and support for child care providers are a particular focus. Women's Health: The continuation of efforts to increase awareness and enhance coordination of services for women.

12. The prim	ary Title V Program contact person:	13. The chil	dren with special health care needs (CSHCN) contact person
Name	Sally Fogerty	Name	Ron Benham
Title	Associate Commissioner	Title	Dir., Div for Perinatal, Early Childhood, and Special Hea
Address	Mass. Dept of Public Health, 250 Washington St.	Address	Mass. Dept of Public Health, 250 Washington St.
City	Boston	City	Boston
State	MA	State	MA
Zip	02108-4619	Zip	02108-4619
Phone	(617) 624-6090	Phone	(617) 624-5962
Fax	(617) 624-6062	Fax	(617) 624-6062
Email	sally.fogerty@state.ma.us	Email	ron.benham@state.ma.us
Web		Web	

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 10
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

TRACKING PERFORMANCE MEASURES [SECS 485 (2)(2)(B)(III) AND 486 (A)(2)(A)(III)]
STATE: MA

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 01

The percent of newborns who are screened and confirmed with condition(s) mandated by their State-sponsored newborn screening programs (e.g. phenylketonuria and hemoglobinopathies) who receive appropriate follow up as defined by their State.

		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	82,703	106	109	124	100
Denominator	82,703	106	109	124	100
the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	100	100	100	100	100
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only th		above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators a
Denominator		iie yeai udla.			

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 02

The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 years whose families partner in decision making at all levels and are satisfied with the services they receive. (CSHCN survey)

70

		<u>Aı</u>	nnual Objective and Perform	nance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				64.4	65
Annual Indicator			64.4	64.4	64.4
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Aı</u>	nnual Objective and Perform	nance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009

70

**Annual Indicator** 

**Annual Performance Objective** 

Numerator Please fill in only the Objectives for the above years. Numerator, Denominator and Annual Indicators are not required for future year data.

70

70

70

Denominator

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 03					
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	o receive coordinate	ed, ongoing, compreh	nensive care within a r	medical home. (CSH	CN Survey)
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				61	65
Annual Indicator			61	61	61
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	65	65	65	65	65
Nousenstan		ie Objectives for the a	above years. Numerat	tor, Denominator and	i Annuai indicators a
The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fatt		or public insurance to	pay for the services t	hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	not required for fatt	dequate private and/o			hey need. (CSHCN
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual (			hey need. (CSHCN <b>2004</b> 65
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 65
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65.1	mance Data 2003	2004
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002 65.1	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 65
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65.1	mance Data 2003 65 65.1	<b>2004</b> 65 65.1
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o Annual ( 2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65.1	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b> 65
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o  Annual (  2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65.1	65 65.1 Final	<b>2004</b> 65 65.1
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	ose families have ac	dequate private and/o  Annual (  2001	Objective and Perfor 2002 65.1	65 65.1 Final	<b>2004</b> 65 65.1
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000	Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002  65.1  Objective and Perfor	65 65.1 Final	2004 65 65.1 Provisional
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 04 The percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 wh Survey)  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 2005 65	Annual (  Annual (  2001  Annual (  2006	Objective and Perfor  65.1  Objective and Perfor  2007	Final  mance Data 2003  65  65.1  Final  mance Data 2008  70	2004 65 65.1 Provisional 2009

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 05								
Percent of children with special health care needs age 0 to 18 whose Survey)	families report the o	community-base	d service systems	are orga	nized so the	ey can use	them easily.	(CSH
		An	nual Objective ar	nd Perfor	mance Data	<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001	2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective						79		80
Annual Indicator				79		79		79
Numerator					1			
Denominator								
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Final		Provisional	
		An	nual Objective ar	nd Perfor	mance Data	a		
	2005	2006	2007		2008	_	2009	
Annual Performance Objective	80		81	81		81		81
Annual Indicator								
Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives fo	r the above years	. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Indica	tors a
	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	r the above years	. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Indica	tors a
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives fo ure year data.	r the above years	. Numerat	or, Denomir	nator and	Annual Indica	tors a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.						tors a
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ure year data.	insition to all aspe	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve		tors ar
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessition.	ure year data. sary to make tra	nsition to all aspe	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve	ey)	tors a
Numerator  Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed 2000	ure year data.	insition to all aspe	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve		
Numerator Denominator PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed 2000	ure year data. sary to make tra	nsition to all aspe	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve	ey)	tors a
Numerator  Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:	ure year data. sary to make tra An 2001	nsition to all aspe	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve	ey)	
Numerator  Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed 2000	ure year data. sary to make tra An 2001	nsition to all aspe nual Objective ar 2002	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve <u>a</u> 5.8	ey)	10
Numerator Denominator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	ure year data. sary to make tra An 2001	nsition to all aspe nual Objective ar 2002	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve <u>a</u> 5.8	ey)	10
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	ure year data. sary to make tra An 2001	nsition to all aspe nual Objective ar 2002	cts of adu	It life. (CSH	CN Surve <u>a</u> 5.8	ey)	10
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make tra  2001	nsition to all aspe nual Objective ar 2002	cts of adu	It life. (CSH mance Data 2003 Final	CN Surve a	2004	10
Numerator  PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06  The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make tra  2001	nnsition to all aspe nual Objective ar 2002	cts of adu	It life. (CSH mance Data 2003 Final	CN Surve a	2004	10
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services necessed.	sary to make tra  2001	nsition to all aspe nual Objective ar 2002	cts of adu	It life. (CSH mance Data 2003  Final	CN Surve a	2004 Provisional	10
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:  2000  2005  10	sary to make tra  2001  An  2006	nual Objective ar 2002 nual Objective ar 2007	sts of adund Performs 5.8	lt life. (CSH mance Data 2003  Final  mance Data 2008	5.8 5.8 5.8	2004 Provisional	5.8
PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 06 The percentage of youth with special health care needs who received  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for fut the services neces:  2000  2005  10  Please fill in only the not required for fut	sary to make tra  2001  An  2006  De Objectives fo	nual Objective ar 2002 nual Objective ar 2007	sts of adund Performs 5.8	lt life. (CSH mance Data 2003  Final  mance Data 2008	5.8 5.8 5.8	2004 Provisional	

ercent of 19 to 35 month olds who have received full schedule of age nemophilus Influenza, and Hepatitis B.									
			Annual C	Objective and	Perforr		<u>a</u>		
	2000	2001	00	2002	00	2003	00	2004	0.0
Annual Performance Objective			80		80		80		83
Annual Indicator	80.1	-	77.4		77.8		86.2		88.
Numerator									
Denominator									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?						Final		Final	
			Annual C	Objective and 2007	Pertorr	nance Dat 2008	<u>a</u>	2009	
	2005	2006							
Annual Performance Objective	<b>2005</b> 88.1	2006	88.1		88.2	2000	88.2	2000	88.
Annual Performance Objective	88.1	2006	88.1		88.2		88.2		88.
Annual Indicator	88.1								
Annual Indicator Numerator	88.1 Please fill in only to not required for fu								88. icators
Annual Indicator	88.1 Please fill in only to not required for fu								
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	88.1 Please fill in only to not required for fu								
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	88.1 Please fill in only to not required for fu								
Annual Indicator Numerator	88.1 Please fill in only to not required for fu								
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	88.1 Please fill in only to not required for fu		ves for the a		umerato	or, Denomi	nator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.	Please fill in only to not required for fu		ves for the a	above years. N	umerato	or, Denomi	nator and		
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08	Please fill in only to not required for fu	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a	Objective and	umerato	or, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only to not required for fu	ne Objecti ure year d	wes for the a ata.	Objective and	umerato Perforr	or, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for fu	ne Objecti ure year d	ves for the a lata.  Annual C	Objective and	Perform	or, Denomi	nator and	Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for further forms and the second sec	ne Objecti ure year d	Annual C  15.5  13.2	Objective and 2002	Perform  15.5  12.2	mance Dat	nator and  a  15  12.0	Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	2000  17.5  14.1  1,739  123,166	ne Objecti ure year d	Annual C  15.5  13.2  1,627	Objective and 2002	Perform 15.5 12.2 ,498	mance Dat	15 12.0 1,473 123,166	Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000  17.5  14.1  1,739  123,166	ne Objecti ure year d	Annual C  15.5  13.2  1,627	Objective and 2002	Perform 15.5 12.2 ,498	mance Dat	15 12.0 1,473 123,166	Annual Indi	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	88.1  Please fill in only to not required for fu  2000  17.5  14.1  1,739  123,166	e Objectiure year d	Annual C  15.5  13.2  1,627  123,166	Objective and 2002	Perform 15.5 12.2 ,498	mance Dat 2003  Provision	15 12.0 1,473 123,166 al	2004 Provision	icators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  RFORMANCE MEASURE # 08 e rate of birth (per 1,000) for teenagers aged 15 through 17 years.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000  17.5  14.1  1,739  123,166	2001	Annual C  15.5  13.2  1,627  123,166	Dbjective and 2002	Perform 15.5 12.2 ,498	mance Dat 2003	15 12.0 1,473 123,166 al	Annual Indi	icators

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 09									
ercent of third grade children who have received protective sealants	on at least one pe	rmanent mo	olar tooth.						
			Annual C	Objective and	Perfori		1		
	2000	2001	50	2002	F0	2003	60	2004	60
Annual Performance Objective					50		60		
	21				59.7		58		62.
Numerato	·								
Denominato									
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•					Final		Final	
	2005	2006	Annual C	Objective and 2007	Perfori	mance Data 2008	<u>l</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			62.5		65		65		6
Annual Indicato	·								
	Diagram Cili in a sale.	the Objective	une for the	shava vaara N		or Donomin	otor and	Annual Indi	ootoro
Numerato				inove vears in	umerat				
Denominato	not required for fu	iture year d	ata.	above years. N	umerat	or, Denomin	lator and	Annual mui	CalOIS
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10		uture year d	ata. 0,000 childre	en.				Annuai indi	Calors
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10	motor vehicle cras	uture year d	ata. 0,000 childre	en. Objective and		mance Data			Calors
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 see rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by	motor vehicle cras	hes per 100	ata. 0,000 childre Annual C	en.	Perfori		1	2004	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective	motor vehicle cras  2000 1.17	ture year d	ata. 0,000 childre <b>Annual C</b>	en. Objective and 2002	Perfori	mance Data	1.2		1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato	motor vehicle cras  2000  1.17  1.3	hes per 100	0,000 childre  Annual C  1.2  1.7	en. Objective and 2002	1.2 0.5	mance Data	1.2		1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato  Numerato	motor vehicle cras  2000  1.17  1.3	hes per 100	0,000 childre  Annual C  1.2  1.7  21	en. Objective and 2002	1.2 0.5 6	mance Data 2003	1.2 1.5 19		1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato  Numerato  Denominato	motor vehicle cras  2000  3 1.17  1.3  1.6  1,259,376	hes per 100	0,000 childre  Annual C  1.2  1.7  21	en. Objective and 2002	1.2 0.5 6	mance Data 2003	1.2 1.5 19 259,376	2004	1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato  Numerato	motor vehicle cras  2000  3 1.17  1.3  1.6  1,259,376	hes per 100	0,000 childre  Annual C  1.2  1.7  21	en. Objective and 2002	1.2 0.5 6	mance Data 2003	1.2 1.5 19 259,376		1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 he rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato  Numerato  Denominato	motor vehicle cras  2000  3 1.17  1.3  1.6  1,259,376	hes per 100	0,000 childre  Annual C  1.2  1.7  21  1,256,376	en.  Display and  2002  1,256	1.2 0.5 6 6,376	nance Data 2003 1,2 Provisiona	1.2 1.5 19 259,376	2004	1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato  Numerato  Denominato	motor vehicle cras  2000  3 1.17  1.3  1.6  1,259,376	hes per 100	0,000 childre  Annual C  1.2  1.7  21  1,256,376	en. Objective and 2002	1.2 0.5 6 6,376	nance Data 2003 1,2 Provisiona	1.2 1.5 19 259,376	2004	1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10  ne rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato  Numerato  Denominato  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	motor vehicle cras  2000  2 1.17  1.3  1.4  1.259,376	2001	1.2 1.7 21 1,256,376	2002  1,256  Dbjective and 2007	1.2 0.5 6 6,376	1,2 Provisiona	1.2 1.5 19 259,376	2004 Provision:	1.
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 he rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicato  Numerato  Denominato	motor vehicle cras  2000  1.17  1.3  1.6  1.259,376  2005	2001	1.2 1.7 21 1,256,376	2002  1,256  Objective and 2007	1.2 0.5 6 6,376 Perform	1,2 Provisiona mance Data 2008	1.2 1.5 19 259,376 al	2004 Provisiona 2009	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 10 he rate of deaths to children aged 14 years and younger caused by  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato  Numerato  Denominato Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	motor vehicle cras  2000  3	2001 2006	1.2 1.7 21 1,256,376	2002  1,256  Objective and 2007	1.2 0.5 6 6,376 Perform	1,2 Provisiona mance Data 2008	1.2 1.5 19 259,376 al	2004 Provisiona 2009	1. 1.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 11					
Percentage of mothers who breastfeed their infants at hospital discha	rge.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		73		75	7:
	71.3	·		76.6	7
Numerator	58,188	59,911	60,266	61,388	
Denominator	81,582	81,014	80,624	80,167	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annua	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	77	77	78	78	7
	and required for futi	···· voor data	ř	ior, Dorrormiator arra	/ IIII dai III diodiois
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12		ure year data.			7 mindar maleators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12	hospital discharge.	<u>Annua</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge.	<u>Annua</u> 2001	2002	mance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	hospital discharge.  2000  90	Annua 2001 98	2002	mance Data 2003 99	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Incrementage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before	hospital discharge.  2000  90  98.5	Annua 2001 98	99 99.9	mance Data 2003	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective	hospital discharge.  2000  90  98.5	Annua 2001 98	99 99.9	mance Data 2003 99	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	hospital discharge.  2000  90  98.5  80,358	Annual 2001 98 99.6 81,319	99 99.9 79,294	mance Data 2003 99	2004 9 100 79,38
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12  Percentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	hospital discharge.  2000  90  98.5  80,358  81,582	Annual 2001 98 99.6 81,319	99 99.9 79,294	mance Data 2003  99  99.9  81,444	2004  9  100.  79,39  79,40  Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  2000  90  98.5  80,358  81,582	Annual 2001 98 99.6 81,319 81,638	99 99.9 79,294	99 99.9 81,444 81,545 Provisional	2004 9 100. 79,39 79,40
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  2000  90  98.5  80,358  81,582	Annual 2001 98 99.6 81,319 81,638	99 99.9 79,294 79,373	99 99.9 81,444 81,545 Provisional	2004 9 100. 79,39 79,40
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 12 ercentage of newborns who have been screened for hearing before  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	hospital discharge.  2000  90  98.5  80,358  81,582	Annual 2001  98  99.6  81,319  81,638	99 99.9 79,294 79,373 Objective and Perfor 2007	mance Data 2003  99  99.9  81,444  81,545  Provisional	2004 9 100 79,39 79,40 Provisional

ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 13						
ercent of children without health insurance.						
		_	Annual C	bjective and Perfor		
	2000	2001		2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective			3	2.5	3.5	3
Annual Indicator	2.5		1.9	2.5	2.3	3
Numerator	34,066					
Denominator	1,389,583					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?					Provisional	Final
		A	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006		2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	3		2.5	2.5	2.5	2
Annual Indicator						
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for futi	ne Objectives ure year data	for the a	bove years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	d Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data Medicaid Proç	gram.			d Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for future.	ure yéar data Medicaid Proç	gram.	Objective and Perfor		d Annual Indicators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a	Please fill in only the not required for futured for f	ure yéar data.  Medicaid Prog	gram.	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the 10 2000 82	ure yéar data.  Medicaid Proc 2001	gram.	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 Identify the control of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential second of pote	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 82 91.3	Medicaid Prog	gram. Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a   Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 82 91.3 478,742	Medicaid Prog	gram.  Annual C  90  95.3	0bjective and Perfor 2002 90 93.8	mance Data 2003 90 93.6	<b>2004</b>
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the control of the contr	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 82 91.3 478,742 524,151	Medicaid Prog	gram.  Annual C  90  95.3  01,603	90 93.8 419,948	90 93.6 404,918	<b>2004</b> 94 407,9
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the second	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 82 91.3 478,742 524,151	Medicaid Prog	90 95.3 01,603 21,589	90 90 93.8 419,948 447,508	90 93.6 404,918 432,478 Provisional	2004 94 407,9 432,4
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 14 cent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 82 91.3 478,742 524,151	Medicaid Prog	90 95.3 01,603 21,589	90 93.8 419,948	90 93.6 404,918 432,478 Provisional	2004 94 407,9 432,4
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  recent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of the second	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 82 91.3 478,742 524,151	Medicaid Prog	90 95.3 01,603 21,589	90 90 93.8 419,948 447,508	90 93.6 404,918 432,478 Provisional	2004 94 407,9 432,4 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  ercent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	Please fill in only the not required for future service paid by the No. 2000 82 91.3 478,742 524,151	Medicaid Prog 2001  40 42	90 95.3 01,603 21,589	90 90 93.8 419,948 447,508 2007 97	90 93.6 404,918 432,478 Provisional mance Data 2008	2004 94 407,9 432,4 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 14  Procent of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potentially Medicaid-eligible children who have received a second of potential Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Medicaid Prog 2001  40 42 2006	90 95.3 01,603 21,589 Annual C	90 93.8 419,948 447,508 2bjective and Perfor	90 93.6 404,918 432,478 Provisional mance Data 2008	2004 94 407,9 432,4 Provisional

PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 15					
he percent of very low birth weight infants among all live births.					
	2000		Objective and Perfor		2004
	2000	<b>2001</b> 1.44	<b>2002</b> 1.48	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective				1.52	1.
	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.
Numerator	1,090	1,114	1,109	1,115	
Denominator	81,582	81,014	80,624	80,167	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		<u>Annual</u>	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for fut	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for fut	ure year data.			Annual Indicators
REFORMANCE MEASURE # 16	not required for futu gh 19. 2000	ure year data. Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu	not required for futured for f	ure year data.  Annual  2001 6	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	not required for futured for f	ure year data.  Annual  2001 6	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003 5	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	gh 19.  2000  6.9	Annual 2001 6 4.6 19	Objective and Perfor 2002 6 3.4	mance Data 2003 5	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 e rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	gh 19.  2000  6.9  5.1  21  415,737	Annual 2001 6 4.6 19	Objective and Perfor 2002 6 3.4	2003 5 5.1	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	gh 19.  2000  6.9  5.1  21  415,737	Annual 2001 6 4.6 19 415,737	Objective and Perfor 2002  6 3.4 14 415,737	5 5.1 21 415,737 Provisional	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	gh 19.  2000  6.9  5.1  21  415,737	Annual 2001 6 4.6 19 415,737	Objective and Perfor 2002 6 3.4	5 5.1 21 415,737 Provisional	2004
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	not required for future gh 19.  2000  6.9  5.1  21  415,737	Annual 2001 6 4.6 19 415,737	Objective and Perfor 2002  6 3.4 14 415,737  Objective and Perfor	### Data 2003   5   5.1   21   415,737   Provisional   #### Provisional   #### Provisional   #### Provisional   ##### Provisional   ##### Provisional   ###################################	2004 Provisional
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 16 ne rate (per 100,000) of suicide deaths among youths aged 15 throu  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	not required for future gh 19.  2000  6.9  5.1  21  415,737	Annual 2001  6  4.6  19  415,737  Annual 2006  5	Objective and Perfor 2002  6  3.4  14  415,737  Objective and Perfor 2007	### STATE	2004 Provisional 2009

ercent of very low birth weight infants delivered at facilities for high	-risk deliveries an	d neonates.						
			Annual (	Objective and Perfor		<u>ıta</u>		
	2000	2001		2002	2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	re	90	90	90		85		85
Annual Indicat	or89	.3	86.9	86.0		86.1		86
Numerat	or 92	22	893	909		907		
Denominat	or1,03	32	1,028	1,057		1,054		
Is the Data Provisional or Fina	?				Final		Provisional	l
			Annual (	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da	ıta		
	2005	2006		2007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	re	86	86	86		86		8
Denominat	or not required for	y the Object future year o	ives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denom	ninator and	Annual Indica	ators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	or not required for	future year o	data.				Annual Indica	ators
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18	or not required for not	future year of	data.	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da			ators
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care to	not required for principle of the fire and t	future year of the future year of the future year of the future year of the future year.	data.				Annual Indica	
RFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care to the second of the secon	not required for preginning in the fir 2000	future year of the state of the	data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	rmance Da	ıta		8
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care to the second of the secon	not required for reginning in the fire 2000  re 84.3	st trimester.  2001	Annual ( 84 83.7	2002 84 83.7	rmance Da	84 83.3		8
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care to the second of the secon	2000  2000	st trimester.  2001 22 1	Annual (	2002 84 83.7 67,457	rmance Da	<u>ıta</u> 84		8
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 rcent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care to the second of the secon	re 84.3  or 66,93  or 81,55	st trimester.  2001 22 1	Annual 6 84 83.7 67,821	2002 84 83.7 67,457	rmance Da	84 83.3 66,789		8:
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18  Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care to a compare the compared of the co	re 84.3  or 66,93  or 81,55	st trimester.  2001 22 1	Annual ( 84 83.7 67,821 81,014	2002  84  83.7  67,457  80,624	zmance Da 2003 	84 83.3 66,789 80,167	2004	8:
ERFORMANCE MEASURE # 18 recent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care to the second of the seco	re 84.3  or 66,93  or 81,55	st trimester.  2001 22 1	Annual ( 84 83.7 67,821 81,014	2002 84 83.7 67,457	zmance Da 2003 	84 83.3 66,789 80,167	2004	8

		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	70	70	72	72	7
Annual Indicator	73.3		75	75	75.
Numerator					
Denominator					
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Final
		Annual	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	78_ above years. Numera	78 tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut	ne Objectives for the ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fut (I who receive any p	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  preventive (well-child)  Annual	above years. Numera services annually. Objective and Perfor	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 Sent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX	Please fill in only the not required for fut (I who receive any page 2000	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  preventive (well-child)  Annual 2001	above years. Numera services annually. Objective and Perfor 2002	tor, Denominator and mance Data 2003	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 ent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in only the not required for fut (I who receive any page 2000)	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  preventive (well-child)  Annual  2001	above years. Numera services annually.  Objective and Perfor 2002 67	tor, Denominator and  mance Data 2003	Annual Indicators
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 ent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	Please fill in only to not required for fut (I who receive any page 2000 50 66.0	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  preventive (well-child)  Annual  2001  66  63.7	above years. Numera services annually.  Objective and Perfor 2002 67 63.2	mance Data 2003 68 67.1	Annual Indicators  2004  6
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 ent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fut of the not required for fut of the not receive any part of the not required for fut of the not re	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  preventive (well-child)  Annual  2001  66  63.7  288,103	services annually.  Objective and Perfor 2002  67 63.2 289,250	mance Data 2003  68  67.1  310,832	2004 6 73 294,20
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 Pent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut (I who receive any page 2000	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  preventive (well-child)  Annual  2001  66  63.7	above years. Numera services annually.  Objective and Perfor 2002 67 63.2	mance Data 2003 68 67.1	2004 6 73 294,20 402,68
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 Sent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only to not required for fut (I who receive any page 2000	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  preventive (well-child)  Annual  2001  66  63.7  288,103	services annually.  Objective and Perfor 2002  67 63.2 289,250	mance Data 2003 68 67.1 310,832 463,305	2004 6 73 294,20
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  TE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 Sent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut (I who receive any page 2000	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  Preventive (well-child)  Annual  2001  66  63.7  288,103  452,559	services annually.  Objective and Perfor 2002  67 63.2 289,250	mance Data 2003  68  67.1  310,832  463,305  Final	2004 73 294,20 402,68
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 3 Sent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XX  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only to not required for fut (I who receive any page 2000	ne Objectives for the ure year data.  Preventive (well-child)  Annual  2001  66  63.7  288,103  452,559	services annually.  Objective and Perfor 2002  67 63.2 289,250 457,875	mance Data 2003  68  67.1  310,832  463,305  Final	2004 73 294,20 402,68

ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 4  reent of children and youth (ages 3 - 18) enrolled in Medicaid or C	MSP who receive or	eventive d	ental servic	es annually				
toent of officient and youth (ages 5 - 10) officied in moderate of 5	WIIO TOOCIVO PI	evenuve a		es annuany. Objective and Perfor	rmance Dat	а		
	2000	2001	Annua.	2002	2003	<u>u</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective	e 27.0		45	45		60		37
Annual Indicato	r 45.7		53.4	62.0		35.9		41.8
Numerato	r 181,869		208,349	243,889		149,548		166,294
Denominato	r 398,003		390,479	393,577		416,144		398,18
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	?				Provision	al	Provision	nal
			A	N. Santharana I. Bantar				
	2005	2006	<u>Annual C</u>	Objective and Perfor	rmance Dat	<u>a</u>	2009	
Annual Performance Objective			40	40		40		4
		_						
Denominato	Please fill in only the not required for fut	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ind	icators
Numerato	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut r	he Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denomi	nator and	Annual Ind	icators
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut r	he Objectiv ure year d	ata.	above years. Numera			Annual Ind	icators
Numerato  Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 e percent of women who report not smoking during their current pr	r Please fill in only the not required for fut regulared for fut regnancy.	he Objectiv ure year d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor		<u>a</u>	Annual Ind	
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5	Please fill in only the not required for fut regnancy.  2000 2 89.25	ure yéar d	Annual C	Objective and Perfor	rmance Dat			
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 e percent of women who report not smoking during their current pr  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	r Please fill in only the not required for fut regnancy.  2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	ure yéar d	Annual C 89.5 90.6	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 89.75 91.9	rmance Dat	<u>a</u>		9
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 e percent of women who report not smoking during their current pr  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato	r Please fill in only the not required for fut regnancy.  2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2	2001	Annual C 89.5 90.6 73,420	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 89.75 91.9 74,061	rmance Dat	91 85.5 68,551		9
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 e percent of women who report not smoking during their current pr  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut regnancy.  2000  89.25  73,289  81,582	2001	Annual C 89.5 90.6	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 89.75 91.9	rmance Date	<b>a</b> 91 85.5	2004	9
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 e percent of women who report not smoking during their current pr  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut regnancy.  2000  89.25  73,289  81,582	2001	Annual C 89.5 90.6 73,420	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 89.75 91.9 74,061	rmance Dat	91 85.5 68,551		9
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 e percent of women who report not smoking during their current pr  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut regnancy.  2000  89.25  73,289  81,582	2001	89.5 90.6 73,420 81,014	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 89.75 91.9 74,061	rmance Date 2003 Final	91 85.5 68,551 80,167	2004	9.
Numerato Denominato  ATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 5 e percent of women who report not smoking during their current pr  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicato Numerato Denominato	Please fill in only the not required for fut required for fut regnancy.  2000  89.25  73,289  81,582	2001	89.5 90.6 73,420 81,014	2002  89.75  91.9  74,061  80,624	rmance Date 2003 Final	91 85.5 68,551 80,167	2004	9

STATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 6					
The rate (per 1,000) of chlamydia cases among females aged 15 throu	ugh 19.				
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		12.7	12.8	14.5	14.
Annual Indicator		14.5	17.0	14.9	15.
Numerator	2,760	2,973	3,488	3,065	3,22
Denominator	205,277	205,277	205,277	205,277	205,27
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective					
Annual Indicator					
	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	abovo voore Numora	tor Donominator and	Appual Indicators
Numerator			above years. Murriera	tor, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
Denominator	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual mulcators
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and educati		ure year data.	· 		
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and educati		ure year data.	· 	ndicated, for pregnan	
FATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 e degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and educati		ure year data.	eling and services as i	ndicated, for pregnan	
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and educati	ion, with referrals to	assessment, counse  Annual (	eling and services as i	ndicated, for pregnan	t women, children
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and educatiolescents.  Annual Performance Objective	ion, with referrals to	assessment, counse  Annual (  2001  28.56	eling and services as i  Objective and Perfor  2002	indicated, for pregnan rmance Data 2003	t women, children 2004 41.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education descents.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	ion, with referrals to 2000 22.11	assessment, counse  Annual (  2001  28.56  26	Diging and services as in the services as in the services and Performance 2002 35.9	ndicated, for pregnan rmance Data 2003 33.7	t women, children  2004  41.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education descents.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 22.11	Annual ( 2001  28.56  26	eling and services as i  Objective and Perfor  2002  35.9	rmance Data 2003 33.7	t women, children  2004  41.
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8  the degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education olescents.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 22.11 22 22 1	Annual ( 2001  28.56  26	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 35.9 34	rmance Data 2003 33.7	t women, children
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education descents.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 22.11 22 22 1	2001  28.56  26  1	Display and services as in the services as in the services and Performance 2002  35.9  34  34  1	ndicated, for pregnantemance Data 2003 33.7 34 34 1 Final	t women, children  2004  41.  4
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education descents.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 22.11 22 22 1	2001  28.56  26  1	Dbjective and Perfor 2002  35.9  34  34  1	ndicated, for pregnan  mance Data  2003  33.7  34  34  1  Final	t women, children  2004  41.  4 Final
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education and education screening and education screening and education screening and education and the state assures nutrition screening and education scre	2000 22.11 22 22 1	2001  28.56  26  1  Annual 6	Display and services as in the services as in the services and Performance 2002  35.9  34  34  1	ndicated, for pregnantemance Data 2003 33.7 34 34 1 Final	t women, children  2004  41.  4
TATE PERFORMANCE MEASURE # 8 ne degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education descents.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 22.11 22 22 1	2001  28.56  26  1  Annual (	Dbjective and Perfor 2002  35.9  34  1  Dbjective and Perfor 2007	ndicated, for pregnan  mance Data  2003  33.7  34  34  1  Final  mance Data  2008	t women, children  2004  41.  4  Final  2009
Annual Performance Objective  Annual Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 22.11 22 22 1	2001  28.56  26  21  Annual (  2006	Dbjective and Perfor 2002  35.9  34  1  Dbjective and Perfor 2007	ndicated, for pregnan  mance Data  2003  33.7  34  34  1  Final	t women, children  2004  41  4  Final  2009

e degree to which the state has developed and implemented compi m 0 to 16).	renensive education	n, screening and i	referral protocols for vi	olence against worr	ien and children (on so
		Ann	ual Objective and Pe	formance Data	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective	3		7	1	6
Annual Indicator	3		4	2	8
Numerator	3		4	2	8
Denominator	1		1	1	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		Ann	ual Objective and Pe	formance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective				_	
Annual Indicator					

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 11

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data on Newborn Screening from the New England Newborn Screening Program (NENSP) at the University of Massachusetts Medical School. The data are for Calendar Year 2002. The NENSP provides all these newborn screening services and furnishes these data. See Form 06 and its Notes also. Massachusetts screens every newborn for ten disorders: Phenylketonuria (PKU), Congenital Hypothyroidism (primary), Galactosemia, Hemoglobin Disorders (including sickle cell anemia), "Maple Syrup" Urine Disease (MSUD), Homocystinuria, Congenital Toxoplasmosis, Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia, Biotinidase Deficiency, and Medium-chain acyl Co-A dehydrogenase deficiency (MCAD).

Every newborn with abnormal results is tracked to a normal result or appropriate clinical care. In 2002, the total of 104 confirmed cases receiving treatment included 4 with PKU, 55 with Congenital Hypothyroidism, 38 Hemoglobin Disorders, 2 Congenital Toxoplamosis, 1 Biotinidase Deficiency, 4 MCAD.

We have modified previous data using the new definition of this measure for 2001; the panel of mandatory tests has not changed over that time.

Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data on Newborn Screening from the New England Newborn Screening Program (NENSP) at the University of Massachusetts Medical School. The data are for Calendar Year 2003. The NENSP provides all these newborn screening services and furnishes these data. See Form 06 and its Notes also. Massachusetts screens every newborn for ten disorders: Phenylketonuria (PKU), Congenital Hypothyroidism (primary), Galactosemia, Hemoglobin Disorders (including sickle cell anemia), "Maple Syrup" Urine Disease (MSUD), Homocystinuria, Congenital Toxoplasmosis, Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia, Biotinidase Deficiency, and Medium-chain acyl Co-A dehydrogenase deficiency (MCAD).

Every newborn with abnormal results is tracked to a normal result or appropriate clinical care. In 2003, the total of 124 confirmed cases receiving treatment included 3 with PKU, 60 with Congenital Hypothyroidism, 2 Galactosemia, 44 Hemoglobin Disorders, 1 Congenital Toxoplamosis, 8 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia, 6 MCAD.

3. Section Number: Performance Measure #1

Field Name: PM01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data on Newborn Screening from the New England Newborn Screening Program (NENSP) at the University of Massachusetts Medical School. The data are for Calendar Year 2004. The NENSP provides all these newborn screening services and furnishes these data. See Form 06 and its Notes also. Massachusetts screens every newborn for ten disorders: Phenylketonuria (PKU), Congenital Hypothyroidism (primary), Galactosemia, Hemoglobin Disorders (including sickle cell anemia), "Maple Syrup" Urine Disease (MSUD), Homocystinuria, Congenital Toxoplasmosis, Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia, Biotinidase Deficiency, and Medium-chain acyl Co-A dehydrogenase deficiency (MCAD).

Every newborn with abnormal results is tracked to a normal result or appropriate clinical care. In 2004, the total of 100 confirmed cases receiving treatment included 2 with PKU, 52 with Congenital Hypothyroidism, 2 Galactosemia, 31 with Hemoglobin Disorders, 1 with Congenital Toxoplamosis, 3 with Biotinidase Deficiency, 5 with Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia, and 4 with MCAD.

4. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

5. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

6. Section Number: Performance Measure #2

Field Name: PM02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure. No new state-level data for 2004. The 2004 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

7. Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

Section Number: Performance Measure #3

Field Name: PM03 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure. No new state-level data for 2004. The 2004 indicator is based on the

State estimates from SLAITS

10. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

11. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

12. Section Number: Performance Measure #4

Field Name: PM04 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure. No new state-level data for 2004. The 2004 indicator is based on the

State estimates from SLAITS

13. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The 2002 indicator is based on the State estimates from SLAITS.

14. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

15. Section Number: Performance Measure #5

Field Name: PM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure. No new state-level data for 2004. The 2004 indicator is based on the

State estimates from SLAITS.

16. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Because only one of the States (Maine) met the NCHS standards for reliability for PM 6, the 2002 indicator is the national average except for Maine which has its State

value noted.

17. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data reported in 2002 have pre-populated the data for 2003 for this performance measure.

18. Section Number: Performance Measure #6

Field Name: PM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004

The data reported in 2004 are pre-populated with the data from 2003 for this performance measure. No new state-level data for 2004. The 2004 indicator is based on the

national estimate from SLAITS

19. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Fully immunized corresponds to the CDC definition of 4:3:1:3:3 (4 or more doses of DTP, 3 or more of poliovirus, 1 or more of any MCV, 3 or more of Hib, and 3 or more of HepB). Data are from the National Immunization Survey, as reported by the CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/default.htm. All historic annual data have been revised to reflect NIP rates. Because the percentage rates are generated by the NIP from surveys, no numerators and denominators are presented in Form 11. Data for 1996, 1997, and 1998 are reported on a calendar year basis (Quarters 1 - 4 of the referenced year); data for 1999 - 2002 are reported on a fiscal year basis (e.g. FY02 = Quarters 3 & 4

of 2001 and Quarters 1 & 2 of 2002).

Massachusetts had set our Annual Objectives through 2007 at the Healthy People 2010 goal of 80%.

20. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Fully immunized corresponds to the CDC definition of 4:3:1:3:3 (4 or more doses of DTP, 3 or more of poliovirus, 1 or more of any MCV, 3 or more of Hib, and 3 or more of HepB). Data are from the National Immunization Survey, as reported by the CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/default.htm. All historic annual data have been revised to reflect NIP rates. Because the percentage rates are generated by the NIP from surveys, no numerators and denominators are presented in Form 11. Data for 1996, 1997, and 1998 are reported on a calendar year basis (Quarters 1 - 4 of the referenced year); data for 1999 - 2003 are reported on a fiscal year basis (e.g. FY03 = Quarters 3 & 4 of 2002 and Quarters 1 & 2 of 2003).

21. Section Number: Performance Measure #7

Field Name: PM07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Fully immunized corresponds to the CDC definition of 4:3:1:3:3 (4 or more doses of DTP, 3 or more of poliovirus, 1 or more of any MCV, 3 or more of Hib, and 3 or more of HepB). Data are from the National Immunization Survey, as reported by the CDC at http://www.cdc.gov/nip/coverage/default.htm. All historic annual data have been revised to reflect NIP rates. Because the percentage rates are generated by the NIP from surveys, no numerators and denominators are presented in Form 11. Data for 1996, 1997, and 1998 are reported on a calendar year basis (Quarters 1 - 4 of the referenced year); data for 1999 - 2004 are reported on a fiscal year basis (e.g. FY04 = Quarters 3 & 4 of 2003 and Quarters 1 & 2 of 2004).

Based on the improvement in this measure since 2002, Annual Performance Objectives through FY09 have been raised.

22. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

The 2000 denominator has been revised from the FY03 application. The number of female teens ages 15-17 is roughly estimated at 60% of the standard 5-year age group 15-19. We are initiating conversations with MISER to determine if better annual estimates of the subgroup are possible.

Based on the improvements in this Measure since 1998, our Annual Objectives through 2007 have been adjusted to reflect lower baseline rates, but with very little further improvement. A number of changes in state funding are expected to reduce a number of programs and services addressing this problem.

23. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

The number of female teens ages 15-17 is roughly estimated at 60% of the standard 5-year age group 15-19.

Based on the improvements in this Measure since 1998, our Annual Objectives through 2009 have been adjusted to reflect lower baseline rates, but with no further improvement. State funding cuts have reduced a number of programs and services addressing this problem; in addition, the overall rate is already quite low.

24. Section Number: Performance Measure #8

Field Name: PM08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

25. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data for 2002 are taken from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). A new children's dental health module, containing this and other questions, was introduced in the 2001 Survey and is now being carried out every year. Prior to 2001, our only data on the use of sealants have been based on school-based surveys in only a few communities. Such surveys, on larger samples of schools, will also be continued as possible in order to help validate the BRFSS findings. Because we are not yet confident that sealants are fully understood by all families and due to economic conditions, the projected future rates have been set conservatively. Because of the importance of oral health and the problems with using sealant utilization to track overall problems with access to preventive dental services in Massachusetts, we have included an additional oral health measure among our state negotiated measures (see SPM # 04).

26. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data for 2003 are taken from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). A new children's dental health module, containing this and other questions, was introduced in the 2001 Survey and is now being carried out every year. Prior to 2001, our only data on the use of sealants have been based on school-based

surveys in only a few communities. Such surveys, on larger samples of schools, will also be continued as possible in order to help validate the BRFSS findings. Because we are not yet confident that sealants are fully understood by all families and due to economic conditions, the projected future rates have been set conservatively.

The 2003 survey rate of 58.0% is slightly lower than the 2002 survey finding of 61% and slightly below our target rate of 60%. However, an actual rate of 60% is within the

The 2003 survey rate of 58.0% is slightly lower than the 2002 survey finding of 61% and slightly below our target rate of 60%. However, an actual rate of 60% is within the 95% confidence intervals for the survey (54.1% - 61.9%), and thus the data can be considered as showing an essentially flat rate at our target level. The survey rates within various socioeconomic categories show consistently higher rates of sealants as parental education levels rise (33.1% with less than high school education compared with 65.7% with 4+ years of college) and as family income rises (35.2% at under \$25,000 compared with 65.8% at over \$50,000).

Because of the importance of oral health and the problems with using sealant utilization to track overall problems with access to preventive dental services in Massachusetts, we have included an additional oral health measure among our state negotiated measures (see SPM # 04).

### 27. Section Number: Performance Measure #9

Field Name: PM09 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data for 2004 are taken from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). A new children's dental health module, containing this and other questions, was introduced in the 2001 Survey and is now being carried out every year. Prior to 2001, our only data on the use of sealants have been based on school-based surveys in only a few communities. Such surveys, on larger samples of schools, will also be continued as possible in order to help validate the BRFSS findings.

Despite the slight fluctuations in the survey reported rates between 58 and 62%, the data can be considered as showing an essentially flat rate. We have raised our target levels beginning in FY06. The survey rates within various socioeconomic categories show consistently higher rates of sealants as parental education levels rise (48.5% with less than high school education compared with 69.3% with 4+ years of college) and as family income rises (41.1% at under \$25,000 compared with 68.4% at over \$50,000). However, the gaps between income levels and education levels are decreasing.

### 28. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2001. This is the most recent year of data available. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Annual Objectives through 2005 have been adjusted to reflect a steady rate, rather than any decline.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

### 29. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

### 30. Section Number: Performance Measure #10

Field Name: PM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 death data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

### 31. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Breastfeeding at discharge and resident birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. The percentages on Form 11 differ from those published elsewhere due to how missing data are handled. The MCHB definition of the denominator is specified as all resident births. In MassCHIP and most Massachusetts publications, percentages are reported only for cases where information is known (i.e. the denominator excludes births for which data on the variable are missing). Using the MCHB definition reduces the calculated percentage. The differences are generally small but were pronounced for 1996, when the impact of implementation of major revision to the birth certificate form and transmission system resulted in a significantly higher rate of unknown values for some variables, including breastfeeding. Projections were based on the assumption that the true rate did not dip in 1996. This assumption is borne out by subsequent data, as the rate has returned to its upward trend. Slight adjustments have been made in the Annual Objectives through 2007.

### 32. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Breastfeeding at discharge and resident birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2003. This is the most recent data available. The percentages on Form 11 differ from those published elsewhere due to how missing data are handled. The MCHB definition of the denominator is specified as all resident births. In MassCHIP and most Massachusetts publications, percentages are reported only for cases where information is known (i.e. the denominator excludes births for which data on the variable are missing). Using the MCHB definition reduces the calculated percentage.

Although progress has been slow on this measure, we are raising our target levels beginning in FY06, as increased efforts are being made to improve the outcomes.

### 33. Section Number: Performance Measure #11

Field Name: PM11 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other

Although progress has been slow on this measure, we are raising our target levels beginning in FY06, as increased efforts are being made to improve the outcomes.

34. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Pre-discharge screening rates are estimated from data received from MDPH annual surveys of all Massachusetts birth hospitals. As of November 1998, Massachusetts law mandated that all newborns in Massachusetts must have their hearing screened prior to discharge from a birth hospital. Amendments to Hospital Licensure and Birth Center Regulations regarding Universal Newborn Hearing Screening Programs became effective on September 1, 1999. Eventually, actual screening (and follow-up) data will be available from the birth certificate and a new newborn hearing data system, with linkages to FIRSTLink and Early Intervention, will be implemented. These changes will result in improved data and outcome tracking.

Projected targets assume exclusion from the denominator of any parents refusing the screening; this number has been extremely small to date. The speed with which hospitals have implemented the law exceeded our expectations when our targets were originally set.

Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Pre-discharge screening rates are estimated from data received from MDPH annual surveys of all Massachusetts birth hospitals. As of November 1998, Massachusetts law mandated that all newborns in Massachusetts must have their hearing screened prior to discharge from a birth hospital. Amendments to Hospital Licensure and Birth Center Regulations regarding Universal Newborn Hearing Screening Programs became effective on September 1, 1999. Eventually, actual screening (and follow-up) data will be available from the birth certificate and a new newborn hearing data system, with linkages to FIRSTLink and Early Intervention, will be implemented. These changes will result in improved data and outcome tracking.

Projected targets assume exclusion from the denominator of any parents refusing the screening; this number has been extremely small to date. The speed with which hospitals have implemented the law exceeded our expectations when our targets were originally set.

36. Section Number: Performance Measure #12

Field Name: PM12 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

As of November 1998, Massachusetts law mandated that all newborns in Massachusetts must have their hearing screened prior to discharge from a birth hospital. Amendments to Hospital Licensure and Birth Center Regulations regarding Universal Newborn Hearing Screening Programs became effective on September 1, 1999.

Prior to 2004, pre-discharge screening rates were estimated from data received from MDPH annual surveys of all Massachusetts birth hospitals. Effective with 2004, actual screening (and follow-up) data are available from the electronic birth certificate (EBC). A new newborn hearing data system, with linkages to FIRSTLink and Early Intervention, is also being implemented. We are still monitoring hospital survey reporting and comparing the survey and birth certificate results to assure data quality. Based on our understanding of MCHB definitions for this measure, the numerator and denominator capture in-state resident births. I.e. they exclude out-of-state resident births and occurrence births to residents of other states. The method also excludes all screens done after discharge, regardless of how soon. This differs from CDC reporting protocols and therefore the data may differ from other published findings. The method also may leave "border babies" potentially uncounted in any state, an issue that the New England states are exploring in a broader context. We would welcome clearer joint instructions and data definitions from MCHB and CDC. The use of the early EBC data (i.e. we are reporting newborn hearing screening data for 2004, while all other birth data are only officially available - and reported - for 2003) also makes the data preliminary. The denominator is higher than the preliminary estimate provided by MDPH and used in Form 6, for example. Removal of duplicate records continues and final 2004 birth data will not be available until winter, 2006. The UNHSP preliminary EBC numerator and denominator are reported here and will be

Projected targets of less than 100% assume exclusion from the denominator of any parents refusing the screening; this number has been extremely small to date.

Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

The data sources for this indicator vary from year to year; all are estimates. Previous sources have included Current Population Survey (CPS), health insurance status surveys of Massachusetts residents conducted by the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (HCFP) biannually, and the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), which now includes questions on insurance coverage for household members under the age of 18. The FY01 estimate was derived only from the BRFSS. The estimated rate of 2.45% for FY02 is a average of the rate reported by the 2002 HCFP survey (3.2%) that reported by the 2002 BRFSS (1.7%). Performance Objectives through 2007 have been adjusted to remain at 2.5%, as further progress is not foreseen in the near future. In fact, the rate may be higher when the FY03 and FY04 data are reported.

38. Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data sources for this indicator vary from year to year; all are estimates. Previous sources have included Current Population Survey (CPS), health insurance status surveys of Massachusetts residents conducted by the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (HCFP) biannually, and the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), which now includes questions on insurance coverage for household members under the age of 18. The FY01 estimate was derived only from the BRFSS. The estimated rate of 2.45% for FY02 is a average of the rate reported by the 2002 HCFP survey (3.2%) that reported by the 2002 BRFSS (1.7%). The FY03 estimate of 2.3% was again derived only from the BRFSS, as no HCFP survey was carried out. [In FY04, there will again be data from both surveys.]. The 95% confidence intervals for the 2.3% 2003 estimate are 1.3% - 3.2%. Hispanic families, families with less than a high school education and those with income under \$25,000 reported the highest uninsured rates (7.7%, 7.2%, and 6.1% respectively).

As the BRFSS survey has consistently generated estimated rates that are lower than those found in the HCFP surveys, the rise in the BRFSS estimated rate of uninsured children (up to 2.3% from 1.7%) suggests that the changes in the state's economy and the limitations on CMSP enrollment in FY03 did adversely affect children's access to insurance. The 2004 HCFP survey will give us an even better estimate of the true changes and their impact by such variables as family income, race/ethnicity, and employment status.

Section Number: Performance Measure #13

Field Name: PM13 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004

### Field Note:

The data sources for this indicator vary from year to year; all are estimates. Previous sources have included Current Population Survey (CPS), health insurance status surveys of Massachusetts residents conducted by the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy (HCFP) biannually, and the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), which now includes questions on insurance coverage for household members under the age of 18. The FY01 estimate was derived only from the BRFSS. The estimated rate of 2.45% for FY02 is an average of the rate reported by the 2002 HCFP survey (3.2%) and that reported by the 2002 BRFSS (1.7%). The FY03 estimate of 2.3% was again derived only from the BRFSS, as no HCFP survey was carried out. The 95% confidence intervals for the 2.3% 2003 estimate are 1.3% - 3.2%. Hispanic families, families with less than a high school education and those with income under \$25,000 reported the highest uninsured rates (7.7%, 7.2%, and 6.1% respectively).

The estimated rate of 3.2% for FY04 is the rate reported by the 2004 HCFP survey. By comparison, the 2004 BRFSS survey reported a rate of 2.6%.

As the BRFSS survey has consistently generated estimated rates that are lower than those found in the HCFP surveys, the rise in the BRFSS estimated rate of uninsured children (up to 2.6% from 2.3% in 2003 and 1.7% in 2002) suggests that the changes in the state's economy, public and private insurance systems, and other factors may be affecting access to health insurance for some families. The 2004 HCFP survey rate, on the other hand, although higher is unchanged from its 2002 estimate. As a result of a number of emerging state initiatives, we are hopeful that the rate will fall and our current targets (which are already lower for 2006 and beyond) can be reduced even further.

40. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Service data are provided by the Division of Medical Assistance, Information Analysis Unit. The numerator is the number of children aged 0 - 18 who received a service paid by MassHealth (Medicaid) during the state fiscal year. All children enrolled are assumed to have had at least one service paid for by the program. The denominator is made up of two components. The first is the total number of children aged 0 - 18 enrolled in MassHealth during the same period (provided by DMA). The second is an estimate of children not enrolled in Medicaid who might be eligible for it. For FY01, the denominator was the sum of 401,603 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 19,986 children unenrolled eligibles under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (40.3% of the 3% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2000 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).] (These data were not available at the time our FY01 Annual Report was filed and have been added now.)

For FY02, the denominator is the sum of 419,948 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 27,560 children unenrolled eligibles under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (52.1% of the 3.2% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2000 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

There is a slight discrepancy in the age groups used for the estimates; it is not believed to affect the measure significantly.

Objectives through 2007 have been adjusted downward to 90%, based on the slight decrease from FY01 to FY02 and the ongoing uncertainties about both public and private insurance coverage and outreach.

(See Endnote to PM #13 also.)

41. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Service data are provided by the Division of Medical Assistance. The numerator is the number of children aged 0 - 18 who received a service paid by MassHealth (Medicaid) during the state fiscal year. All children enrolled are assumed to have had at least one service paid for by the program. The denominator is made up of two components. The first is the total number of children aged 0 - 18 enrolled in MassHealth during the same period. The second is an estimate of children not enrolled in Medicaid who might be eligible for it.

For FY01, the denominator was the sum of 401,603 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 19,986 children unenrolled eligibles under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (40.3% of the 3% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2000 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

For FY02, the denominator is the sum of 419,948 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 27,560 children unenrolled eligibles under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (52.1% of the 3.2% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2002 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

For FY03, the denominator is the sum of 404,918 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 27,560 children unenrolled eligibles under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (52.1% of the 3.2% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2002 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

The Medicaid source documents can be found on their website. (http://www.mass.gov/Eeohhs2/docs/masshealth/research/schip-2003\_ar.pdf and

http://www.mass.gov/Eeohhs2/docs/masshealth/research/1115\_2003-demoar.pdf.) There is a slight discrepancy in the age groups used for the estimates; it is not believed to affect the measure significantly.

Objectives through 2008 have been adjusted upward slightly to 93%. (See Endnote to PM #13 also.)

### 42. Section Number: Performance Measure #14

Field Name: PM14
Row Name:
Column Name:
Year: 2004
Field Note:

Service data are provided by the Division of Medical Assistance. The numerator is the number of children aged 0 - 18 who received a service paid by MassHealth (Medicaid) during the state fiscal year. All children enrolled are assumed to have had at least one service paid for by the program. The denominator is made up of two components. The first is the total number of children aged 0 - 18 enrolled in MassHealth during the same period. The second is an estimate of children not enrolled in Medicaid who might be eligible for it.

For FY01, the denominator was the sum of 401,603 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 19,986 unenrolled eligible children under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (40.3% of the 3% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2000 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

For FY02, the denominator is the sum of 419,948 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 27,560 unenrolled eligible children under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (52.1% of the 3.2% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2002 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

For FY03, the denominator is the sum of 404,918 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 27,560 unenrolled eligible children under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (52.1% of the 3.2% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2002 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

For FY04, the denominator is the sum of 407,918 children enrolled in MassHealth and an estimate of 27,560 unenrolled eligible children under age 19. [This estimate is calculated by applying the estimated % of all uninsured children under 201% of the FPL (52.1% of the 3.2% uninsured) from the Massachusetts Division of Health Care Finance and Policy 2004 survey to the estimated population ages 0-19 from MassCHIP/MISER (1,653,092).]

The Medicaid and HCFP source documents can be found on their respective websites. (http://www.mass.gov/Eeohhs2/docs/masshealth/research/1115\_2004-demoar.pdf; and http://mass.gov/Eeohhs2/docs/dhcfp/pdf/ins\_status\_04\_report.pdf). There is a slight discrepancy in the age groups used for the estimates; it is not believed to affect the measure significantly.

Objectives through 2009 have been adjusted upward slightly to 94%. (See Endnote to PM #13 also.)

43. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all resident births for the relevant year.

Annual Performance Objectives through 2003 project a continued slight rise in the overall VLBW rate over the period; these projections have been adjusted to level out at 15.0 through 2007. The VLBW rate rose in 1997 and remained essentially unchanged through 2001; it remains higher than rates earlier in the 1990's.

Analysis indicates that this rise (and a rise in LBW as well) is associated in part with changes in the rate of multiple births (Cohen, BB, Friedman, DJ, Zhang, Z, Trudeau, EB. Impact of multiple births on low birthweight. Mortality and Morbidity Weekly Review 1998; 48: 289-292). Massachusetts has the highest multiple-birth rate in the country. However, the VLBW rates among singleton births (which is now an MCHB Health Status Indicator) has not improved in the same period either. This is an issue that we continue to address

### 44. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all resident births for the relevant year.

Annual Performance Objectives through 2009 have been adjusted to level out at 1.4. The VLBW rate rose in 1997 and remained essentially unchanged since 2001; it remains higher than rates earlier in the 1990's.

Analysis indicates that this rise (and a rise in LBW as well) is associated in part with changes in the rate of multiple births; Massachusetts has the highest multiple-birth rate in the country. However, the VLBW rates among singleton births (which is now an MCHB Health Status Indicator) has not improved in the same period either. This is an issue that we continue to address.

### 45. Section Number: Performance Measure #15

Field Name: PM15 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments

### 46. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2001. This is the most recent year of data available. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

The single year rates are quite volatile and year-to-year changes (either up or down) should not be over-interpreted. Expanded efforts to prevent suicides and suicide attempts that got underway in FY02 with new state funding lasted for approximately one year before being cut. Thus we are projecting the possibility of a rise in the rate over the next several years.

### 47. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

The single year rates are quite volatile and year-to-year changes (either up or down) should not be over-interpreted. Expanded efforts to prevent suicides and suicide attempts that got underway in FY02 have only been funded intermittently in the state budget. We are projecting a level rate over the next several years.

### 48. Section Number: Performance Measure #16

Field Name: PM16 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 death data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

### 49. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data on VLBW, birth hospitals, and resident births are from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2001. The eight Level III units are at Baystate Medical Center, Beth Israel Deaconess, Boston Medical Center, Brigham and Women's, Massachusetts General Hospital, Medical Center of Central Massachusetts, New England Medical Center, and St. Elizabeth's Medical Center. Data include only those resident births that occurred in-state at Massachusetts hospitals, as the birth file used for analysis does not contain the necessary information (specific hospital of birth) for births to residents at out-of-state facilities to be categorized by Level III facility. In one region of the state enough births occur out-of-state (in Rhode Island) to distort the statistic otherwise. The addition of one regional Level II hospital to the group with NICUs beginning in 2002 will only modestly improve the rate, which has begun declining in all regions of the state.

The Bureau continues to work with the Division of Health Care Quality, the Perinatal Advisory Committee, and other obstetric and neonatal clinicians to examine the question of appropriate care in Level II and Level III facilities. The Hospital Licensure Regulations for Maternal-Newborn Services (developed in the late 1980's) are being

reviewed for potential updating and modification. Some Level II facilities are seeking changes in the regulations to allow them to provide certain services currently only allowed in Level III hospitals. The literature and experience are divided on the safety of some of these practices. Our capacity to monitor these changing patterns of policy (and potentially regulations) and their impact on both care and outcomes for VLBW infants is critical but resources remain constrained. A pilot study (done with Partners Healthcare) of performing short-term mechanical ventilation on infants over 32 weeks gestation at Level II facilities is being explored.

### 50. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data on VLBW, birth hospitals, and resident births are from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2003. The eight Level III units are at Baystate Medical Center, Beth Israel Deaconess, Boston Medical Center, Brigham and Women's, Massachusetts General Hospital, Medical Center of Central Massachusetts, New England Medical Center, and St. Elizabeth's Medical Center. Data include only those resident births that occurred in-state at Massachusetts hospitals, as the birth file used for analysis does not contain the necessary information (specific hospital of birth) for births to residents at out-of-state facilities to be categorized by Level III facility. In one region of the state enough births occur out-of-state (in Rhode Island) to distort the statistic otherwise.

The addition of one regional Level II hospital to the group with NICUs beginning in 2002 (South Shore Hospital) only modestly improves the rate, which has begun declining

in all regions of the state.

Revised Hospital Licensure Regulations for Maternal-Newborn Services have been prepared and are expected to formally promulgated by the end of 2005. Their impact on the perinatal regional system and the facilities considered to be appropriate for high-risk deliveries and neonates is unknown at this time. It is likely that new baselines will be established for 2006 births. The impact of the regulatory changes on the system and on the resulting data will be described in next year's annual report and monitored in future vears.

### 51. Section Number: Performance Measure #17

Field Name: PM17 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other

Revised Hospital Licensure Regulations for Maternal-Newborn Services have been prepared and are expected to be formally promulgated by the end of 2005. Their impact on the perinatal regional system and the facilities considered to be appropriate for high-risk deliveries and neonates is unknown at this time. It is likely that new baselines will be established for 2006 births. The impact of the regulatory changes on the system and on the resulting data will be described in next year's annual report and monitored in future years.

### 52. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data are from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2001. This is the most recent year of data available.

The percentages shown differ from those published elsewhere, due to how missing data are handled. The MCHB definition of the denominator is specified as all resident births during the referenced year. In MassCHIP and most Massachusetts publications (such as Massachusetts Births), percentages are reported only for cases where information is known (i.e. the denominator excludes births for which data on the variable are missing). Using the MCHB definition reduces the calculated percentage slightly.

In 1996, Massachusetts implemented major revisions to the birth certificate form. While these format changes dramatically increased the consistency of data collection across facilities, the change affected several data elements, including calculation of the initiation of prenatal care. Trend analysis should be done from 1997 forward only. The continued lack of significant improvement in this measure continues to be of concern and will remain a focus in FY04.

### 53. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data are from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2003. This is the most recent year of data available.

The percentages shown differ from those published elsewhere, due to how missing data are handled. The MCHB definition of the denominator is specified as all resident births during the referenced year. In MassCHIP and most Massachusetts publications (such as Massachusetts Births), percentages are reported only for cases where information is known (i.e. the denominator excludes births for which data on the variable are missing). Using the MCHB definition reduces the calculated percentage slightly.

The continued lack of significant improvement in this measure continues to be of concern and will remain a focus in FY06.

### 54. Section Number: Performance Measure #18

Field Name: PM18 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other

### 55. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002

The data for the measure are available every other year from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions, data source and issues, and a discussion of its significance. Although the 2000 and 2002 BRFSS survey results exceeded our expectations, annual Performance Objectives for 2003 forward have been lowered slightly, in anticipation of the effect of substantially reduced state funding for family planning and teen pregnancy prevention services.

### Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

The data for the measure are available every other year from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS); the next survey data will be for 2004. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions, data source and issues, and a discussion of its significance. Although the 2000 and 2002 BRFSS survey results exceeded our expectations, annual Performance Objectives for 2003 forward have been lowered slightly, in anticipation of the effect of substantially reduced state funding for family planning and teen pregnancy prevention services.

57. Section Number: State Performance Measure #1

Field Name: SM1 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

The data for the measure are available every other year from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS); the current survey data are for 2004. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions, data source and issues, and a discussion of its significance. The 2000, 2002, and 2004 BRFSS survey results exceeded our expectations (only slightly in FY04), and our annual Performance Objectives for 2005 and beyond have been raised.

58. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of data limitations. The data correspond to those reported by DMA to HCFA on Form HCFA 416; the most recent data are from the period October 1, 2001 - September 30, 2002. Rates, but not the underlying numbers, for years prior to FY1997 were available from DMA. In FY99, HCFA revised the 416 report and the visit codes that are allowable for Medicaid to count the visit as a "screen;" data prior to FY99 should not be compared to data from FY99 forward.

Data from the state-funded Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) were added to the numerator and denominator beginning in FY00. CMSP enrolled children and youth represent 43,419 of the total denominator shown for FY02. The percent of CMSP children and youth receiving documented preventive services has increased modestly, from 24% in FY00, 26% in FY01, to 30% in FY02. The percent of Medicaid children and youth remained level in FY02 at 67%.

To date, the CMSP billing and data systems (which are distinct from Medicaid's) do not have the capability to fully capture the equivalent of the HCFA 416 report. Preventive services provided during a "sick visit" are not fully captured in billing codes and thus are partially missing from the composite data. It should also be noted that the average amount of time that children are continuously enrolled in CMSP in any given year is only about 9 months and there is a substantial amount of on and off enrollment as families gain or lose private insurance or change their eligibility status. These patterns of enrollment, unfortunately, make achieving the preventive potential of the program more difficult; some preventive activities may have been carried out through the other insurers as well.

59. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of data limitations. The data correspond to those reported by DMA to HCFA on Form HCFA 416; the most recent data are from the period October 1, 2002 - September 30, 2003. Rates, but not the underlying numbers, for years prior to FY1997 were available from DMA. In FY99, HCFA revised the 416 report and the visit codes that are allowable for Medicaid to count the visit as a "screen"; data prior to FY99 should not be compared to data from FY99 forward.

Data from the state-funded Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) were added to the numerator and denominator beginning in FY00. CMSP enrolled children and youth represent 42,619 of the total denominator shown for FY03. The percent of CMSP children and youth receiving documented preventive services has increased modestly, from 24% in FY00, 26% in FY01, to 30% in FY02, before leveling off at 28% in FY03. The percent of Medicaid children and youth rose in FY03 from 67% to 71%.

To date, the CMSP billing and data systems (which are distinct from Medicaid's) do not have the capability to fully capture the equivalent of the HCFA 416 report. Preventive services provided during a "sick visit" are not fully captured in billing codes and thus are partially missing from the composite data. It should also be noted that the average amount of time that children are continuously enrolled in CMSP in any given year is only about 9 months and there is a substantial amount of on and off enrollment as families gain or lose private insurance or change their eligibility status. In FY03, there were also caps on enrollment and waiting lists were implemented. These patterns of enrollment, unfortunately, make achieving the preventive potential of the program more difficult; some preventive activities may have been carried out through the other insurance as well

60. Section Number: State Performance Measure #3

Field Name: SM3 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of data limitations. The data correspond to those reported by DMA to HCFA on Form HCFA 416; the most recent data are from the period October 1, 2003 - September 30, 2004. Rates, but not the underlying numbers, for years prior to FY1997 were available from DMA. In FY99, HCFA revised the 416 report and the visit codes that are allowable for Medicaid to count the visit as a "screen"; data prior to FY99 should not be compared to data from FY99 forward.

Data from the state-funded Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) were added to the numerator and denominator beginning in FY00. However, the FY04 data are only for Medicaid, as FY04 data on CMSP enrolled children and youth are not yet available. With the transfer of CMSP out of the BFCH in FY05, data management and analysis functions were transferred as well and our ability to readily generate or obtain these data has been reduced. The percent of CMSP children and youth receiving documented preventive services did increase modestly, from 24% in FY00, 26% in FY01, to 30% in FY02, before leveling off at 28% in FY03. The percent of Medicaid children and youth has also increased, from 67% in FY02, to 71% in FY03, and to 73% in FY04. Because Medicaid has historically shown higher percentages of preventive services use, the apparent improvement in the reported rate for FY04 is an artifact of the lack of CMSP data at this time and should not be regarded as a trend.

Even when the data have been available, the CMSP billing and data systems (which are distinct from Medicaid's) do not have the capability to fully capture the equivalent of the HCFA 416 report. Preventive services provided during a "sick visit" are not fully captured in billing codes and thus are partially missing from the composite data. The average amount of time that children are continuously enrolled in CMSP in any given year is only about 9 months and there is a substantial amount of on and off enrollment as families gain or lose private insurance or change their eligibility status. In addition, in FY03 there were also caps on enrollment and waiting lists were implemented. These patterns of enrollment, unfortunately, make achieving the preventive potential of the program more difficult; some preventive activities may have been carried out through the other insurers as well

Because of these multiple data issues, which are unlikely to be improved, this measure is being eliminated entirely as part of our 5-year needs assessment and establishment of new State Performance Measures.

61. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of data limitations. The data correspond to those reported by DMA to HCFA on Form HCFA 416; the most recent data are from the period October 1, 2001 - September 30, 2002. The 416 report now reports data in more detail than previously by children's age. Beginning with the FY99 data, the measure was modified to include data on children ages 3 - 18 only.

Data from the state-funded Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) were added to the numerator and denominator beginning in FY00. CMSP enrolled children and

youth represent 43,419 of the total denominator shown for FY02. CMSP coverage for dental services began during FY00 and the number with claims paid for dental visits was quite small (1,824, or 5%). By FY01, those with claims rose to 8,435, or 23%, and to 10,421 or 24% in FY02. The numbers in future years should continue to rise, if funding allows continuation of the benefit. It should also be noted that the average amount of time that children are continuously enrolled in CMSP in any given year is only about 9 months and there is a substantial amount of on and off enrollment as families gain or lose private insurance or change their eligibility status. These patterns of enrollment, unfortunately, make achieving the preventive potential of the program more difficult and some preventive activities may have been carried out through the other insurers as well.

The percentage of Medicaid children and youth receiving preventive dental services has continued to rise (50% in FY00, 57% in FY01, and 67% in FY02), reflecting a number of positive changes: improved payment rates, increased recruitment of dentists, increased pediatric dental services available at community health centers, and increased promotion of the importance of dental care through a number of initiatives.

### 62. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of data limitations.

The data correspond to those reported by the Commonwealth to HCFA on Form HCFA 416; the most recent data are from the period October 1, 2002 - September 30, 2003. The 416 report now reports data in more detail than previously by children's age. Beginning with the FY99 data, the measure was modified to include data on children ages 3 - 18 only.

Data from the state-funded Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) were added to the numerator and denominator beginning in FY00. CMSP enrolled children and youth represent 42,619 of the total denominator shown for FY03. CMSP coverage for dental services began during FY00 and the number with claims paid for dental visits was quite small (1,824, or 5%). Since then those with claims has remained at 23 – 24% (9,789 in FY03). It should also be noted that the average amount of time that children are continuously enrolled in CMSP in any given year is only about 9 months and there is a substantial amount of on and off enrollment as families gain or lose private insurance or change their eligibility status. In FY03, there were also caps on enrollment and waiting lists were implemented. These patterns of enrollment, unfortunately, make achieving the preventive potential of the program more difficult and some preventive activities may have been carried out through the other insurers as well.

The reported percentage of Medicaid children and youth receiving preventive dental services continued to rise (50% in FY00, 57% in FY01, and 67% in FY02), before dropping significantly in FY03 to 37%. The increased rates may have reflected a number of positive changes: improved payment rates, increased recruitment of dentists, increased pediatric dental services available at community health centers, and increased promotion of the importance of dental care through a number of initiatives. The apparent drop, however, is due to a major correction in the data reporting methodology. We have been informed by Medicaid that the previous methodology overestimated rates of preventive dental services utilization and that they needed to change it. The previous years' data need to be recalculated for a more accurate time series and we are in the process of working with Medicaid to option the corrected data if possible. In the meantime, no trend analyses can be made from the data in hand. We have also adjusted our projected Objectives through FY08 to reflect the modified methodology and the likelihood that our progress on this measure is not what we had thought it was.

### 63. Section Number: State Performance Measure #4

Field Name: SM4 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of data limitations. The data correspond to those reported by DMA to HCFA on Form HCFA 416; the most recent data are from the period October 1, 2003 - September 30, 2004. The 416 report now reports data in more detail than previously by children's age. Beginning with the FY99 data, the measure was modified to include data on children ages 3 - 18 only.

Data from the state-funded Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) were added to the numerator and denominator beginning in FY00. CMSP coverage for dental services began during FY00 and the number with claims paid for dental visits was quite small (1,824, or 5%). Since then those with claims remained at 23 – 24% and then rose to an estimated 64% in FY04. These are estimated percents, as CMSP cannot provide an unduplicated count of clients, only visits. Because CMSP allows two dental visits per year, we have made a conservative estimate by dividing the total number of visits for children ages 3 -18 (44,670) by 2. It should also be noted that the average amount of time that children are continuously enrolled in CMSP in any given year is only about 9 months and there is a substantial amount of on and off enrollment as families gain or lose private insurance or change their eligibility status. In FY03, there were also caps on enrollment and waiting lists were implemented. These patterns of enrollment, unfortunately, make achieving the preventive potential of the program more difficult and some preventive activities may have been been carried out through the other insurers as well.

The percentage of Medicaid children and youth receiving preventive dental services continued to rise (50% in FY00, 57% in FY01, and 67% in FY02), before dropping significantly in FY03 to 37%. The increases may have reflected a number of positive changes: improved payment rates, increased recruitment of dentists, increased pediatric dental services available at community health centers, and increased promotion of the importance of dental care through a number of initiatives. The apparent drop, however, is due to a major correction in the data reporting methodology, as the previous one overestimated rates of preventive dental services utilization. Thus trend analysis should be done from FY03 forward only.

Due to the data issues discussed above and the fact that CMSP is no longer administered by the Title V agency, this measure is being modified as a result of our 5-year needs assessment and establishment of new State Performance Measures. The new measure will track only Medicaid clients, for which unduplicated counts of children receiving services are already being reported in a standardized manner.

### 64. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Maternal smoking during pregnancy and resident birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of the limitations of the data. Our target is the Healthy People 2010 rate of 90%.

The rates on Form 11 may differ from those published elsewhere, due to how missing data are handled. For comparability with other MCH Core Performance Measures related to pregnancy outcomes and birth statistics, we have defined the denominator for this Negotiated Measure as all resident births during the referenced year. In other Massachusetts publications (such as Massachusetts Births), percentages are usually reported based on denominators from which birth records with information missing about the variable have been removed. The result is a lower apparent rate. The differences are generally small but were more pronounced for 1996, when the impact of implementation of major revisions to the birth certificate form and transmission system resulted in a significantly higher rate of unknown values for some variables, including tobacco use, than in previous years.

### 65. Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Maternal smoking during pregnancy and resident birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1991 - 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of the limitations of the data. Early success has slowed or reversed in the last two years, as funding for tobacco control activities has been uncertain and was significantly reduced. Funding has become stabilized again, but at a lower level, and we believe that our target levels are achievable.

The rates on Form 11 may differ from those published elsewhere, due to how missing data are handled. For comparability with other MCH Core Performance Measures

related to pregnancy outcomes and birth statistics, we have defined the denominator for this Negotiated Measure as all resident births during the referenced year. In other Massachusetts publications (such as Massachusetts Births), percentages are usually reported based on denominators from which birth records with information missing about the variable have been removed. The result is a lower apparent rate.

This measure will remain as a State Negotiated Performance Measure for 2006 and beyond.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #5

Field Name: SM5 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments

This measure will remain as a State Negotiated Performance Measure for 2006 and beyond.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of the limitations of the data.

Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 and 2002 denominators are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 or 2002 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research;

http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Rates shown may differ from rates previously published or presented in reports from the Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, due to differences in the denominators used. Some of the rate change may reflect the adjustment of the denominator based on the Census, and all rates should be considered preliminary until a MISER population estimate has been entered for that year.

Although not the lead agency for the state's STD reduction and treatment efforts, the Bureau supports a number of program efforts to reduce teen risk behaviors that contribute to STDs or assure comprehensive health care, and we work closely with the state STD Program. At the present time, we are projecting rates that will rise only slightly from the estimated FY02 rate and then remain unchanged through 2007. As suggested by the higher than expected apparent rise in the last three years, this may be overly optimistic as any sustained reductions in STDs among teens remain elusive, major disparities continue between white and minority females, and a number of statefunded programs that contribute to the measure are experiencing serious cutbacks.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of the limitations of the data.

Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001, 2002, and 2003 denominators are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no additional population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Rates shown may differ from rates previously published or presented in reports from the Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, due to differences in the denominators used. Some of the rate change may reflect the adjustment of the denominator based on the Census, and all rates should be considered provisional until a MISER or other updated population estimate has been entered for that year.

Although not the lead agency for the state's STD reduction and treatment efforts, the Bureau supports a number of program efforts to reduce teen risk behaviors that contribute to STDs or assure comprehensive health care, and we work closely with the state STD Program. At the present time, we are projecting rates that will rise only slightly from the estimated FY02 rate and then remain unchanged through 2008. As suggested by the higher than expected apparent rise in the last several years, this may be overly optimistic as any sustained reductions in STDs among teens remain elusive, major disparities continue between white and minority females, and a number of state-funded programs that contribute to the measure are experiencing serious cutbacks.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #6

Field Name: SM6 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

See the Detail Sheet for this measure for definitions of the numerator and denominator and a discussion of the limitations of the data.

Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Rates shown may differ from rates previously published or presented in reports from the Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, due to differences in the denominators used. Some of the rate change may reflect the adjustment of the denominator based on the Census, and all rates should be considered provisional until a MISER or other updated population estimate has been entered for that year.

This measure is being eliminated as part of our 5-year needs assessment and establishment of new State Performance Measures.

Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure, which was previously revised for FY02 and future years, is now scored from a Checklist which includes five components, each of which is scored on a separate scale; the maximum total score is 49: 1) Training and Technical Assistance (TA) strategies for providers, licensers and policymakers on health and safety in child care are available and used; 2) A Massachusetts-specific curriculum based on the National Training Institute for Child Care Health Consultants (NTI) is developed and implemented; 3) Systematic data systems monitoring preventive health measures are in place in child care settings; 4) National Health and Safety Performance Standards: Guidelines for Out-of-Home Child Care Programs (NHSPS) are successfully adopted by State's early childhood programs; and 5) The percentage of child care settings that have Child Care Health Consultants (CCHC). See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" subsection of State Performance Measure 7 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY02 scoring by component shown.

Both the activities reflected in the components and the process of measuring our progress are being implemented in close collaboration with the Office of Child Care

Services.

71. Section Number: State Performance Measure #7

Field Name: SM7 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure, which was previously revised for FY02 and future years, is now scored from a Checklist which includes five components, each of which is scored on a separate scale; the maximum total score is 49: 1) Training and Technical Assistance (TA) strategies for providers, licensers and policymakers on health and safety in child care are available and used; 2) A Massachusetts-specific curriculum based on the National Training Institute for Child Care Health Consultants (NTI) is developed and implemented; 3) Systematic data systems monitoring preventive health measures are in place in child care settings; 4) National Health and Safety Performance Standards: Guidelines for Out-of-Home Child Care Programs (NHSPS) are successfully adopted by State's early childhood programs; and 5) The percentage of child care settings that have Child Care Health Consultants (CCHC). See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" subsection of State Performance Measure 7 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY03 scoring by component shown.

Both the activities reflected in the components and the process of measuring our progress are being implemented in close collaboration with the Office of Child Care Services

72. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure is scored from a Checklist which includes five components, each of which is scored on scales that produce a maximum weighted score of 10: 1) assurance that nutrition screening and education, along with referrals to assessment, counseling, and services as needed, are available to all pregnant and post-partum women, infants, children and adolescents (including those with special health care needs) in all MCH-funded direct service programs; 2) assurance that referrals to WIC are made for all eligible clients of all DPH-funded MCH programs; 3) adoption and promotion of comprehensive nutrition screening standards for pregnant and post-partum women, infants, children and adolescents; 4) establishment and use of a Work Group to identify and prioritize nutrition-related health issues and to investigate intervention strategies; and 5) implementation of strategies to address the priority issues identified through the Work Group. See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" sub-section of State Performance Measure 8 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY02 scoring by component shown.

The measure was thoroughly reviewed and reconstituted during our Needs Assessment process. Previous scores have not been modified. It addresses a large number of systems attributes and relies on data and qualitative assessments from a number of sources and viewpoints. We continue to find no single measure of nutritional status appropriate or available on a population basis, and thus continue to opt for a measure of comprehensive systems development as an intermediate outcome. The effectiveness of the new version of this measure will continue to be monitored.

73. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure is scored from a Checklist which includes five components, each of which is scored on scales that produce a maximum weighted score of 10: 1) assurance that nutrition screening and education, along with referrals to assessment, counseling, and services as needed, are available to all pregnant and post-partum women, infants, children and adolescents (including those with special health care needs) in all MCH-funded direct service programs; 2) assurance that referrals to WIC are made for all eligible clients of all DPH-funded MCH programs; 3) adoption and promotion of comprehensive nutrition screening standards for pregnant and post-partum women, infants, children and adolescents; 4) establishment and use of a Work Group to identify and prioritize nutrition-related health issues and to investigate intervention strategies; and 5) implementation of strategies to address the priority issues identified through the Work Group. See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" sub-section of State Performance Measure 8 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY03 scoring by component shown.

The measure was thoroughly reviewed and reconstituted during our Needs Assessment process. Previous scores have not been modified. It addresses a large number of systems attributes and relies on data and qualitative assessments from a number of sources and viewpoints. We continue to find no single measure of nutritional status appropriate or available on a population basis, and thus continue to opt for a measure of comprehensive systems development as an intermediate outcome. The effectiveness of the new version of this measure will continue to be monitored.

74. Section Number: State Performance Measure #8

Field Name: SM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure is scored from a Checklist which includes five components, each of which is scored on scales that produce a maximum weighted score of 10: 1) assurance that nutrition screening and education, along with referrals to assessment, counseling, and services as needed, are available to all pregnant and post-partum women, infants, children and adolescents (including those with special health care needs) in all MCH-funded direct service programs; 2) assurance that referrals to WIC are made for all eligible clients of all DPH-funded MCH programs; 3) adoption and promotion of comprehensive nutrition screening standards for pregnant and post-partum women, infants, children and adolescents; 4) establishment and use of a Work Group to identify and prioritize nutrition-related health issues and to investigate intervention strategies; and 5) implementation of strategies to address the priority issues identified through the Work Group. See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" sub-section of State Performance Measure 8 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY04 scoring by component shown.

The measure is being retired as part of our 5-year needs assessment process and establishment of new State Performance Measures. A new measure related to promotion of healthy weight is being substituted.

75. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

This measure was new with our FY01 Application and was developed in conjunction with a new priority area (violence against women and children) identified through our Needs Assessment. Based on experience in the first two years of use, this measure has been modified for FY02 and future years and. It has four components, each with a maximum score of 4, for a maximum total score of 16: 1) development of comprehensive protocols (core and setting-specific) related to violence against women and children (for patient education, screening, care and referral) for all MCH-related program types; 2) percentage of MCH-related programs with developed and approved protocols; 3) degree to which comprehensive education and training curriculum is developed and delivered prior to implementation of screening, care and referral protocols; and 4) percentage of MCH-related programs with protocols that have implemented provider training with developed curriculum.

See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on the revised components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" sub-section of State Performance Measure 8 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY02 scoring by component shown.

76. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

This measure was new with our FY01 Application and was developed in conjunction with a new priority area (violence against women and children) identified through our Needs Assessment. Based on experience in the first two years of use, this measure was modified for FY02 and future years. It has four components, each with a maximum score of 4, for a maximum total score of 16: 1) development of comprehensive protocols (core and setting-specific) related to violence against women and children (for patient education, screening, care and referral) for all MCH-related program types; 2) percentage of MCH-related programs with developed and approved protocols; 3) degree to which comprehensive education and training curriculum is developed and delivered prior to implementation of screening, care and referral protocols; and 4) percentage of MCH-related programs with protocols that have implemented provider training with developed curriculum.

See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on the revised components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" sub-section of State Performance Measure 8 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY03 scoring by component shown.

77. Section Number: State Performance Measure #10

Field Name: SM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

This measure was new with our FY01 Application and was developed in conjunction with a new priority area (violence against women and children) identified through our Needs Assessment. Based on experience in the first two years of use, this measure was modified for FY02 and future years. It has four components, each with a maximum score of 4, for a maximum total score of 16: 1) development of comprehensive protocols (core and setting-specific) related to violence against women and children (for patient education, screening, care and referral) for all MCH-related program types; 2) percentage of MCH-related programs with developed and approved protocols; 3) degree to which comprehensive education and training curriculum is developed and delivered prior to implementation of screening, care and referral protocols; and 4) percentage of MCH-related programs with protocols that have implemented provider training with developed curriculum.

See Notes to Form 16 (Detail Sheet) for details on the revised components and scoring. See the Detail Sheet (in Form 16) for this measure for definitions and discussions of its significance and development. The Checklist itself is provided as an Attachment to the "Last Year's Accomplishments" sub-section of State Performance Measure 8 (in Part IV, Section D. of our Narrative Application), with the FY04 scoring by component shown.

The measure is being retired as part of our 5-year needs assessment process and establishment of new State Performance Measures.

# FORM 12 TRACKING HEALTH OUTCOME MEASURES [SECS 505 (A)(2)(B)(III) AND 506 (A)(2)(A)(III)] STATE: MA

OUTCOME MEASURE # 01										
The infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births.										
				Annual C	bjective a	nd Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2000		2001		2002		2003		2004	
Annual Performance Objective	·			5		5		5		5
Annual Indicator		4.6		5.0		4.9		4.8		4.8
Numerator		377		407		397		384		
Denominator	٠8	81,582		81,014		80,624		80,167		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,						Final	_	Provisiona	
				Annual C	bjective a	nd Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005		2006		2007		2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	·	4.8		4.8		4.8		4.8		4.8
Annual Indicator	D1 (11)	in only th	e Objectiv	es for the a	bove years	. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indic	ators a
	Please fill in not required	in only thed for futu	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years	. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indic	ators a
Numerator	Please fill in not required	in only the	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years	. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indic	ators a
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in not required	in only thed for futu	ne Objectiv ure year d	ves for the a ata.	bove years	. Numera	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indic	ators a
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in not required	in only th	ie Objectiv ure year d	ata.	bove years				Annual Indic	ators a
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in not required	in only th	ne Objectivure year d	ata.					Annual Indicates Annual	ators a
Numerator Denominator  OUTCOME MEASURE # 02	Please fill in not required rate.	ed for futu	ure year d	ata.	Objective a		mance Da			
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective	Please fill in not required rate.	1.87	2001	Annual C	Objective a	nd Perfor	mance Da 2003	<u>ta</u>		2
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in not required rate.	1.87 2.9	2001	Annual C  2  2.6	Objective at 2002	nd Perfor	mance Da 2003	<u>ta</u>		2
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in not required rate.	1.87 2.9	2001	Annual C  2  2.6  11.7	Objective at 2002	nd Perfor 2 2.5	mance Da 2003	<u>2</u> 2.7		2
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	rate.	1.87 2.9	2001	Annual C  2  2.6  11.7	Objective at 2002	nd Perfor 2 2.5 11.1	mance Da 2003	2 2.7 13		2 2.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	rate.	1.87 2.9	2001	Annual C  2  2.6  11.7	Objective at 2002	nd Perfor 2 2.5 11.1	<u>mance Da</u> 2003	2 2.7 13	2004	2.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	rate.	1.87 2.9	2001	Annual C  2  2.6  11.7  4.5	Objective at 2002	2 2.5 11.1 4.5	mance Da 2003  Final	2 2.7 13 4.9	2004 Provisiona	2 2.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	rate.	1.87 2.9 11.7 4	2001 2006	Annual C  2  2.6  11.7  4.5	Objective at 2002	2 2.5 11.1 4.5	mance Da 2003 Final	2 2.7 13 4.9	2004	2.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	rate.	1.87 2.9 11.7 4	2001 2006	Annual C  2  2.6  11.7  4.5	Objective at 2002	2 2.5 11.1 4.5	mance Da 2003  Final	2 2.7 13 4.9	2004 Provisiona	2 2.6
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 02  The ratio of the black infant mortality rate to the white infant mortality  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	rate.	1.87 2.9 11.7 4	2001 2006	Annual C  2 2.6 11.7 4.5  Annual C	Objective at 2002  Objective at 2007	2 2.5 11.1 4.5	Final 2008	2 2.7 13 4.9	2004 Provisiona	2.6

OUTCOME MEASURE # 03 The neonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.								
The Theoriatal Mortality Take per 1,000 live billing.		Δ.	ual Obia	ective and Perfor	anas Da	4-		
	2000	2001	=	002	2003	<u>ıa</u>	2004	
Annual Performance Objective			3.75	3.71		3.67		3.63
Annual Indicator			3.8	3.7		3.6		3.6
	288		308	299		286		
Denominator			1,014	80.624		80.167		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?			1,011	00,021	Final	00,107	Provisiona	al
		<u>A</u> 1	nnual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		
	2005	2006	2	007	2008		2009	
Annual Performance Objective	3.6		3.6	3.6		3.6		3.0
Annual Indicator								
Annual Indicator	Diagon fill in anhy th	ne Obiectives f	or the abov	ve vears. Numerat	tor. Denom	inator and	Annual Indic	cators
Numerator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives f ure year data.	or the abov	ve years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indic	cators
Numerator Denominator	Please fill in only the not required for fution	ne Objectives f ure year data.	or the abov	ve years. Numerat	tor, Denom	inator and	Annual Indio	cators
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for futured for futured for future for f	ure year data.	nnual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Da			cators a
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.	nnual Obje				Annual Indid	cators a
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04	Please fill in only the not required for future for future.	ure year data.	nnual Obje	ective and Perfor	mance Da			
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.	nnual Obje 2	ective and Perfor	mance Da	<u>ta</u>		1.:
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	ure year data.	nnual Obje 2 1.2	ective and Perfor 002	mance Da	ta 1.2		1.2
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator	Please fill in only the not required for future for fut	Ar 2001	nnual Obje 20 1.2 1.2	ective and Perfor 002 1.2	mance Da	1.2 1.2		1.:
Numerator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000  1.16  1.1  89  81,582	Ar 2001	1.2 99	ective and Perfor 002 1.2 1.2 98	mance Da	1.2 1.2 98		1.2
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000  1.16  1.1  89  81,582	2001	1.2 1.2 99	ective and Perfor 002 1.2 1.2 98 80,624	mance Date 2003 Final	1.2 1.2 98 80,167	2004	1.2
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000  1.16  1.1  89  81,582	2001 8	1.2 1.2 99 1,014	98 80,624	mance Da 2003 Final	1.2 1.2 98 80,167	2004 Provisiona	1.2
Numerator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  1.16  1.1  89  81,582	2001	1.2 1.2 99 1,014	98 80,624 ective and Perfor	mance Date 2003 Final	1.2 1.2 98 80,167	2004	1.2
Numerator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04  The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000  1.16  1.1  89  81,582  2005	2001	1.2 1.2 99 1,014	98 80,624 ective and Perfor	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	1.2 1.2 98 80,167	2004 Provisiona 2009	1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 04 The postneonatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000  1.16  1.1  89  81,582  2005	2001 A	1.2 99 1,014 nnual Obje	98 80,624 ective and Perfor	mance Da 2003 Final mance Da 2008	1.2 1.2 98 80,167	2004 Provisiona 2009	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1

OUTCOME MEASURE # 05					
The perinatal mortality rate per 1,000 live births plus fetal deaths.					
			Objective and Perfor		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Performance Objective		6	6	6	
Annual Indicator	5.4	5.6	5.5	5.6	5.0
Numerator	439	453	446	453	
Denominator	81,776	81,202	80,808	80,378	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
		Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	
	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Annual Performance Objective	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.
Annual Indicator Numerator	Please fill in only th	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ne Objectives for the a	above years. Numera	tor, Denominator and	Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.	above years. Numera		Annual Indicators
Numerator Denominator  UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futi	ure year data.			Annual Indicators 2004
Numerator Denominator UTCOME MEASURE # 06	not required for futu	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor	mance Data	2004
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective	not required for futu	ure year data.  Annual (	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	<b>2004</b>
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 he child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator	2000 13.28	Annual C	Objective and Perfor 2002	mance Data 2003	2004
Numerator Denominator  DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 13.28	Annual ( 2001 15 14.3 169	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 15 14.1	mance Data 2003 15 12.6	2004
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 13.28 15.3 181 1,181,378	Annual ( 2001 15 14.3 169	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 15 14.1	2003 15 12.6	
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 13.28 15.3 181 1,181,378	Annual ( 2001 15 14.3 169 1,181,378	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 15 14.1	15 12.6 149 1,181,378 Provisional	2004
Numerator Denominator  PUTCOME MEASURE # 06 the child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 13.28 15.3 181 1,181,378	Annual ( 2001 15 14.3 169 1,181,378	Dbjective and Perfor 2002 15 14.1 167 1,181,378	15 12.6 149 1,181,378 Provisional	2004
Numerator DUTCOME MEASURE # 06 The child death rate per 100,000 children aged 1 through 14.  Annual Performance Objective Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	2000 13.28 15.3 181 1,181,378	Annual C 2001  15  14.3  169  1,181,378	2002  15  14.1  167  1,181,378  Dbjective and Perfor	15 12.6 149 1,181,378 Provisional	2004  11: 11: Provisional

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 12

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: Outcome Measure 1

Field Name: OM01 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth and infant mortality data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 2

Field Name: OM02 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth and infant mortality data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 3

Field Name: OM03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth and infant mortality data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 4

Field Name: OM04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth and infant mortality data are not available. This rate has remained constant for a number of years and we estimate it will continue in 2004. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 5

Field Name: OM05 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth, infant mortality, and fetal deaths data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

Section Number: Outcome Measure 6

Field Name: OM06 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 death data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

# CHARACTERISTICS DOCUMENTING FAMILY PARTICIPATION IN CSHCN PROGRAMS STATE: MA 1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces and are offering training, mentoring, and reimbursement, when appropriate. 3 2. Financial support (financial grants, technical assistance, travel, and child care) is offered for parent activities or parent groups. 3 3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs elements of the MCH Block Grant Application process. 3 4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers. 2 5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program (a family member is hired for his or her expertise as a family member). 3 6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities. 1 Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_15 Rating Key 0 = Not Met 1 = Partially Met 2 = Mostly Met 3 = Completely Met

### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 13

None

### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Main Field Name: Question1

Row Name: #1. Family members participate on advisory committee or task forces...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Opportunities are available and well publicized. Families are encouraged and supported to participate.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question2

Row Name: #2. Financial support (...) is offered for parent activities or parent groups.

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

We provide financial support and work individually with a family to overcome additional barriers to attending parent activities within a region or statewide. The Early Intervention program is often used to assist with transportation barriers and on-site childcare when requested. Parents tell us that receipt of a stipend "levels the playing field," making them feel on an equal footing with professionals at the table.

3. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Question3

Row Name: #3. Family members are involved in the Children with Special Health Care Needs...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This was a very strong year for this characteristic. Families were very participatory in the Needs Assessment process. A comprehensive method for family involvement is in

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question4

Row Name: #4. Family members are involved in service training of CSHCN staff and providers.

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

There is an expectation that all staff, including parent professionals, will be involved in all training opportunities. Some still perceive a slight stigma.

Section Number: Main Field Name: Question5

Row Name: #5. Family members hired as paid staff or consultants to the State CSHCN program...

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This is an area where Massachusetts has been a leader for many years. The number and variety of programs within the Bureau and the Department that have hired parents for their expertise as a family member continues to grow. These programs are not only those serving children with special health care needs. Family expertise is highly valued. There are enough parent staff that they are a strong support for each other.

6. Section Number: Main

Field Name: Question6

Row Name: #6. Family members of diverse cultures are involved in all of the above activities

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This continues to be a priority area where progress is slower than we would like. We continue to outreach to diverse parents / families and will work with the National Center on Cultural Competence to improve our efforts.

## FORM 14 LIST OF MCH PRIORITY NEEDS

[Sec. 505(a)(5)]

**STATE: MA FY: 2006** 

Your State's 5-year Needs Assessment should identify the need for preventive and primary care services for pregnant women, mothers, and infants; preventive and primary care services for children and services for Children with Special Health Care Needs. With each year's Block Grant application, provide a list (whether or not the priority needs change) of the top maternal and child health needs in your state. Using simple sentence or phrase, list below your State's needs. Examples of such statements are: "To reduce the barriers to the delivery of care for pregnant women, " and "The infant mortality rate for minorities should be reduced."

MCHB will capture annually every State's top 7 to 10 priority needs in an information system for comparison, tracking, and reporting purposes; you must list at least 7 and no more than 10. Note that the numbers listed below are for computer tracking only and are not meant to indicate priority order. If your State wishes to report more than 10 priority needs, list additional priority needs in a note at the form level.

- 1. Improve the health and well-being of women in their childbearing years.
- 2. Improve adolescent health through coordinated youth development and risk reduction.
- 3. Improve supports for the successful transition of youth with special health needs to adulthood.
- 4. Integrate service systems and data, and use data to inform practice.
- 5. Increase capacity to promote healthy weight.
- 6. Develop and implement initiatives that address violence against women, children, and youth.
- 7. Increase the integration of unintentional injury prevention into relevant MCH programs.
- 8. Improve oral health.
- 9. Develop and implement public health programs, policies and collaborations that promote positive mental health.
- 10.

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 14
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 15 TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE(TA) REQUEST

STATE: MA APPLICATION YEAR: 2006

No.	Category of Technical Assistance Requested	Description of Technical Assistance Requested (max 250 characters)	Reason(s) Why Assistance Is Needed (max 250 characters)	What State, Organization or Individual Would You suggest Provide the TA (if known) (max 250 characters)
1.	General Systems Capacity Issues If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here: N/A	Massachusetts is making a request for Technical Assistance in undertaking a CAST 5 Assessment	After significant changes in state resources and restructuring of the Department into larger Centers, CAST 5 would provide a better understanding of current resources and needed rebuilding or enhancements to assure strong MCH/CSHCN services.	Not certain at this time. A formal request will be made using the new process when that is determined.
2.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
3.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
4.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
5.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
6.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
7.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
8.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
9.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:			
10.	If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the			

measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		
If you selected State or National Performance Measure Issue categories above, identify the performance measure to which this issue pertains by entering the measure number here:		

FORM NOTES FOR FORM 15
None

FIELD LEVEL NOTES

None

# FORM 16 STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MA

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percentage of pregnancies among women age 18 and over that are intended.

STATUS: Act

**GOAL** To reduce unintended pregnancies.

**DEFINITION**This measure is based on information from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).
Among women who were pregnant or had been pregnant within the past 5 years when interviewed, the pregnancy was

defined as intended (or not unplanned) if she wanted to be pregnant then or sooner. Because the BRFSS results are reported as population-based estimates based on weighted survey data, only the percent will be reported, without

numerators and denominators.

Numerator:

The number of pregnancies to women age 18 and over that are intended.

Denominator:

The total number of pregnancies to women age 18 and over.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Survey System (BRFSS). The questions addressing this measure are now included

every other year (beginning in 1998); no comparable data are available for previous years. The questions will be asked every other year. Thus projected Annual Performance Objectives will be measured in alternate years. Because the BRFSS is a survey of persons age 18 and over, this measure does not capture the degree to which pregnancies to

younger teens are intended.

SIGNIFICANCE

Unintended pregnancy is both frequent and widespread in the U.S. The most recent estimate from the National Survey of Family Growth indicates that 49% of all pregnancies are unintended, either mistimed or unwanted altogether; this % is

Family Growth indicates that 49% of all pregnancies are unintended, either mistimed or unwanted altogether; this % is higher than found in several other Western democracies. Unintended pregnancy affects all segments of society but the highest rates tend to be among women who are ages 18-24, unmarried, low-income, black or Hispanic. Unintended pregnancy is related to adverse health outcomes for both mothers and infants, imposing appreciable burdens on children

and families.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: Percent of children and youth enrolled in Medicaid, CMSP, or Title XXI who receive any preventive (well-child) services

annually.

STATUS:

**DEFINITION** 

SIGNIFICANCE

Active

GOAL

To assure that all children and youth enrolled in publicly-funded health insurance programs use the preventive components of care in order to maximize potential benefits to their health and development.

Numerator:

Number of children (ages birth through 20) who have received a preventive service (see definition below) from MassHealth

(Medicaid) during the fiscal year.

Denominator:

Total number of children (ages birth through 20) enrolled in MassHealth (Medicaid) during the federal fiscal year who should

have received at least one initial or periodic screening service (see definition below).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Massachusetts Department of Medical Assistance (DMA) - MassHealth. Medicaid Management Information System. HCFA **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

416 - Annual EPSDT Participation Report (October - September). Many 416 Report definitions and requirements for data reporting were changed significantly, effective with the 98-99 Report. See Endnotes for Form 11 for further explanation and caveats. Data for the Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) have been included beginning in FY00. Data on Title XXI (CHIP) recipients not included in the HCFA 416 report are not yet available.

Enrollment in Medicaid (MassHealth in Massachusetts) or other publicly-funded health insurance programs does not necessarily result in improved health outcomes if access does not get translated into regular and appropriate use, especially

of preventive services. With virtually all children in MassHealth enrolled in some form of managed care setting (an HMO or a

Primary Care Clinician), preventive care utilization should be high.

Page 70 of 118

SP # \_\_\_\_4

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** 

Percent of children and youth (ages 3 - 18) enrolled in Medicaid or CMSP who receive preventive dental services annually.

STATUS:

\ctive

GOAL

To assure that children and youth enrolled in Medicaid (MassHealth) receive the benefits of regular dental care to promote

lifelong oral health.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of children (ages 3 to 18) who have received a dental assessment (see definition below) from MassHealth

(Medicaid) or Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP) during the fiscal year.

Denominator

Total number of children (ages 3 to 18) enrolled in MassHealth (Medicaid) and Children's Medical Security Program (CMSP)

during the reporting period (federal fiscal year).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Massachusetts Department of Medical Assistance (DMA) - MassHealth. Medicaid Management Information System. HCFA 416 - Annual EPSDT Participation Report, October 1 - September 30. Massachusetts Department of Public Health - CMSP

data.

SIGNIFICANCE

Access to regular dental care remains a major problem for Medicaid recipients due to the low participation rates of dentists in Medicaid, low Medicaid reimbuersement rates, and the lack of other public dental health services in many areas. A legislative Oral Health Commission report issued in early 2000 made a number of recommendations to improve access to dental care across the lifespan. A number of state initiatives to address this growing problem are now underway: state funding to expand community health center dental care capacity coverage for dental services under CMSP; and increases to Medicaid (MassHealth) rates. Monitoring the impact of these changes on utilization of preventive oral health services

among the targeted populations is critical.

SP# 5

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percent of women who report not smoking during their current pregnancy.

STATUS: Active

To reduce the use of tobacco products by women of reproductive age, thus reducing a number of health risks for the GOAL

mother, the fetus, and young children.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of resident women giving birth in the calendar year who report not smoking during their pregnancy, as recorded on birth certificates.

Denominator:

Number of total resident live births in the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Vital Records. In monitoring this measure over time, we will also examine the **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

degree to which women who are smoking when they became pregnant either stop smoking or reduce their smoking intensity during the pregnancy. While the quality of the data on smoking are believed to have improved in recent years, it is important to note that the data are based on self-reported behavior and are subject to variations in hospital reporting quality control. Program-specific data on this measure and related ones are also collected and monitored. Reduction in smoking

during pregnancy is a contract performance measure for perinatal service programs funding by the Bureau.

SIGNIFICANCE

Tobacco smoke has a direct effect on reproductive health. Tobacco use during pregnancy is recognized as the leading preventable cause of poor birth outcomes in Massachusetts. Particularly, smoking increases by 50% the probability of having a low birth weight infant. We are placing attention on tobacco education and cessation initiatives within specialized programs, media campaigns and integrated primary health care messages for pregnant women and, to decrease the pool of

women entering pregnancy as smokers, we are also focusing initiatives on women of child bearing age who smoke.

Page 72 of 118

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The rate (per 1,000) of chlamydia cases among females aged 15 through 19.

Active STATUS:

GOAL To reduce high-risk sexual behaviors and the prevalence of sexually transmitted diseases among adolescents.

The definition of this measure has been modified slightly. The rate being calculated has been changed from the rate per **DEFINITION** 

100,000 females ages 15-19 to the rate per 1,000 females 15-19. Thus this measure corresponds to Developmental Health Status Indicator #03A. Previously reported annual performance objectives and indicators have been adjusted accordingly.

Number of cases of chlamydia reported in females aged 15-19.

Denominator:

Estimated number of females aged 15 through 19.

Units: 1000 Text: Rate per 1,000

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

Numerator: Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Sexually Transmitted Disease Program. Denominator: most recent **DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

MISER (Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research) population estimates by age and sex for data year. MISER estimates for 1999 and beyond are not yet available. U.S. Census Bureau population estimates by age and sex, although available for 1999, are no longer being used due to significant discrepancies with MISER in the teen/young adult age group. We will therefore now use only MISER estimates. Rates shown may differ from rates previously published or presented in reports from the Division of Sexually Transmitted Diseases, due to differences in the denominators used.

Sexually transmitted diseases, measured here by tracking the chlamydia rate, are an indicator of unprotected sexual activity among youth, which can also result in teen pregnancy and HIV / AIDS. Reductions in STD rates can be achieved through efforts to reduce risk-taking behavior, improve access to and utilization of appropriate health services, etc. SIGNIFICANCE

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The degree to which the State assures nutrition screening and education, with referrals to assessment, counseling and services as indicated, for pregnant women, children and adolescents.

STATUS:

GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

To reduce the prevalence of preventable nutrition-related disease and health risks; to improve lifelong health status.

This measure is defined and tracked by scores on a checklist of five multi-faceted components of a fully developed statewide infrastructure supporting a comprehensive MCH nutrition health system. Scoring for the components has been standardized to weight each according to its relative importance toward the maximum possible score of 50. See Notes for details on the components and scoring. A copy of the checklist is also provided in Section 5.3.9. The scores checked indicate the degree to which the systems characteristics and improvements have been implemented for the reporting year.

Numerator:

None

Denominator:

None

Units: 1 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

MDPH, Bureau of Family and Community Health program databases. The content and scoring for this measure were

revised in FY01. Data for FY97 and FY98 are not comparable to those for FY99 and beyond

SIGNIFICANCE

Good nutrition is essential to achieve and maintain good health. Among the areas of concern for MCH are: 1) assuring adequate nutrition for improved pregnancy outcomes and promoting healthy child development; 2) decreasing rates of obesity among all age groups; 3) the contribution of specific nutritional components (such as iron, folic acid, and calcium) to lifetime health status; 4) food insecurity and hunger. These critical issues require regular, age-appropriate screening to identify those at risk, appropriate referrals for assessment, counseling, and other services; availability of critical services (e.g. WIC) for high-risk groups; and other public health interventions (public awareness, care standards and protocols, etc.) A systems approach is needed to monitor progress, identify changing needs and implement best-practice strategies.

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The degree to which the state has developed and implemented comprehensive education, screening and referral protocols

for violence against women and children (on scale from 0 to 16).

STATUS:

Active

GOAL

To reduce violence against women and children

DEFINITION

Current: This measure, new in FY01 and revised in FY02, is defined and tracked by scores on a checklist of 4 system measures that that will characterize a fully developed system of comprehensive education, screening and referral protocols for violence against women and children. Possible scores range between 0 and 16. [Prior to FY02, the possible scores ranged between 0 and 20.] See Notes for details on the components and scoring. A copy of the checklist with current scores

is provided as an attachment with the Measure in Part IV, Section D.

Numerator:

None

Denominator:

None

Units: 1 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Data Sources: MDPH, Bureau of Family and Community Health.

Violence against women and children affect maternal and child health both directly and indirectly. The experience of domestic violence during pregnancy has serious health effects on both mother and fetus as a result of direct injury, delayed entry into prenatal care, and other serious emotional and mental health consequences. Correlations between the experience of sexual violence and suicidal ideation or attempts in adolescents have been documented. Health care providers can serve as important points of entry into services for women experiencing domestic violence and sexual assault. Guidance and support to providers in the development and implementation of comprehensive education, screening, care and referral protocols will increase identification of victims and the provision of appropriate options and services.

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 16

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

Section Number: State Performance Measure 5

Field Name: SPM5 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This measure is a checklist of seven items, each of which is scored on a separate scale and two of which have several subcomponents. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 68. Each item and its maximum possible score is listed below.

Item 1. Adolescent health screening and intervention taskforce designated and monthly meetings scheduled (1 point)

Item 2. Annual workplan adopted by Taskforce (1 point)

Item 3. Asset-based youth screening principles adopted (1 point)

Item 4. Screening objectives developed for up to 8 programs and agreed upon by the DPH Youth and Young Adult Working Group [1], [2] (1 point for each program with screening objectives specified in matrix; maximum of 8 points)

Item 5. Matrix and principles approved by Center for Community Health Executive Team (1 point)

Item 6. Logic model (inputs to outcomes) developed for overall collaborative project, including risk behavior/youth resiliency outcome measures:

-- initial development (3 points)

-- annual progress review, reporting in terms of model, and reporting progress on outcomes (3 points)

-- annual update of model based on review (2 points) (Maximum of 8 points)

Item 7. Protocols, standards, and training are implemented for each program in matrix. (Total possible points = 40; 1 each per program for items 7A - 7D and 2 each per program for items 7E.):

7A. Protocols and standards determined and adopted by the Youth and Young Adult Work Group (for initial adoption only)

7B. Training needs identified and agreed upon by the Youth and Young Adult Work Group

7C. Training implemented

7D. Protocols and standards determined and adopted by the Youth and Young Adult Work Group

7E. Protocols and standards implemented by the programs for all risks factors in each cell in the matrix and reviewed annually for possible changes.

#### Section Number: State Performance Measure 6

Field Name: SPM6 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:

This measure is a checklist of 5 multi-faceted items, each scored on a separate scale. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 97. Each item and its maximum possible score is listed below.

Item 1. The state assures that programs measure breastfeeding at two months for their clients. (Total points = 12)

Up to three points each for a Statewide PRAMS system, WIC and two other programs (to be determined) on three stages of measurement: Program has system; PRAMS baseline established; 5% increase over baseline achieved.

Item 2. The Center for Community Health establishes consistent nutrition & physical activity messages across DPH programs. (Total points = 2)

2A. Internal task force recommends messages for children & youth and for adults. (1 point)

2B. Management approves messages and determines programs to promote messages. (1 point)

Item 3. The Center for Community Health promotes consistent nutrition and physical activity messages across DPH programs. (Total points = 40)

Scale: 1 = 0-20%; 2 = 21-40%; 3 = 41-60%; 4 = 61-80%; 5 = 81-100%.

Scored for each of 4 stages:

3A. % of programs with documented method to promote the messages to the intended populations & a process evaluation plan.

3B. Programs have determined outcome measures, a sampling methodology if needed, & a system to collect required data.

3C. Programs have implemented messages.

3D. Program reports annually on process measures regarding nutrition

Scale: 1-2 = 0-20%; 3-4 = 21-40%; 5-6 = 41-60%; 7-8 = 61-80%; 9-10 = 81-100%.

Scored for each of 2 stages:

3E. % of programs that have implemented outcome evaluation / measures of nutrition outcomes.

3F. % of programs that have established baseline and goals for improvement for a meaningful subset or all of the targeted population.

Item 4. Schools have improved policies and systems for nutrition and healthy weight (Total points = 33)

Scale: 1 = baseline set; 3 = 1-20%; 5 = 21-40%; 7 = 41-60%; 9 = 61-80%; 11 = 81-100%. Scored for each of 3 school-based interventions:

Healthy Choice schools: % improving on one component

Healthy Choice schools: % improving on multiple components Essential School Health schools: % improving on one component

Item 5. The Center has the capacity to measure weight status and change in key programs. (Total points = 20)
5A. Essential School Health schools: % reporting BMIs for 70% or more of 1 or more grades (1, 4, 7, or 10) (Total points = 5)

Scale: 0–20% of schools = 1 point; 21–40% = 2 points; 41–60% = 3 points; 61–80% = 4 points; 81–100% = 5 points.

5B. School-Based Health Centers: % reporting annual BMI for users (Total points = 5)

Scale: at least 30% (baseline) = 1 point; 31-50% = 2 points; 51-70% = 3 points; 71-90% = 4 points; 91-100% = 5 points.

5C. PNSS sites: % reporting various increases in pregnant women with appropriate weight gain. (Total points = 10)

Scale: formula based on % of sites reporting levels of improvement over baseline from none to more than 20% improvement.

#### Section Number: State Performance Measure 7

Field Name: SPM7 **Row Name:** Column Name: Year: 2006

This measure is a checklist of five items, each of which is scored on a separate scale. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 20. Each item and its maximum possible

Item 1. Clinical training and preceptorships of current pediatric sexual assault nurse examiner candidates are completed. (Possible points = 3; some points for partial completion)

Item 2. Pilot of Pedi-SANE kit and protocol completed in 2 clinical settings (Total possible points = 3)

Item 3. Pedi-SANE services implemented within 5 child advocacy centers (CAC) (Total possible points = 5, one for each CAC)

Item 4. Pedi-SANE services implemented in alternative venues in remaining 6 jurisdictions (Total possible points = 6, one for each implementation site)

Item 6. Pedi-SANE services delivered with 90% quality assurance based upon standards and protocol (Total possible points = 3)

#### Section Number: State Performance Measure 8

Field Name: SPM8 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This measure is a checklist of five items, each of which is scored on a separate scale and weighted before being added together for a total score. The maximum total score is 50. Each item and its maximum possible score is listed below.

Item 1. The State assures that nutrition screening and education, with referrals to assessment, counseling and services as indicated, are available to all pregnant and post-partum women, infants, children and adolescents (including those with special health care needs) in all MCH-funded direct service programs.

Categories: <10%; 10 - 40%; 41 - 60%; 61 - 90%; >90%.

Scored for each of seven programs separately: Perinatal/Pediatric/Adolescent Primary Care; Early Intervention; FIRSTSteps; School-Based Health Centers; Family Planning; FIRSTLink; FOR Families. Maximum total weighted score = 10.

Item 2. All DPH-funded MCH programs assure that referrals to WIC are made for all eligible program participants. Maximum total weighted score = 10. Scale: 2 = <10%; 4 = 0 - 40%; 6 = 41 - 60%; 8 =61 - 90%; 10 = >90%.

Item 3. The State has adopted comprehensive nutrition screening standards for pregnant and postpartum women, infants, children and adolescents, and promotes their use among clinicians, parents, schools, child care providers, etc. Maximum total weighted score = 10.

Scale:

2.5 = Standards adopted for some but not all target populations;

5 = Standards adopted and promoted for some but not all target populations;

7.5 = Standards adopted for all target populations and promoted for some but not all;

10 = Standards adopted and promoted for all target populations.

Item 4. There is an established mechanism to identify and prioritize nutrition-related health issues, and to investigate strategies to address them. Maximum total weighted score = 10.

Scale:

0 = No progress; no mechanism established;

2.5 = There is an organized workgroup convened for this purpose, and it has met at least once. This body has the capacity to obtain both expert and consumer input;

5 = The group meets on a regular basis to identify priority areas;

7.5 = The group has investigated interventions or strategies to address the current priority;

10 = The group has recommended strategy(ies) to address the current priority.

Item 5. Strategies have been implemented to address the priority nutrition-related health issues identified by the Workgroup referenced in Item 4. Maximum total weighted score = 10

Scale: 2 = <10%; 4 = 10 - 40%; 6 = 41 - 60%; 8 =61 - 90%; 10 = >90%.

5. Section Number: State Performance Measure 9

Field Name: SPM9 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This measure is a checklist of three multi-faceted items, each scored on a separate scale. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 20. Each item and its maximum possible score is listed below.

1. The degree to which the state develops and implements a state plan and supports programs that address perinatal disparities at the state level. (Total points = 12) 1a. Advisory group established to develop a state plan to address perinatal disparities (Total points = 4)

Scale: 0 = No advisory group identified; 1 = Advisory group members identified; 2 = Goals established for advisory group; 3 = Advisory group develops a state plan; 4 = Strategic plan fully implemented.

1b. State Perinatal Regulations revised and promulgated (Total points = 4)

Scale: 0 = Perinatal Regulations not revised; 1 = Perinatal Regulation revisions initiated; 2 = Perinatal Regulations revision completed; 3 = Perinatal Regulations promulgated; 4 = Perinatal Regulations fully implemented in all Massachusetts birth hospitals.

1c. Protocols for addressing racism developed in all state-supported perinatal programs (Total points = 4)

Scale: 0 = no protocols initiated; 1 = outline in place and draft developed; 2 = protocols submitted for approval; 3 = protocols approved and adopted by state supported perinatal programs; 4 = funding to state supported perinatal programs subject to compliance with protocols.

2. The degree to which the state supports communities to develop and implement plans that address perinatal disparities. (Total points = 4)

Scale: 0 = no partnership between state and community to address disparities; 1 = state engages with stakeholders and community partners to address racial disparities in their community; 2 = State provides technical support to communities for developing plan to address racial disparities; 3 = State and community collaborate to develop and implement plan targeting policies and programs to address perinatal disparities; 4 = Community plan fully developed and implemented.

3. The degree to which communities use state and local data to identify perinatal disparities; inform policy; and prioritize programs to reduce disparities in perinatal outcomes (Total points = 4)

Scale: 0 = no local capacity to collect and analyze data pertinent to perinatal strengths, skills and capacity to collect and analyze data pertinent to disparities; 1 = state engages with stakeholders and community partners to assess strengths, skills and capacity to collect and analyze data pertinent to disparities; 2 = communities have ability to collect and analyze data pertinent to disparities; 3 = communities use data to develop a plan; 4 = community plan fully developed and implemented.

6. Section Number: State Performance Measure 10

Field Name: SPM10 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

This measure is a checklist of four items, each of which is scored on a separate scale. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 16.

Item 1. Working Group develops comprehensive protocols for patient education, screening, care and referral with recommendations regarding inclusion of setting-specific considerations (e.g. to address settings from community health center-based primary care services to home visiting services) and "special populations" (e.g. immigrants/refugees, persons with disabilities, GLBT survivors).

SCORING: 0 = Protocols not initiated; 1 = Outline in place and draft components developed; 2 = Setting-specific considerations drafted; 3 = Recommendations re: inclusion of "special populations" developed; 4 = Comprehensive protocols developed.

Item 2. Percentage of MCH-related programs with developed and approved protocols.

SCORING: 0 = 0 (in development); 1 = Trial implementation in selected programs; 2 = Formal pilot testing in up to 3 programs; 3 = Final protocols in 0 - 50% of programs; 4 = Protocols in 51 - 75% of programs.

Item 3. Working group develops comprehensive education and training curriculum for delivery prior to implementation of screening, care and referral protocols. SCORING: 0 = No curriculum outline developed; 1 = Topics identified and outline in place; 2 = Core, setting-specific and cultural competence components incorporated into complete draft; 3 = Curriculum pilot tested; 4 = Curriculum revised and final draft ready for implementation.

Item 4. Percentage of MCH-related programs with protocols that have implemented provider training with developed curriculum. SCORING: 0 = Curriculum not yet implemented; 1 = 0 - 20%; 2 = 21 - 40%; 3 = 41 - 60%; 4 = 61 - 80%.

## FORM 17 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATORS FORMS FOR HSCI 01 THROUGH 04, 07 & 08 - MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MA

HEALTH SYSTEMS C	APACITY	MEASURE # 0	11
------------------	---------	-------------	----

The rate of children hospitalized for asthma (ICD-9 Codes: 493.0 -493.9) per 10,000 children less than five years of age.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
:	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	27.0	34.6	55.6	67.2	67.2
Numerator	1,073	1,375	2,209	2,668	·
Denominator	397,268	397,268	397,268	397,268	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 02**

The percent Medicaid enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one initial periodic screen.

			Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	
:	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	93.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Numerator	26,435	29,254	16,246	31,577	29,582
Denominator	28,206	29,254	16,246	31,577	29,582
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 03**

The percent State Childrens Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) enrollees whose age is less than one year during the reporting year who received at least one periodic screen.

	Annual Indicator Data				
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	76.7	NaN	NaN	NaN	NaN
Numerator	587	0	0	0	0
Denominator	765	0	0	0	0
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional

#### **HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 04**

The percent of women (15 through 44) with a live birth during the reporting year whose observed to expected prenatal visits are greater than or equal to 80 percent on the Kotelchuck Index.

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	81.3	84.5	84.1	89.8	89
Numerator	66,325	68,481	67,593	71,787	
Denominator	81,582	81,014	80,375	79,947	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional

			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	64.4	73.2	85.8	46.0	48.
Numerator	63,936	68,585	76,992	42,802	43,54
Denominator	99,319	93,728	89,758	92,976	89,05
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Final
		93,728	89,758		
	g rehabilitative servic	ces from the State Ch	nildren with Special He	ealth Care Needs (CS	SHCN) Program.
	g rehabilitative servid	ces from the State Ch	nildren with Special Ho	•	SHCN) Program.
	g rehabilitative servid	ces from the State Ch	•	•	SHCN) Program.
EALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY MEASURE # 08 he percent of State SSI beneficiaries less than 16 years old receivin  Annual Indicator	2000		Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u>	, ,

15,410

Denominator

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

15,630

15,930

Final

14,287

Provisional

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 17

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), 1994-2001. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

2. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), Division of Health Care Finance and Policy, 1994-2003 (the most recent data available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator (i.e 2000 Census), and may differ from those reported or used elsewhere. No subsequent population estimates are available from MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research). Other preliminary population estimates used in the 5-Year Needs Assessment produce slightly different rate calculations, although both use the same numerator.

The numerator includes hospitalizations where asthma was either the primary diagnosis (1,672) or a contributing cause (996).

3. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #01

Field Name: HSC01 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 UHDDS data have not yet been released. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

4. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency), Medicaid Management Information System. Form HCFA 416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report for period October 1, 2001 to September 30, 2002.

5. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency), Medicaid Management Information System. Form HCFA 416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report for period October 1, 2002 to September 30, 2003.

6. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #02

Field Name: HSC02 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency), Medicaid Management Information System. Form HCFA 416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report for period October 1, 2003 to September 30, 2004.

7. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency), special data request.

All infants under 200% FPL are eligible for Medicaid rather than SCHIP.

8. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency). All infants under 200% FPL are eligible for Medicaid rather than SCHIP.

9. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #03

Field Name: HSC03 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency). All infants under 200% FPL are eligible for Medicaid rather than SCHIP.

10. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1994 -2001 (the most recent year available). Calculations of the Kotelchuck Index were initially done by the Bureau of Family and Community Health, using the software and instructions provided through MCHB. The Kotelchuck Index is now calculated and reported routinely by the Department and is available in MassCHIP, which is the source for the 2001 data.

11. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar years 1994 -2003 (the most recent year available). Calculations of the Kotelchuck Index were initially done by the Bureau of Family and Community Health, using the software and instructions provided through MCHB. The Kotelchuck Index is now calculated and reported routinely by the Department and is available in MassCHIP, which is the source for the 2003 data.

12. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #04

Field Name: HSC04 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most current data and the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

13. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency).

14. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data Source: The data correspond to those reported by the Commonwealth to HCFA on Form HCFA 416; the most recent data are from the period October 1, 2002 - September 30, 2003. The 416 report now reports data in more detail than previously by children's age.

The reported percentage of Medicaid children and youth receiving any dental services continued to rise (64% in FY00, 73% in FY01, and 86% in FY02), before dropping significantly in FY03 to 46%. The increased rates may have reflected a number of positive changes: improved payment rates, increased recruitment of dentists, increased pediatric dental services available at community health centers, and increased promotion of the importance of dental care through a number of initiatives. The apparent drop, however, is due to a major correction in the data reporting methodology. We have been informed by Medicaid that the previous methodology overestimated rates of preventive dental services utilization and that they needed to change it. The previous years' data need to be recalculated for a more accurate time series and we are in the process of working with Medicaid to option the corrected data if possible. In the meantime, no trend analyses can be made from the data in hand.

15. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #07

Field Name: HSC07 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Division of Medical Assistance (state Medicaid agency), Medicaid Management Information System. Form HCFA 416: Annual EPSDT Participation Report for period October 1, 2003 to September 30, 2004.

16. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

All SSI beneficiaries in Massachusetts are automatically enrolled in Medicaid. The breadth of the Medicaid benefit package in the state leaves Title V with no residual responsibilities because "the extent medical assistance for such services is not provided by Medicaid" is zero. To indicate the degree to which such services are available to the SSI population, the numerator is the same as the number of children on SSI.

Data for FY2002 represent children receiving SSI and Medicaid as of 12/30/01.

17. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

All SSI beneficiaries in Massachusetts are automatically enrolled in Medicaid. The breadth of the Medicaid benefit package in the state leaves Title V with no residual responsibilities because "the extent medical assistance for such services is not provided by Medicaid" is zero. To indicate the degree to which such services are available to the SSI population, the numerator is the same as the number of children on SSI.

Data for FY2003 represent children receiving SSI and Medicaid as of 12/30/03.

18. Section Number: Health Systems Capacity Indicator #08

Field Name: HSC08 Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Final 2004 data are not yet available.

All SSI beneficiaries in Massachusetts are automatically enrolled in Medicaid. The breadth of the Medicaid benefit package in the state leaves Title V with no residual responsibilities because "the extent medical assistance for such services is not provided by Medicaid" is zero. To indicate the degree to which such services are available to the SSI population, the numerator is the same as the number of children on SSI.

# FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #05 (MEDICAID AND NON-MEDICAID COMPARISON) STATE: MA

INDICATOR #05 Comparison of health system capacity				POPULATION	
indicators for Medicaid, non-Medicaid, and all MCH populations in the State	YEAR	DATA SOURCE	MEDICAID	NON-MEDICAID	ALL
a) Percent of low birth weight (< 2,500 grams)	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	8.5	7.4	7.6
b) Infant deaths per 1,000 live births	2002	Payment source from birth certificate	5.7	4.3	4.8
c) Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	72.1	86.5	83.3
d) Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care(observed to expected prenatal visits is greater than or equal to 80% [Kotelchuck Index])	2003	Payment source from birth certificate	75.1	86.3	89.8

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MA				
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's Medicaid programs for infants (0 to 1), children, Medicaid and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL MEDICAID (Valid range: 100-300 percent)		
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004			
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2004			
c) Pregnant Women	2004			

FORM 18 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #06(SCHIP ELIGIBILITY LEVEL) STATE: MA				
INDICATOR #06 The percent of poverty level for eligibility in the State's SCHIP programs for infants (0 to 1), children, SCHIP and pregnant women.	YEAR	PERCENT OF POVERTY LEVEL SCHIP		
a) Infants (0 to 1)	2004	200		
b) Medicaid Children (Age range 1 to 18 ) (Age range to ) (Age range to )	2004			
c) Pregnant Women	2004	200		

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 18

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP\_Infant Row Name: Infants Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

All infants under 200% FPL are eligible for Medicaid rather than SCHIP.

2. Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP\_Children Row Name: SCHIP Children

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Under 150% FPL, children are eligible for Medicaid rather than SCHIP. Between 150% and 200% FPL, children are eligible for the non-Medicaid portion of SCHIP – assistance with the payment of insurance premiums; this includes Family Assistance/Direct Coverage and Family Assistance/Premium Assistance.

Section Number: Indicator 06 - SCHIP

Field Name: SCHIP\_Women Row Name: Pregnant Women

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Technically, pregnant women are not eligible for SCHIP, but remain eligible based on age, or may become eligible for Medicaid or Healthy Start for pregnancy-related care.

 Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: LowBirthWeight

Row Name: Percent of ow birth weight (<2,500 grams)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). 2004 birth data are not available.

The percentages shown differ from those published elsewhere, due to how missing data are handled. The MCHB definition of the denominator is specified as all resident births during the referenced year. In MassCHIP and most Massachusetts publications (such as Massachusetts Births), percentages are reported only for cases where information is known (i.e. the denominator excludes births for which data on the variable are missing). Using the MCHB definition reduces the calculated percentage slightly.

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: InfantDeath

Row Name: Infant deaths per 1,000 live births

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records, Births and Linked Birth / Infant Death files. Data are for 2002 and thus do not match the most recent IMR (2003) reported elsewhere in TVIS. The IMRs by Medicaid/non-Medicaid status can only be obtained from Linked Birth / Infant Death files; the most recent linked file available is for 2002. Therefore, the 2002 overall IMR is provided for comparison. [See Form 12, Outcome Measure 01 for trend data for the overall IMR for Massachusetts.]

Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: CareFirstTrimester

Row Name: Percent of infants born to pregnant women receiving prenatal care beginning in the first trimester

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). 2004 birth data are not available.

The percentages shown differ from those published elsewhere, due to how missing data are handled. The MCHB definition of the denominator is specified as all resident births during the referenced year. In MassCHIP and most Massachusetts publications (such as Massachusetts Births), percentages are reported only for cases where information is known (i.e. the denominator excludes births for which data on the variable are missing). Using the MCHB definition reduces the calculated percentage slightly.

 Section Number: Indicator 05 Field Name: AdequateCare

Row Name: Percent of pregnant women with adequate prenatal care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Birth data are from MDPH, Vital Records for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). 2004 birth data are not available.

The percentages shown differ from those published elsewhere, due to how missing data are handled. The MCHB definition of the denominator is specified as all resident births during the referenced year. In MassCHIP and most Massachusetts publications (such as Massachusetts Births), percentages are reported only for cases where information is known (i.e. the denominator excludes births for which data on the variable are missing). Using the MCHB definition reduces the calculated percentage slightly.

#### **FORM 19** HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MA

HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09A (General MCH Data Capacity)
(The Ability of the State to Assure MCH Program Access to Policy and Program Relevant Informatioin)

DATABASES OR SURVEYS	Does your MCH program have the ability to obtain data for program planning or policy purposes in a timely manner?  (Select 1 - 3) *	Does your MCH program have Direct access to the electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
ANNUAL DATA LINKAGES Annual linkage of infant birth and infant death certificates	3	Yes
Annual linkage of birth certificates and Medicaid Eligibility or Paid Claims Files	2	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and WIC eligibility files	2	No
Annual linkage of birth certificates and newborn screening files	3	Yes
REGISTRIES AND SURVEYS Hospital discharge survey for at least 90% of in-State discharges	3	Yes
Annual birth defects surveillance system	3	Yes
Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)	1	No

#### \*Where:

- 1 = No, the MCH agency does not have this ability.
  2 = Yes, the MCH agency sometimes has this ability, but not on a consistent basis.
  3 = Yes, the MCH agency always has this ability.

### FORM 19 HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR - REPORTING AND TRACKING FORM STATE: MA

DATA SOURCES	Does your state participate in the YRBS survey? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to the state YRBS database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Other: Massachusetts Youth Health Survey	3	Yes

### HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09C (Data Capacity) Overweight/Obesity (The Ability of the State to Determine the Percent of Children Who are Obese or Overweight)

Data Source	Does your state participate in this survey/data source? (Select 1 - 3)*	Does your MCH program have direct access to this electronic database for analysis? (Select Y/N)
Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS)	3	Yes
Pediatric Nutrition Surveillance System (PedNSS)	3	No
WIC Program Data	3	Yes
Other: School Health Data	2	Yes

#### 'Where:

- 1 = No
- 2 = Yes, the State participates but the sample size is not large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.
- 3 = Yes, the State participates and the sample size is large enough for valid statewide estimates for this age group.

#### Notes:

1. HEALTH SYSTEMS CAPACITY INDICATOR #09B was formerly reported as Developmental Health Status Indicator #05.

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 19

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: RecentMother

Row Name: Survey of recent mothers at least every two years (like PRAMS)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Massachusetts is in the process of planning for implementation of a PRAMS system, including pilot-testing in anticipation of applying for federal funds.

## FORM 20 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS #01-#05 MULTI-YEAR DATA STATE: MA

	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	<u>ata</u> 2003	2004
Annual Indicator	7.0	7.2	7.5	7.6	7.6
Numerator	5,711	5,795	6,060	6,115	
Denominator		81,014	80,624	80,167	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 01B					
ne percent of live singleton births weighing less than 2,500 grams.					
	2000	2004	Annual Indicator Da		2004
Annual Indicator	2000 5.0	<b>2001</b> 5.1	5.2	<b>2003</b> 5.2	<b>2004</b> 5.2
	3,886		3,972	4,006	0.2
	78,075		76,673	76,367	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?		77,409	70,073	70,307	
is the Data i Tovisional of Fillals	•			Final	Provisional
				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A				Final	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	2000	2001	2002	ata 2003	2004
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator	2000	1.4	<b>2002</b> 1.4	ata 2003 1.4	
REALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A the percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 - 1.3 - 1,090	1.4	1.4 1,109	ata 2003 1.4 1,115	2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator	2000 1.3 1,090 81,582	1.4	1.4 1,109	2003 	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator  Numerator	2000 1.3 1,090 81,582	1.4	1.4 1,109	ata 2003 1.4 1,115	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 1,090 81,582	1.4	1.4 1,109	2003 	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A  ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator  Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 1.3 1,090 81,582	1.4	1.4 1,109 80,624	2003 	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A ne percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 - 1.3 - 1,090 - 81,582	1.4 1,114 81,014	1.4 1,109 80,624	2003 	2004 1.4 Provisional
IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A he percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  IEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B he percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.	2000 1.3 1,090 81,582	1.4	1.4 1,109 80,624	2003 	2004
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator	2000 1.3 1,090 81,582 2000	1.4 1,114 81,014	1.4 1,109 80,624 Annual Indicator Da 2002	2003 1.4 1,115 80,167 Final	2004 1.4 Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02A The percent of live births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 02B The percent of live singleton births weighing less than 1,500 grams.  Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 1.3 1,090 81,582	1.4 1,114 81,014	1.4 1,109 80,624 Annual Indicator Da 2002	2003  1.4  1,115  80,167  Final	2004 1.4 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Final

Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator	<b>2000</b> 4.1	2001		<u>ata</u>	
Numerator Denominator	4.1	200.	2002	2003	2004
Denominator		3.7	2.7	2.9	2.9
	52	46	34	37	
_	1,259,376	1,259,376	1,259,376	1,259,376	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
e death rate per 100,000 for unintentional injuries among children a		· ·	Annual Indicator Da		
Annual Indicator	2000	<b>2001</b> 1.7	<b>2002</b> 0.5	<b>2003</b> 1.5	<b>2004</b>
			6	1.5	1.
Numerator	1,259,376	1,259,376	1,259,376	1,259,376	
Denominator	1,200,010	1,200,070	1,200,010		
Is the Data Provisional or Final?				Provisional	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C use death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	chicle crashes amor	ng youth aged 15 thro	ugh 24 years.  Annual Indicator Da	Provisional	Provisional
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C e death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	2000		Annual Indicator Da	Provisional	2004
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	2000	2001	Annual Indicator Da	Provisional  ata 2003	
EALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 03C ne death rate per 100,000 from unintentional injuries due to motor ve	2000 14.8 121	2001	Annual Indicator Da 2002	Provisional  ata 2003	2004

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	s among children ag	ed 14 years and your	nger.		
			Annual Indicator Da	ata	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	21.3	19.1	20.0	17.6	17.6
Numerator	268	240	252	222	
Denominator	1,259,376	1,259,376	1,259,376	1,259,376	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	•			Provisional	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 04C					
The rate per 100,000 of nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes	s among youth aged	15 through 24 years.			
			Annual Indicator Da		
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
	150.4	130.9	142.1	127.3	127.3
	1,233			1,044	-
	820,016	820,016	820,016	820,016	
Is the Data Provisional or Final?	,			Provisional	Provisional
HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05A					
The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	case of chlamydia.				
The rate per 1,000 women aged 15 through 19 years with a reported	case of chlamydia.		Annual Indicator Da	a <u>ta</u>	
	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Annual Indicator	2000	14.5	<b>2002</b> 17.0	<b>2003</b> 14.9	17.4
Annual Indicator	2000 13.4 2,760	14.5 2,973	<b>2002</b> 17.0	2003	17.4
Annual Indicator	2000 13.4 2,760	14.5	<b>2002</b> 17.0	2003 14.9 3,055 205,277	17.4 3,565 205,277
Annual Indicator Numerator	2000 13.4 2,760 205,277	14.5 2,973	2002 17.0 3,488	2003 14.9 3,055	17.4 3,565
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final?	2000 13.4 2,760 205,277	14.5 2,973	2002 17.0 3,488	2003 14.9 3,055 205,277	17.4 3,565 205,277
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B	2000 13.4 2,760 205,277	14.5 2,973	2002 17.0 3,488	2003 14.9 3,055 205,277	17.4 3,565 205,277
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B	2000 13.4 2,760 205,277	14.5 2,973	2002 17.0 3,488	2003 14.9 3,055 205,277 Provisional	3,565 205,277
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B	2000 13.4 2,760 205,277	14.5 2,973	17.0 3,488 205,277	2003 14.9 3,055 205,277 Provisional	3,565 205,277
Numerator  Denominator  Is the Data Provisional or Final?  HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B  The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported	2000 13.4 2,760 205,277	2,973 205,277	17.0 3,488 205,277	2003 14.9 3,055 205,277 Provisional	17.4 3,565 205,277 Provisional
Annual Indicator Numerator Denominator Is the Data Provisional or Final? HEALTH STATUS INDICATOR MEASURE # 05B The rate per 1,000 women aged 20 through 44 years with a reported Annual Indicator	2000 13.4 2,760 205,277 case of chlamydia.	2,973 205,277 2001 3.8	2002 17.0 3,488 205,277 Annual Indicator Day 2002	2003 14.9 3,055 205,277 Provisional	17.4 3,565 205,277 Provisional

Is the Data Provisional or Final?

Provisional

Provisional

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 20

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

1. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all resident births for the relevant year.

2. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2003 This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all resident births for the relevant year.

3. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01A

Field Name: HSI01A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004

Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments

4. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all singleton resident births for the relevant year.

5. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all singleton resident births for the relevant year.

6. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #01B

Field Name: HSI01B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

7. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all resident births for the relevant year.

8. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all resident births for the relevant year.

9. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02A

Field Name: HSI02A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

10. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2001. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all singleton resident births for the relevant year.

11. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data for both the numerators and denominators are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 – 2003. This is the most recent year of data available. The denominators are all singleton resident births for the relevant year.

12. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #02B

Field Name: HSI02B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 birth data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other

comments.

13. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2001 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

14. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2003 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

15. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03A

Field Name: HSI03A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 death data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

16. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2001 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

17. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2003 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

18. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03B

Field Name: HSI03B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 death data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and

other comments.

19. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2001 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

20. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Data on deaths are taken from MDPH Vital Records for calendar years 1991 -2003 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Deaths in years 1999 and later are derived from ICD-10 codes (10th Revision of the International Classification of Diseases). Caution should be used in comparisons with previous years using ICD-9 codes.

21. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #03C

Field Name: HSI03C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 death data are not available. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

22. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), 1994-2001 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Beginning in 2000, the Massachusetts UHDDS added data on Observation Discharges and these are included in the totals from 2000 forward (1,313 of the 3,628 events in 2000 and 1,152 of the 3,523 events in 2001).

All hospital discharge data are still coded using ICD - 9 codes. Those included in this indicator are E800-E869 and E880-E929; all cases with E-codes assigned to any of the multiple ICD-9 diagnosis fields are included. Conversion to ICD - 10 coding and its impact on comparability of counts and rates over time will have to be dealt with in future years.

23. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), Division of Health Care Finance and Policy, 1994-2003 (the most recent year available). Data are for Fiscal Years, not Calendar Years. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Observation Discharges have been removed from the previously reported totals for 2000 forward as they are consistently available at the same time as discharge data. Reported rates have changed accordingly but are now comparable across the time frame. In FY03, there were 1,266 not-duplicated observation stays for nonfatal injuries among children age 14 and younger and a reported 144,799 emergency room visits. (Sources: Mass. Outpatient Observation Stay and Mass. Emergency Department Databases, Division of Health Care Finance and Policy.)

All hospital discharge data are still coded using ICD - 9 codes. Those included in this indicator are E800-E869 and E880-E929; all cases with E-codes assigned to any of the multiple ICD-9 diagnosis fields are included. Conversion to ICD - 10 coding and its impact on comparability of counts and rates over time will have to be dealt with in future years.

24. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04A

Field Name: HSI04A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 UHDDS data have not yet been released. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

25. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002

#### Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), 1994-2001 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Beginning in 2000, the Massachusetts UHDDS added data on Observation Discharges and these are included in the totals from 2000 forward (75 of the 343 events in 2000 and 57 of the 303 events in 2001).

All hospital discharge data are still coded using ICD - 9 codes. Those included in this indicator are E800-E869 and E880-E929; all cases with E-codes assigned to any of the multiple ICD-9 diagnosis fields are included. Conversion to ICD - 10 coding and its impact on comparability of counts and rates over time will have to be dealt with in future years.

#### 26. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003

Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), Division of Health Care Finance and Policy, 1994-2003 (the most recent year available). Data are for Fiscal Years, not Calendar Years. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominator and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Observation Discharges have been removed from the previously reported totals for 2000 forward as they are consistently available at the same time as discharge data. Reported rates have changed accordingly but are now comparable across the time frame. In FY03, there were 38 not-duplicated observation stays for nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among children age 14 and younger and a reported 5,596 emergency room visits. (Sources: Mass. Outpatient Observation Stay and Mass. Emergency Department Databases, Division of Health Care Finance and Policy.)

All hospital discharge data are still coded using ICD - 9 codes. Those included in this indicator are E800-E869 and E880-E929; all cases with E-codes assigned to any of the multiple ICD-9 diagnosis fields are included. Conversion to ICD - 10 coding and its impact on comparability of counts and rates over time will have to be dealt with in future years.

#### 27. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04B

Field Name: HSI04B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 UHDDS data have not yet been released. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

#### 28. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), 1994-2001 (the most recent year available). Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 denominator is the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

Beginning in 2000, the Massachusetts UHDDS added data on Observation Discharges and these are included in the totals from 2000 forward (275 of the 1,233 events in 2000 and 218 of the 1,308 events in 2001).

All hospital discharge data are still coded using ICD - 9 codes. Those included in this indicator are E800-E869 and E880-E929; all cases with E-codes assigned to any of the multiple ICD-9 diagnosis fields are included. Conversion to ICD - 10 coding and its impact on comparability of counts and rates over time will have to be dealt with in future years.

#### 29. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Hospitalization data are from Massachusetts Uniform Hospital Discharge Data System (UHDDS), Division of Health Care Finance and Policy, 1994-2003 (the most recent year available). Data are for Fiscal Years, not Calendar Years. Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

Observation Discharges have been removed from the previously reported totals for 2000 forward as they are consistently available at the same time as discharge data. Reported rates have changed accordingly but are now comparable across the time frame. In FY03, there were 276 not-duplicated observation stays for nonfatal injuries due to motor vehicle crashes among youth aged 15 through 24 and a reported 30,522 emergency room visits. (Sources: Mass. Outpatient Observation Stay and Mass. Emergency Department Databases, Division of Health Care Finance and Policy.)

All hospital discharge data are still coded using ICD - 9 codes. Those included in this indicator are E800-E869 and E880-E929; all cases with E-codes assigned to any of the multiple ICD-9 diagnosis fields are included. Conversion to ICD - 10 coding and its impact on comparability of counts and rates over time will have to be dealt with in future years.

#### 30. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #04C

Field Name: HSI04C Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

2004 UHDDS data have not yet been released. We have estimated a similar rate to that for 2003. See 2003 for the most recent data and see the Note for 2003 for data sources and other comments.

#### 31. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A

Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note:

Field Note: Data sources:

Cases of chlamydia: Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Program, 1996 through 2002 (calendar year data).

Denominators: Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 and 2002 denominators are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 0r 2002 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

32. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note: Data sources:

Cases of chlamydia: Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Program, 1996 through 2003 (calendar year data). Denominators: Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 - 2003 denominators are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no further population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

33. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05A

Field Name: HSI05A Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Cases of chlamydia: Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Program, 1996 through 2004 (calendar year data). Denominators: Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

34. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2002 Field Note: Data sources:

Cases of chlamydia: Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Program, 1996 through 2002 (calendar year data).

Denominators: Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 and 2002 denominators are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no 2001 0r 2002 population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

35. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2003 Field Note:

Cases of chlamydia: Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Program, 1996 through 2003 (calendar year data). Denominators: Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The 2001 – 2003 denominators are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no further population estimates are yet available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research; http://www.umass.edu/miser/) produces the standard population estimates used by the Department of Public Health.

36. Section Number: Health Status Indicator #05B

Field Name: HSI05B Row Name: Column Name: Year: 2004 Field Note:

Cases of chlamydia: Massachusetts Department of Public Health. Sexually Transmitted Diseases Program, 1996 through 2004 (calendar year data). Denominators: Denominators for years through 1999 are from the most recent MISER population estimates; the denominator for 2000 is the Census Count. The resulting denominators and age-specific rates may differ from those previously reported or published elsewhere. The denominators from 2001 forward are the same as the 2000 denominator, as no subsequent population estimates are available from either MISER or MDPH. MISER (the Massachusetts Institute for Social and Economic Research) no longer produces the standard population estimates on a regular basis.

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MA

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	77,998	60,261	5,404	235	3,377	38	3,751	4,932
Children 1 through 4	319,270	249,658	21,963	860	14,037	134	13,963	18,655
Children 5 through 9	430,861	338,854	32,280	1,396	16,699	193	16,318	25,121
Children 10 through 14	431,247	344,823	31,334	1,365	15,707	209	14,094	23,715
Children 15 through 19	415,737	328,021	28,316	1,258	19,527	234	13,199	25,182
Children 20 through 24	404,279	311,876	27,204	1,182	24,749	292	13,091	25,885
Children 0 through 24	2,079,392	1,633,493	146,501	6,296	94,096	1,100	74,416	123,490

HSI #06B - Demographics (Total Population) Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by sub-populations of age group and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL POPULATION BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	68,579	9,419	0
Children 1 through 4	283,677	35,593	0
Children 5 through 9	383,682	47,179	0
Children 10 through 14	388,622	42,625	0
Children 15 through 19	375,153	40,584	0
Children 20 through 24	361,452	42,827	0
Children 0 through 24	1,861,165	218,227	0

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MA

HSI #07A - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and race. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Women < 15	56	19	11	0	2			24
Women 15 through 17	1,473	649	192	5	67			560
Women 18 through 19	3,166	1,738	364	8	114			942
Women 20 through 34	57,159	41,470	4,360	136	3,997			7,196
Women 35 or older	18,308	15,140	1,073	25	1,062			1,008
Women of all ages	80,162	59,016	6,000	174	5,242	0	0	9,730

HSI #07B - Demographics (Total live births) Live births to women (of all ages) enumerated by maternal age and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL LIVE BIRTHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Women < 15	25	31	0
Women 15 through 17	870	588	15
Women 18 through 19	2,170	962	34
Women 20 through 34	48,971	7,240	948
Women 35 or older	17,041	943	324
Women of all ages	69,077	9,764	1,321

#### FORM 21 **HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA**

STATE: MA

HSI #08A - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and race. (Demographics) 

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown
Infants 0 to 1	383	261	80	1	14			27
Children 1 through 4	54	33	6	1	7			7
Children 5 through 9	47	33	6	0	1			7
Children 10 through 14	48	29	11	0	4			4
Children 15 through 19	209	164	18	1	7			19
Children 20 through 24	281	207	40	1	9			24
Children 0 through 24	1,022	727	161	4	42	0	0	88

HSI #08B - Demographics (Total deaths) Deaths of Infants and children aged 0 through 24 years enumerated by age subgroup and ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY TOTAL DEATHS BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported
Infants 0 to 1	328	55	0
Children 1 through 4	45	9	0
Children 5 through 9	39	8	0
Children 10 through 14	43	5	0
Children 15 through 19	178	31	0
Children 20 through 24	244	37	0
Children 0 through 24	877	145	0

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MA

**HSI #09A - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by race. (Demographics)

Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY RACE	Total All Races	White	Black or African American	American Indian or Native Alaskan	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	More than one race reported	Other and Unknown	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,675,113	1,321,617.0	119,297.0	5,114.0	69,347.0	808.0	61,325.0	97,605.0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	19.0	14.8	43.2	37.5	11.8	31.3	31.6	43.0	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	4.0	2.0	12.9	4.7	3.9			1.2	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid	407,521							407,521.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP	16,257							16,257.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	7,334	3,532.0	1,220.0		142.0			2,440.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	165,012	64,565.0	31,470.0	425.0	6,347.0			62,205.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	89,963	37,843.0	17,365.0	123.0	5,991.0			28,641.0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests	120.0								2004
Percentage of high school drop- outs (grade 9 through 12)	3.3	2.6	5.7	4.8	2.5			7.4	2004

**HSI #09B - Demographics (Miscellaneous Data)** Infants and children aged 0 through 19 years in miscellaneous situations or enrolled in various State programs enumerated by ethnicity. (Demographics)

CATEGORY Miscellaneous Data BY HISPANIC ETHNICITY	Total NOT Hispanic or Latino	Total Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity Not Reported	Specific Reporting Year
All children 0 through 19	1,499,713.0	175,400.0	0	2004
Percent in household headed by single parent	16.4	41.6	0	2004
Percent in TANF (Grant) families	2.8	14.1	0	2004
Number enrolled in Medicaid			407,521.0	2004
Number enrolled in SCHIP			16,257.0	2004
Number living in foster home care	5,105.0	1,998.0	201.0	2004
Number enrolled in food stamp program	104,083.0	60,547.0	382.0	2004
Number enrolled in WIC	61,322.0	28,641.0	0	2004
Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests			120.0	2004
Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)	2.9	7.4	0	2004

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MA

HSI #10 - Demographics (Geographic Living Area) Geographic living area for all resident children aged 0 through 19 years old. (Demographics)

Reporting Year:	2004	Is this data from a State Proj	ection? No	Is this data final or provisional? Provisional	
GEO	GRAPI	IIC LIVING AREAS			TOTAL

GEOGRAPHIC LIVING AREAS	IOTAL	
Living in metropolitan areas	1,675,113	
Living in urban areas	1,531,153	
Living in rural areas	143,960	
Living in frontier areas	0	
Total - all children 0 through 19	1,675,113	

Note:

The Total will be determined by adding reported numbers for urban, rural and frontier areas.

### FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MA

HSI #11 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL	
Total Population	6,416,505.0	
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	4.4	
100% of poverty	9.3	
200% of poverty	21.7	

## FORM 21 HEALTH STATUS INDICATORS DEMOGRAPHIC DATA STATE: MA

HSI #12 - Demographics (Poverty Levels) Percent of the State population aged 0 through 19 at various levels of the federal poverty level. (Demographics)
Reporting Year: 2004 Is this data from a State Projection? No Is this data final or provisional? Provisional

POVERTY LEVELS	TOTAL
Children 0 through 19 years old	1,675,113.0
Percent Below: 50% of poverty	<u>5.1</u>
100% of poverty	12.0
200% of poverty	<u>26.4</u>

#### FORM NOTES FOR FORM 21

None

#### FIELD LEVEL NOTES

 Section Number: Indicator 06A Field Name: S06\_Race\_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source for 06A, all ages: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children1to4
 Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source for 06A, all ages: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children5to9
 Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source for 06A, all ages: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children10to14
 Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source for 06A, all ages: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children15to19
 Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source for 06A, all ages: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06A
 Field Name: S06\_Race\_Children20to24
 Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source for 06A, all ages: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

 Section Number: Indicator 06B Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source, 06B, all age groups: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06B
 Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children1to4
 Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source, 06B, all age groups: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06B
 Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children5to9
 Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source, 06B, all age groups: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

10. Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children10to14
Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source, 06B, all age groups: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children15to19

Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source, 06B, all age groups: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

12. Section Number: Indicator 06B

Field Name: S06\_Ethnicity\_Children20to24

Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source, 06B, all age groups: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file; single year counts used for infants and 1-4 groupings. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

13. Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race\_Women15 Row Name: Women < 15</p>

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Other Pacific Islander races. Birth certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

 Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race\_Women15to17

Row Name: Women 15 through 17

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Other Pacific Islander races. Birth certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

**15. Section Number:** Indicator 07A **Field Name:** Race\_Women18to19

Row Name: Women 18 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Other Pacific Islander races. Birth certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

 Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race\_Women20to34

Row Name: Women 20 through 34 Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Other Pacific Islander races. Birth certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

17. Section Number: Indicator 07A Field Name: Race\_Women35

Row Name: Women 35 or older Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Other Pacific Islander races. Birth certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

18. Section Number: Indicator 07B

Field Name: Ethnicity\_Women15 Row Name: Women < 15

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 07B
 Field Name: Ethnicity\_Women15to17

Row Name: Women 15 through 17 Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 07B
 Field Name: Ethnicity\_Women18to19
 Row Name: Women 18 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 07B
 Field Name: Ethnicity\_Women20to34
 Row Name: Women 20 through 34

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

22. Section Number: Indicator 07B Field Name: Ethnicity\_Women35 Row Name: Women 35 or older

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The total births for "Women of all ages" shown is 5 less than the actual total number of births in 2003, as mother's age is unknown for 5 births, 4 to white mothers (of unknown ethnicity) and 1 to a mother of unknown race & ethnicity.

23. Section Number: Indicator 08A Field Name: S08\_Race\_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander races. Death certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The category "Other and Unknown" includes only persons who selected "Hispanic" as a race.

24. Section Number: Indicator 08A
Field Name: S08\_Race\_Children1to4
Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander races. Death certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The category "Other and Unknown" includes only persons who selected "Hispanic" as a race.

Section Number: Indicator 08A
 Field Name: S08\_Race\_Children5to9
 Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006

Field Note:
Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were

required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander races. Death certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The category "Other and Unknown" includes only persons who selected "Hispanic" as a race.

Section Number: Indicator 08A
 Field Name: S08\_Race\_Children10to14
 Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander races. Death certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The category "Other and Unknown" includes only persons who selected "Hispanic" as a race.

27. Section Number: Indicator 08A

Field Name: S08\_Race\_Children15to19 Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander races. Death certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The category "Other and Unknown" includes only persons who selected "Hispanic" as a race.

28. Section Number: Indicator 08A

Field Name: S08\_Race\_Children20to24 Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Births for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

The race category labeled "Asian" also includes persons of Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander races. Death certificate reporting of race does not include the category of "more than one race reported."

The category "Other and Unknown" includes only persons who selected "Hispanic" as a race.

29. Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08\_Ethnicity\_Infants Row Name: Infants 0 to 1

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Deaths for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

30. Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08\_Ethnicity\_Children1to4

Row Name: children 1 through 4

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Deaths for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

31. Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08\_Ethnicity\_Children5to9
Row Name: children 5 through 9

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Deaths for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

32. Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08\_Ethnicity\_Children10to14 Row Name: children 10 through 14

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Deaths for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

33. Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08\_Ethnicity\_Children15to19

Row Name: children 15 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Deaths for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

34. Section Number: Indicator 08B

Field Name: S08\_Ethnicity\_Children20to24 Row Name: children 20 through 24

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: MDPH Vital Records, Deaths for calendar year 2003 (the most recent year available). The data are marked as "Provisional," because although 2004 data were required by TVIS, none are yet available for Massachusetts. The data are final for 2003.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_Children
 Row Name: All children 0 through 19

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file. Data are reported for children under 18. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

36. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file. Data are reported for children under 18. These remain the most current comprehensive data on children's living arrangements across all age groups.

**37. Section Number:** Indicator 09A **Field Name:** HSIRace\_TANFPercent

Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Budget Office, Massachusetts Department of Transitional Assistance (which operates what is called the Massachusetts Transitional Aid to Families with Dependent Children (TAFDC) program). These are 'point in time' counts as of July 5, 2005, not the total number who got benefits in any given month or year.

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. Because percentages of children by race/ethnicity (rather than counts) are requested, we have used combined race/Hispanic ethnicity counts from the 2000 Census, as available in MassCHIP, instead of the separate race and Hispanic ethnicity counts shown in the first row of HSI #09A.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_MedicaidNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Office of Medicaid. Medicaid waiver caseload as of June 30, 2004. 7th Annual Report to CMS on the 1115 Waiver, March 22, 2005. Data are not available by race/ethnicity.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_SCHIPNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Federal CMS SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). FY2004 Separate Child Program Enrollment as of June 30, 2004 (end of 3rd quarter). Children enrolled through the state's Medicaid expansion options are counted in the previous row. Data are not available by race/ethnicity.

**40. Section Number:** Indicator 09A **Field Name:** HSIRace\_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Department of Transitional Assistance, Budget Office. These are 'point in time' counts as of July 5, 2005, not the total number who got benefits in any given month. The count is also unofficial. Doing a demographic breakout from the single DTA official file takes additional data processing work that was not felt necessary for this data element.

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B.

41. Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_WICNo Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts WIC Program, MDPH. Enrollment as of 12/31/04. Note that this is lower than the total number of children who are served by WIC over the

course of a year

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. This limitation on the data means that the number of persons with known race is underreported.

42. Section Number: Indicator 09A

Field Name: HSIRace\_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: FBI Uniform Crime Reports. As reported in Massachusetts Juvenile Justice Data and Information. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Executive Office of Public Safety, December, 2004. The data are for 2002, the most recent available. Arrest data are for juveniles under the age of 18. Data are not available by race/ethnicity.

 Section Number: Indicator 09A Field Name: HSIRace\_DropOutPercent Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Department of Education. Dropout Rates in Massachusetts Public Schools 2002-03. April, 2004.

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. This limitation on the data means that the percent of persons with known race is underreported.

44. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_Children Row Name: All children 0 through 19

> Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file. Data are reported for children under 18. No more recent population estimates are available across all age groups.

45. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SingleParentPercent

Row Name: Percent in household headed by single parent

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanic as separate category" file. Data are reported for children under 18. These remain the most current comprehensive data on children's living arrangements across all age groups.

46. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_TANFPercent Row Name: Percent in TANF (Grant) families

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Budget Office, Massachusetts Department of Transitional Assistance (which operates what is called the Massachusetts Transitional Aid to Families with Dependent Children (TAFDC) program). These are 'point in time' counts as of July 5, 2005, not the total number who got benefits in any given month or year.

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. Because percentages of children by race/ethnicity (rather than counts) are requested, we have used combined race/Hispanic ethnicity counts from the 2000 Census, as available in MassCHIP, instead of the separate race and Hispanic ethnicity counts shown in the first row of HSI #09A.

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_MedicaidNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in Medicaid

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Office of Medicaid. Medicaid waiver caseload as of June 30, 2004. 7th Annual Report to CMS on the 1115 Waiver, March 22, 2005. Data are not available by race/ethnicity.

48. Section Number: Indicator 09B Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_SCHIPNo Row Name: Number enrolled in SCHIP

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Federal CMS SCHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). FY2004 Separate Child Program Enrollment as of June 30, 2004 (end of 3rd quarter). Children enrolled through the state's Medicaid expansion options are counted in the previous row. Data are not available by race/ethnicity.

49. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FoodStampNo

Row Name: Number enrolled in food stamp program

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Department of Transitional Assistance, Budget Office. These are 'point in time' counts as of July 5, 2005, not the total number who got benefits in any given month. The count is also unofficial. Doing a demographic breakout from the single DTA official file takes additional data processing work that was not felt necessary for this data element.

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B.

Section Number: Indicator 09B
 Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_WICNo
 Row Name: Number enrolled in WIC

Row Name: Number enr Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts WIC Program, MDPH. Enrollment as of 12/31/04. Note that this is lower than the total number of children who are served by WIC over the course of a year.

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. This limitation on the data means that the number of persons with known race is underreported.

51. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_JuvenileCrimeRate

Row Name: Rate (per 100,000) of juvenile crime arrests

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: FBI Uniform Crime Reports. As reported in Massachusetts Juvenile Justice Data and Information. Commonwealth of Massachusetts, Executive Office of Public Safety, December, 2004. The data are for 2002, the most recent available. Arrest data are for juveniles under the age of 18. Data are not available by race/ethnicity.

52. Section Number: Indicator 09B

Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_DropOutPercent

Row Name: Percentage of high school drop-outs (grade 9 through 12)

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Department of Education. Dropout Rates in Massachusetts Public Schools 2002-03. April, 2004.

Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. This limitation on the data means that the percent of persons with known race is underreported.

53. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Metropolitan

Row Name: Living in metropolitan areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: U.S. Bureau of the Census.

Metropolitan Areas – According to the most recent alignments of Standard Metropolitan Areas, all of Massachusetts is included in an SMA. Therefore we have entered the entire child population (see the first item in HSI #09A) for this item.

54. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Urban

Row Name: Living in urban areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: U.S. Census Bureau. Census 2000 Summary File (SF 4) for Massachusetts. Massachusetts has no "Frontier" areas. The "Urban" and "Rural" numbers of children are estimates that use the percentage distribution of the entire population as reported in the SF 4 file (Table PCT2) multiplied by the 2000 Census count of children in the state. We have no reason to believe that children are significantly more or less likely to live in rural areas than are adults. These are the most recent comprehensive data available on living location.

55. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Rural

Row Name: Living in rural areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: U.S. Census Bureau. Census 2000 Summary File (SF 4) for Massachusetts. Massachusetts has no "Frontier" areas. The "Urban" and "Rural" numbers of children are estimates that use the percentage distribution of the entire population as reported in the SF 4 file (Table PCT2) multiplied by the 2000 Census count of children in the state. We have no reason to believe that children are significantly more or less likely to live in rural areas than are adults. These are the most recent comprehensive data available on living location.

56. Section Number: Indicator 10

Field Name: Frontier

Row Name: Living in frontier areas

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: U.S. Census Bureau. Census 2000 Summary File (SF 4) for Massachusetts. Massachusetts has no "Frontier" areas. The "Urban" and "Rural" numbers of children are estimates that use the percentage distribution of the entire population as reported in the SF 4 file (Table PCT2) multiplied by the 2000 Census count of children in the state. We have no reason to believe that children are significantly more or less likely to live in rural areas than are adults. These are the most recent comprehensive data available on living location.

57. Section Number: Indicator 11

Field Name: S11\_total

Row Name: Total Population Column Name:

Year: 2006 Field Note:

Total Population, all ages: U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Division. Table 1-RES. Estimates of the Resident Population by Selected Age Groups, July 1, 2004. (SC-EST2004-01-RES). Released 2/25/05. These updated population estimates are not available by poverty level.

**58. Section Number:** Indicator 11 **Field Name:** S11\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Census 2000 Sample Data (SF 3). As available in MassCHIP. These are the most recent comprehensive data available for all of the poverty ratios.

 Section Number: Indicator 11 Field Name: S11\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Census 2000 Sample Data (SF 3). As available in MassCHIP. These are the most recent comprehensive data available for all of the poverty ratios.

Section Number: Indicator 11
 Field Name: S11\_200percent
 Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Census 2000 Sample Data (SF 3). As available in MassCHIP. These are the most recent comprehensive data available for all of the poverty ratios.

**61. Section Number:** Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_Children

Row Name: Children 0 through 19 years old

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data source: U.S. Bureau of the Census, 2000 Census Summary File 1 (SF 1). As available in MassCHIP, using "Hispanics included in other Race grouping" file. Data are reported for children under 18.

An alternative update (U.S. Bureau of the Census, Population Division. Table 1-RES. Estimates of the Resident Population by Selected Age Groups, July 1, 2004. (SC-

EST2004-01-RES). Released 2/25/05) reports a total population under age 18 of 1,464,189. These updated population estimates are not available by poverty level, and we have continued to use the 2000 Census counts throughout these tables.

**62. Section Number:** Indicator 12 **Field Name:** S12\_50percent

Row Name: Percent Below: 50% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Census 2000 Sample Data (SF 3). As available in MassCHIP. These are the most recent comprehensive data available for all of the poverty ratios.

63. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_100percent Row Name: 100% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Census 2000 Sample Data (SF 3). As available in MassCHIP. These are the most recent comprehensive data available for all of the poverty ratios.

64. Section Number: Indicator 12 Field Name: S12\_200percent Row Name: 200% of poverty

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Census 2000 Sample Data (SF 3). As available in MassCHIP. These are the most recent comprehensive data available for all of the poverty ratios.

Section Number: Indicator 09A
 Field Name: HSIRace\_FosterCare

Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Department of Social Services, 2004. Data are for Calendar Year 2002, the most recent available. Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. This limitation on the data means that the number of persons with known race is underreported.

66. Section Number: Indicator 09B
Field Name: HSIEthnicity\_FosterCare
Row Name: Number living in foster home care

Column Name: Year: 2006 Field Note:

Data Source: Massachusetts Department of Social Services, 2004. Data are for Calendar Year 2002, the most recent available. Data are reported by combined race/Hispanic ethnicity categories only. Therefore, the columns labeled "White," "Black," etc. are in fact reported as "White, non-Hispanic," "Black, non-Hispanic," etc. In Section 09A, Hispanics are included in the "Other and Unknown" column; they are reported separately in Section 09B. This limitation on the data means that the number of persons with known race is underreported.

### NEW STATE PERFORMANCE AND OUTCOME MEASURE DETAIL SHEET STATE: MA

SP# 1

PERFORMANCE MEASURE: The percentage of pregnancies among women age 18 and over that are intended.

GOAL To reduce unintended pregnancies.

**DEFINITION**This measure is based on information from the Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS).

Among women who were pregnant or had been pregnant within the past 5 years when interviewed, the pregnancy was defined as intended (or not unplanned) if she wanted to be pregnant then or sooner. Because the BRFSS results are reported as population-based estimates based on weighted survey data, only the percent will be reported, without

numerators and denominators.

Numerator:

The number of pregnancies to women age 18 and over that are intended.

Denominator:

The total number of pregnancies to women age 18 and over.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Massachusetts Behavioral Risk Factor Survey System (BRFSS). The questions addressing this measure are now included

every other year (beginning in 1998); no comparable data are available for previous years. The questions will be asked every other year. Thus projected Annual Performance Objectives will be measured in alternate years. Because the BRFSS is a survey of persons age 18 and over, this measure does not capture the degree to which pregnancies to

younger teens are intended.

SIGNIFICANCE Unintended pregnancy is both frequent and widespread in the U.S. The most recent estimate from the National Survey of

Family Growth indicates that 49% of all pregnancies are unintended, either mistimed or unwanted altogether; this % is higher than found in several other Western democracies. Unintended pregnancy affects all segments of society but the highest rates tend to be among women who are ages 18-24, unmarried, low-income, black or Hispanic. Unintended pregnancy is related to adverse health outcomes for both mothers and infants, imposing appreciable burdens on children

and families.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The percent of births to women who report not smoking during their current pregnancy.

GOAL

To reduce the use of tobacco products by women of reproductive age, thus reducing a number of health risks for the mother, the fetus, and young children.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

The number of births to resident women giving birth in the calendar year who report not smoking during their pregnancy, as

recorded on birth certificates.

Denominator:

Number of total resident live births in the calendar year.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE 16-17 Increase abstinence from alcohol, cigarettes, and illicit drugs among pregnant women

Objective 16-17c measures the percent of women having live =births reporting abstaining from cigarette smoking during

pregnancy. The national baseline (1998) is 87%.

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

Massachusetts Department of Public Health; Vital Records. In monitoring this measure over time, we will also examine the

degree to which women who are smoking when they became pregnant either stop smoking or reduce their smoking intensity during the pregnancy. While the quality of the data on smoking have improved in recent years, it is important to note that the data are based on self-reported behavior. Implementation of PRAMS in Massachusetts soon will add to the quality and depth of the data. Program-specific data on this measure and related ones are also collected and monitored. Reduction in smoking during pregnancy is a contract performance measure for perinatal service programs funded by the

Bureau.

SIGNIFICANCE Tobacco smoke has a direct effect on reproductive health. Tobacco use during pregnancy is recognized as the leading

preventable cause of poor birth outcomes in Massachusetts. Particularly, smoking increases by 50% the probability of having a low birth weight infant. We continue to place attention on a number of tobacco education and cessation initiatives and integrated primary health care messages for pregnant women and, to decrease the pool of women entering pregnancy as smokers, we are also focusing initiatives on women of child bearing age who smoke. The Massachusetts baseline for

2003 is 85.5%.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

Page 109 of 118

**PERFORMANCE MEASURE:** The percentage of women with an interpregnancy interval (IPI) less than 12 months.

GOAL

To decrease short interpregnancy intervals (IPIs) and improve perinatal outcomes. **DEFINITION** 

Using the PELL (pregnancy and early life linkage) database, MDPH will link the records of women who have at least one live birth or fetal death with any subsequent births or fetal deaths. We will calculate the number of months from the first

outcome to the beginning of the next pregnancy that ends in a live birth or fetal death.

Numerator:

The number of women who have at least one live birth or fetal death with subsequent live birth or fetal death, where the number of months from the first outcome to the beginning of the next pregnancy that ends in a live birth or fetal death is less

than 12 months

Denominator:

The number of women who have at least one live birth or fetal death with subsequent live birth or fetal death.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Massachusetts PELL (Pregnancy and Early Life Linkage) database.

SIGNIFICANCE

Short IPIs are associated with poor pregnancy outcomes. We will also stratify short IPI incidence by geography, race/Hispanic ethnicity, payer source and age to determine disparities. PELL is well suited for this analysis because analyses can follow women prospectively, combine data over years to examine small area variation, and obtain better payer information using linked birth certificate records and hospital discharge data. The baseline statewide mean percent of

women with short IPIs from 1998 to 2001 was 9%.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

GOAL

Percent of children and youth (ages 3 - 18) enrolled in Medicaid who receive preventive dental services annually.

To assure that children and youth enrolled in Medicaid (MassHealth) receive the benefits of regular dental care to promote lifelong and booth

lifelong oral health.

**DEFINITION** 

Numerator:

Number of children (ages 3 to 18) who have received a dental assessment (see definition below) from MassHealth

(Medicaid) during the fiscal year.

Denominator:

Total number of children (ages 3 to 18) enrolled in MassHealth (Medicaid) during the reporting period (federal fiscal year).

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

21-12 Dental services for low-income children

Objective 21-12 reads in full: Increase the proportion of low-income children and adolescents who received any preventive dental service during the past year. It is defined as being the number of children under age 19 at or below 200% of the

Federal poverty level. The national baseline (1996) was 20%.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

 $Mass a chusetts \ Department \ of \ Medical \ Assistance \ (DMA) - Mass Health. \ Medicaid \ Management \ Information \ System. \ HCFA$ 

416 - Annual EPSDT Participation Report, October 1 - September 30.

SIGNIFICANCE

Access to regular dental care can be a major problem for Medicaid recipients due to the low participation rates of dentists in Medicaid, low Medicaid reimbursement rates, and the lack of other public dental health services in many areas. A legislative Oral Health Commission report issued in early 2000 made a number of recommendations to improve access to dental care across the lifespan. A number of state initiatives to address this problem have been undertaken: state support to expand community health center dental care capacity coverage; increases to Medicaid (MassHealth) rates; and rules changes to allow dentists to limit the number of Medicaid clients they accept. (Without this change, dentists choose to reject all

Medicaid clients as they cannot control volume and the Medicaid rate structure presents significant financial issues for many.) Monitoring the impact of these changes on utilization of preventive oral health services among the targeted

populations is critical.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The extent to which agreed-upon screening and intervention strategies for adolescent health, including adolescents with SHN, have been adopted, as measured on a unique scale from 0 - 68.

GOAL

**DEFINITION** 

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

To improve adolescent health, reduce risk behaviors, and support and promote protective youth assets.

This measure is defined and tracked by scores on a checklist of seven multi-faceted components of an infrastructure supporting comprehensive asset-based youth screening in key MDPH programs to identify risk behavior/youth resiliency (assets); establish systematic protocols, standards and training; and reporting on outcomes. This measure attempts to capture the breadth of adolescent risks and assets throughout a number of settings in which public health programs come in contact with them. See Notes for details on the components and scoring. A copy of the checklist is also attached to Part IV, Section B. In future years a copy with current scores will be provided as an attachment with the Measure in Part IV, Section D. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 68.

Numerator:

None

Denominator:

None

Units: 1 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES

MDPH Program Data and assessments from Youth and Young Adult Working Group. The 8 key adolescent service

programs to be included in the assessments will be finalized early in FY06. Those already determined to be included are School-Based Health Centers, Family Planning Services, Early Intervention Partnership Programs, Healthy Choice schools,

and Essential School Health Services school districts.

Strong relationships exist between various adolescent risk behaviors. Some of the documented relationships/clusters include: -- automobile injuries and fatalities and drinking -- sexual activity and use of alcohol or drugs; non- use or uncertain of use of birth control; and not using a condom -- alcohol use and lifetime sexual intercourse; recent sexual intercourse; attempted suicide; carrying a weapon; being in a physical fight; and experience of sexual contact against their will. On the other hand, factors often identified as "assets" or "resiliency factors" such as perceived adult support in and out of school,

volunteer work, and other extra-curricular activities, are associated with lower levels of one or more risk behaviors such as smoking, drug use, drinking and driving, sexual intercourse, and sexual risk-taking. This measure attempts to capture the breadth of adolescent risks and assets throughout a number of settings in which public health programs come in contact

with them.

OBJECTIVE 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The extent to which the Commonwealth is making progress in developing a system to promote healthy weight, including nutrition and physical activity, as measured on a unique scale from 0 - 97.

GOAL

To improve the overall health of the population, particularly among women, children, and adolescents, through promoting healthy weight, good nutrition, and regular physical activity.

DEFINITION

This measure is defined and tracked by scores on a checklist of five multi-faceted components of an infrastructure promoting healthy weight, including monitoring breastfeeding at two months; establishing consistent nutrition and physical activity messages; promoting those messages across DPH programs and measuring their impact; improving school policies and systems; and measuring weight status and change in key programs serving pregnant women and children and youth. See Notes for details on the components and scoring. A copy of the checklist is also attached to Part IV, Section B. In future years a copy with current scores will be provided as an attachment with the Measure in Part IV, Section D. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 97.

Numerator:

None

Denominator:

None

Units: 1 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

19-3 Overweight or obesity in children and adolescents.

Text of Objective and sub-objectives: 19-3: Reduce the proportion of children and adolescents who are overweight or obese. 19-3a: Children ages 6 - 11 years 19-3b: Adolescents ages 12 to 19 years 19-3c: Children and adolescents ages 6 to 19 years. Overweight/obese is defined as having a BMI at or above the gender- and age- specific 95th percentile from CDC U.S. Growth Charts. US baseline (1988-94) was 11%.

22-6 and 22-7 Moderate [and Vigorous] physical activity in adolescents

22-6: Increase the proportion of adolescents who engage in moderate physical activity for at least 30 minutes on 5 or more of the previous 7 days. 22-7: Increase the proportion of adolescents who engage in vigorous physical activity that promotes cardiovascular fitness 3 or more days per week for 20 or more minutes per occasion.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Various MDPH program datasets (for breastfeeding at two months; measurement and reporting of BMIs; and appropriate pregnancy weight gain). PRAMS (for expanded breastfeeding at two months data). MDPH staff and partners assessments of progress (consistent messages, process and outcome evaluations and baselines; policies and systems implementation in schools and school-based health centers). Some components of the measure are aimed at improving the extent and quality of the data available for assessing progress. We have not yet implemented PRAMS in Massachusetts, although we hope to do so within the next year; without PRAMS, certain data required for progress on this measure will not be available.

SIGNIFICANCE

The importance of healthy weight as a public health objective is well documented, as is the seriousness of the problems of obesity, overweight, and reduced physical activity to the nation's health and well-being. This measure seeks to monitor our progress in several areas - surveillance and data quality improvement, adopting and implementing consistent public health messages, environmental changes in key institutions (e.g. schools), and addressing change at multiple age levels. It builds upon existing initiatives and collaborative efforts through WIC, the Massachusetts Overweight Prevention and Control Initiative, the Massachusetts Partnership for Healthy Weight, a number of school-based projects, and others. It also represents a logical set of next steps from our previous State Performance Measure related to nutrition.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The degree to which Pediatric Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (Pedi-SANE) services have been implemented statewide, as measured on a unique scale from 0 - 20.

GOAL

To reduce the prevelance and impact of pediatric sexual assault.

DEFINITION

This measure is defined and tracked by scores on a checklist of five components and steps toward a fully developed statewide infrastructure supporting a comprehensive Pediatric Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner system. See Notes for details on the components and scoring. A copy of the checklist is attached to Part IV, Section B. In future years a copy with current scores will be provided as an attachment with the Measure in Part IV, Section D. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 20.

Numerator:

None

Denominator:

None

Units: 1 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

15-35 Rape or attempted rape

15-35 Reduce the annual rate of rape or attempted rape. Although this Objective focuses on persons aged 12 and older, the

intent of the Pedi-SANE program is related.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

MDPH program assessments of progress; documentation of implementation of Pedi-SANE services and services sites.

Despite implementation of a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner Program for sexual assault victims age 12 and over in Massachusetts, children under the age of 12 continue to be "revictimized" by the system that is attempting to respond to their assault. We have seen the incorrect use of the adult evidence collection kit on prepubescent children. There have been no protocols or standards for caring for young victims. There is a lack statewide of trained pediatric providers with expertise in sexual assault and children often wait up to three months for an exam. Working with a multidisciplinary group of experts, the SANE Program has developed the first of its kind pediatric forensic evidence collection kit with the principle of "do no harm." Pediatric SANEs have received initial training and begun preceptorships and clinical certification based on the newly developed pediatric protocol. To the extent possible, these pedi-SANEs will work within child friendly settings -- children's advocacy centers and other similar venues -- that include the full array of services needed by child victims of sexual assault (medical exams, victim and family support, forensic interviews, mental health services, and multidisciplinary and coordinated legal response). The implementation plan is designed to replicate comparable success to SANE, measured by assurance of

appropriate clinical care, victim services, and conviction rates.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

Region I placeholder for new measure of the collective regional assets regarding child care health consultants (CCHCs) and their contribution to positive health and development during early childhood.

GOAL

To develop an asset-based measure that will be shared by all six of the New England states (Federal Region I). The measure will be an indicator that reflects the collective assets of the Region's early childhood health and development

**DEFINITION** 

The exact measure (using an asset indicator framework) is still being developed by the region's Title V programs, in collaboration with the National Center for Infant and Early Childhood Health Policy at the UCLA Center for Healthier Children, Families and Communities. Health Policy at the UCLA Center for Healthier Children, Families and Communities. The Region has chosen to focus on its collective assets regarding child care health consultants (CCHC). Massachusetts will work with Title V agencies throughout the region to examine what measures can be developed to capture the use of CCHC's; their contributions to young children's health and development; continuous improvement in their ability to support children, families, and providers; and their role in the early childhood service system.

Numerator: Unknown

Denominator: Unknown

Units: 1 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

To be determined

New research in public health promotion is beginning to document how building a population's strengths and social capital can promote positive outcomes and avoid or mitigate negative ones. In addition, asset-based community development activities throughout the country have also shown how empowerment, resiliency, and the ability of communities to build on their asset base can contribute to achieving desired changes. The asset-based measurement approach can complement more traditional measures of needs, morbidity, and remediation by highlighting capacity-building strategies to promote a population's strengths and minimize deficits. An asset-based framework includes indicators at all levels (state policy, community, service provider, parent/family and individual/child) and can capture their interactive effects. The measurement framework also allows for study of asset use. For instance, it will consider a prevalence measure (to what extent does the asset exist?), a performance measure (how well is the asset being utilized?), and a measure that examines how well an asset is integrated into a portfolio of other strengths, resiliencies, programs, and policies. The choice of CCHCs reflects the critical roles that they can play to improve the general health and safety of children in child care and promote the

development of children in other domains — e.g., socio-emotional and cognitive development.

**OBJECTIVE** 

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

The extent to which perinatal health disparities are addressed at the state and local levels, collaboratively with stakeholders and community partners, as measured by a unique scale from 0 - 20.

To reduce perinatal disparities in outcomes for both mothers and infants in Massachusetts, working collaboratively and in partnership with communities at both state and local levels.

DEFINITION

GOAL

This measure is defined and tracked by scores on a checklist of three multi-faceted components of an systematic approach to reduce perinatal disparities: developing and implementing a state plan (including perinatal regulations revisions and protocols for addressing racism); state support for development of corresponding community plans; and promoting the use of data to inform policy and prioritize actions. See Notes for details on the components and scoring. A copy of the checklist is also attached to Part IV, Section B. In future years a copy with current scores will be provided as an attachment with the Measure in Part IV, Section D. The possible total score ranges from 0 to 20.

Numerator:

None

Denominator:

None

Units: 1 Text: Scale

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

SIGNIFICANCE

Self-assessment by state and communities; documentation of regulations, protocols, state and community plans.

A majority of overall pregnancy outcomes in the state continue to improve and are lower than the U.S. rates in many instances. However, continuing racial and ethnic disparities in perinatal outcomes persist. Although IMRs for most racial/ethnic populations declined from 1995 to 2002, little change has been noted in the differences between racial/ethnic populations. The 1998-2002 Feto-Infant Mortality Rates (FIMRs) for the state overall and for the three largest cities were 2-to 3-fold higher for black mothers. The "excess" FIMRs were mainly due to maternal health/prematurity factors. Adequacy of prenatal care and early initiation of care also remain discrepant across racial/ethnic populations. Through the CDC/AMCHP MATRICHS project, a 9-month on-line course, MDPH staff received training to identify a critical policy issue and use state, local and national data to inform policy direction and program priorities. Massachusetts identified perinatal disparities as the policy issue to address through the MATRICHS process; this new state performance measure will track our progress on both policy and program improvements. Enhancing the capacity of community partners to address perinatal disparities in

their communities is a critical need and is a focus of the measure.

**OBJECTIVE** 

SP # 10

PERFORMANCE MEASURE:

GOAL

DEFINITION

11. The percentage of adolescents reporting no current use (in past 30 days) of either alcohol or illicit drugs.

To reduce the risk behaviors of drinking and doing drugs among adolescents, along with other resulting risks such as highrisk sexual activity, violence, etc.

This measure is based on information from the Massachusetts Youth Health Survey (MYHS). Because the MYHS results are reported as population-based estimates based on weighted survey data, only the percent will be reported, without numerators and denominators. Illicit drug use asked about includes those in the HP 2010 definition (with the exception that hashish is not asked), plus specific questions about "club drugs," over-the-counter drugs to get high; use without a prescription of steroids, Ritalin or Oxycontin; and drugs from prescriptions that weren't his/her own. In 2004 on MYHS, over half (59%) of Massachusetts middle and high school students reported no alcohol or drug use. This is the baseline for this new state measure.

#### Numerator:

The number of middle school and high school students who report not using either alcohol or any illicit drugs within the past 30 days

#### Denominator:

The number of middle school and high school students responding to the survey.

Units: 100 Text: Percent

**HEALTHY PEOPLE 2010 OBJECTIVE** 

26-10a - Youth using no alcohol or illicit drugs in past 30 days.

Full text of 26-10a: Increase the proportion of adolescents not using alcohol or any illicit drugs during the past 30 days. [Illicit drugs defined as marijuana, hashish, cocaine (including crack), inhalants, hallucinagens, heroin, or any non-medical use of analgesics, tranquilizers, stimulants, or sedatives.] Baseline (1998) - 79%; adolescents ages 12 to 17.

**DATA SOURCES AND DATA ISSUES** 

Massachusetts Youth Health Survey. This survey is administered every other year by the MDPH to a stratified, random sample of 7,000 middle and high school students across the state. The survey data do not extend to youth in private

schools or not in school and are self-reported.

**SIGNIFICANCE** 

Alcohol and drug use remain a substantial risk behavior among middle and high school youth. Both male and female students report similar lifetime and current alcohol use rates, with Hispanic students reporting the highest use in both categories. Lifetime and current use of alcohol, marijuana, and other illicit drugs all increase by grade. Studies have found that almost twice as many youth aged 12 to 17 perceive risk from cigarette use compared with marijuana use or binge drinking. Massachusetts rates of binge drinking and marijuana use significantly exceed national rates, while cigarette smoking does not. Given the clustering of adolescent risk factors and behaviors and their resulting health impacts (from motor vehicle mortality and morbidity to data rape), much work remains to be done to work with youth, those who interact

with them, and the environments in which they live to reduce these risk behaviors.

**OBJECTIVE**